

On the classification and topology of complex map-germs of corank one and \mathcal{A}_e -codimension one

Kevin Houston
School of Mathematics
University of Leeds
Leeds, LS2 9JT, U.K.
e-mail: khouston@amsta.leeds.ac.uk

September 4, 2000

Abstract

Corank one map-germs $f : (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^p, 0)$, $n < p$, of \mathcal{A}_e -codimension one are classified and their vanishing topology is shown to be homotopically equivalent to a sphere.

AMS Mathematics Subject Classification 2000 : 58K40, 58K65

1 Introduction

In his classic paper [10] Mather classified the \mathcal{A} -stable map-germs. The next target for classification, the \mathcal{A}_e -codimension one germs, appears to be considerably more difficult, as one does not have an equivalent of Mather's result that \mathcal{K} -equivalent \mathcal{A} -stable maps are \mathcal{A} -equivalent. For example, the two real maps, $(x, y) \rightarrow (x, y^2, y^3 \pm x^2y)$, have \mathcal{A}_e -codimension one, are \mathcal{K} -equivalent but not \mathcal{A} -equivalent, see [11]. However, this problem does not occur in the complex situation for this example.

In his Ph.D. thesis, [1], Cooper classified corank 1 \mathcal{A}_e -codimension 1 map-germs \mathbb{C}^n to \mathbb{C}^{n+1} by using explicit changes in source and target to reduce the map to a normal form. A more elementary proof of the classification is given in [2]. Surprisingly, just as in the stable case the situation comes down to dealing with the \mathcal{K} -equivalence class of the germ mainly because if the map is not an augmentation then the \mathcal{A} -orbit is open in the \mathcal{K} -orbit.

In this paper we generalise to the case of corank 1 \mathcal{A}_e -codimension 1 map-germs \mathbb{C}^n to \mathbb{C}^p , $n < p$, i.e. the dimension of the target space is increased.

This paper was written while the author was a guest of the Isaac Newton Institute of Mathematical Sciences, Cambridge. He is grateful for the hospitality and financial support received. Thanks are also due to Maria Ruas for helpful conversations.

2 The results

The main theorem is the following.

Theorem 2.1 *Suppose that $f : (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^p, 0)$, $n < p$, is a corank 1 \mathcal{A}_e -codimension 1 map-germ, then the following are true.*

1. f is \mathcal{A} -equivalent to a map of the form,

$$\begin{aligned} & (u_1, \dots, u_{l-1}, v_1, \dots, v_{l-1}, w_{11}, w_{12}, \dots, w_{rl}, x_1, \dots, x_{n-l(r+2)+1}, y) \\ & \mapsto (u_1, \dots, u_{l-1}, v_1, \dots, v_{l-1}, w_{11}, w_{12}, \dots, w_{rl}, x_1, \dots, x_{n-l(r+2)+1}, \\ & y^{l+1} + \sum_{i=1}^{l-1} u_i y^i, y^{l+2} + \sum_{i=1}^{l-1} v_i y^i + y^l \sum_{i=1}^{n-l(r+2)+1} x_i^2, \sum_{i=1}^l w_{1i} y^i, \dots, \sum_{i=1}^l w_{ri} y^i), \end{aligned}$$

where $r = p - n - 1$ and $l + 1$ is the multiplicity of the germ. Conversely, any such germ has \mathcal{A}_e -codimension 1.

2. The germ is precisely $l + 2$ -determined.

3. An \mathcal{A}_e -versal unfolding is given by unfolding with the addition of the term λy^l to the $(p - rl - 1)$ th component function.

One immediately deduces the following.

Corollary 2.2 *Corank 1 \mathcal{A}_e -codimension 1 map-germs from \mathbb{C}^n to \mathbb{C}^p which are \mathcal{K} -equivalent are \mathcal{A} -equivalent.*

To every finitely \mathcal{A} -determined corank 1 map-germ there exists a unique stabilisation, see [7]. The image of this stabilisation is called the disentanglement of f . One can also investigate the multiple points in this image.

Definition 2.3 *Let $h : X \rightarrow Y$ be a continuous map. The k th image multiple point space of h , denoted $M_k(h)$, is defined to be,*

$$M_k(h) := \text{closure}\{y \in Y \mid \#h^{-1}(y) \geq k\}.$$

Definition 2.4 *We define the k th disentanglement of f , denoted $\text{Dis}_k(f)$ to be the k th multiple point space of the stabilisation of f .*

Suppose that $f_{\mathbb{R}} : (\mathbb{R}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^p, 0)$ is a finitely \mathcal{A} -determined map-germ, with a real stabilisation $f_{\mathbb{R},t}$ and that the complexification of $f_{\mathbb{R}}$, denoted $f_{\mathbb{C}}$ has stabilisation arising from complexifying $f_{\mathbb{R},t}$. We can denote the k th image multiple point spaces of these maps by $\text{Dis}(f_{\mathbb{R}})$ and $\text{Dis}(f_{\mathbb{C}})$.

Definition 2.5 *The map $f_{\mathbb{R},t}$ is a good real perturbation if $\dim H_i(\text{Dis}_1(f_{\mathbb{R}}); \mathbb{Z}) = \dim H_i(\text{Dis}_1(f_{\mathbb{C}}); \mathbb{Z})$ for all $i = p - (p - n - 1)k - 1$, with $2 \leq k \leq p/(p - n)$.*

This is a generalisation of the notion given in [12] and [9]. The idea is that the complex topology is visible over the reals.

Theorem 2.6 *Suppose that $f : (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^p, 0)$, $n < p$, is a corank 1 \mathcal{A}_e -codimension 1 map-germ.*

1. *The disentanglement $\text{Dis}_1(f)$ is homotopically equivalent to a $(n-l(p-n-1))$ -sphere. The higher disentanglements are empty or contractible.*
2. *It is obvious that f is the complexification of a real map-germ. This map has a good real perturbation and in fact the natural inclusion for this perturbation $\text{Dis}_k(f_{\mathbb{R}}) \hookrightarrow \text{Dis}_k(f_{\mathbb{C}})$ is a homotopy equivalence for all $k \geq 1$.*

These results are analogous to the case of a quadratic isolated complete intersection singularity. For then the Milnor fibre is homotopically equivalent to a single sphere and it is possible to define a real Milnor fibre with the same topology. (In fact the above theorem is a consequence of these results).

When an isolated complete intersection singularity has Milnor number equal to one then it is \mathcal{K} -equivalent to a quadratic singularity. One may ask for corank 1 maps in the range $n < p$, if the disentanglement is homotopically a sphere, then is the map \mathcal{A}_e -codimension 1?

3 Classification

3.1 Proof of Theorem 2.1 part 1

Firstly we define the augmentation of a map-germ.

Definition 3.1 *Let $f : (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^p, 0)$ be a map with a 1-parameter stable unfolding $F : (\mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C}, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^p \times \mathbb{C}, 0)$, where $F(x, \lambda) = (f_\lambda(x), \lambda)$. Then the augmentation of f by F is the map $A_F(f) := (f_{\lambda^2}(x), \lambda)$.*

If f has \mathcal{A}_e -codimension 1 then $A_F(f)$ has \mathcal{A}_e -codimension 1 and the equivalence class of $A_F(f)$ is independent of the choice of miniversal unfolding of f . See Proposition 2.1 and Theorem 2.4 of [2]. Thus we can produce new codimension 1 maps from old codimension 1 maps. If f is not the augmentation of another germ then f is called primitive.

One can also generalise this definition so that the unfolding parameter is replaced by a function, see [4].

To prove part 1 of Theorem 2.1 we use results from the classification in the $p = n + 1$ case given in [2]. Let us follow them and begin by defining a map $f^l : (\mathbb{C}^{2l-1}, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^{2l}, 0)$ by

$$f^l(u, v, y) = (u, v, y^{l+1} + \sum_{i=1}^{l-1} u_i y^i, y^{l+2} + \sum_{i=1}^{l-1} v_i y^i).$$

By Lemma 4.1 of [2] the \mathcal{A}_e -codimension is 1. If we label the last two coordinates of \mathbb{C}^{2l} Y_1 and Y_2 then the \mathcal{A}_e -tangent space is

$$T\mathcal{A}_e f^l = \theta(f^l) \setminus \{y^l \partial / \partial Y_2, y^{l-1} \partial / \partial v_1, \dots, y \partial / \partial v_{l-1}\} + \langle y^{l-1} \partial / \partial v_1 + y^l \partial / \partial Y_2, \dots, y \partial / \partial v_{l-1} + y^l \partial / \partial Y_2 \rangle.$$

Let us now define an extension of this map, $f^{l,r} : (\mathbb{C}^{2l-1+r^l}, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^{2l+r(l+1)}, 0)$:

$$f^{l,r}(u, v, y, w) = (u, v, y^{l+1} + \sum_{i=1}^{l-1} u_i y^i, y^{l+2} + \sum_{i=1}^{l-1} v_i y^i, w, \sum_{i=1}^l w_{1i} y^i, \dots, \sum_{i=1}^l w_{ri} y^i).$$

Through augmentation we get a map of the form in Theorem 2.1. By the proof of Proposition 3.7 of [6] it is known that $f^{l,r}$ is finitely determined. However we can do better than this as the following shows.

Theorem 3.2 *The map $f^{l,r}$ has \mathcal{A}_e -tangent space equal to*

$$T\mathcal{A}_e f^{l,r} = \theta(f^{l,r}) \setminus \{y^l \partial / \partial Y_2, y^{l-1} \partial / \partial v_1, \dots, y \partial / \partial v_{l-1}\} + \langle y^{l-1} \partial / \partial v_1 + y^l \partial / \partial Y_2, \dots, y \partial / \partial v_{l-1} + y^l \partial / \partial Y_2 \rangle.$$

Hence $f^{l,r}$ has \mathcal{A}_e -codimension equal to 1. To prove the above theorem let us investigate what the effect of extension is.

Suppose we have a finitely determined map $h : (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^p, 0)$ such that

$$h(w_1, \dots, w_l, y, u_1, \dots, u_{l-1}, x) = (w_1, \dots, w_l, \sum_{i=1}^l w_i y^i, y^{l+1} + \sum_{i=1}^{l-1} u_i y^i, u_1, \dots, u_{l-1}, x, f_1(u, x, y), \dots, f_t(u, x, y)).$$

Let \mathcal{O}_X denote the ring of function germs at 0 for the germ $(X, 0)$. The tangent space $T\mathcal{A}_e$ is a $h^*(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^p})$ submodule of $(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n})^p$. Let e_i denote the standard basis vector for the i th copy of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n}$.

Lemma 3.3 $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n} e_i \in T\mathcal{A}_e h$ for all $1 \leq i \leq l+1$.

Proof. It is evident that we can reduce the requirement to $y^k e_i \in T\mathcal{A}_e$ for all $i = 1, \dots, l+1$.

Note that

$$y^k e_{l+1} \in T\mathcal{A}_e \iff y^{k-1} e_i \in T\mathcal{A}_e, \quad k-i \geq 0. (*)$$

This follows from the fact that $y^j(e_i + y^i e_{l+1}) \in T\mathcal{R}_e$ and it implies that it suffices to show that $y^k e_{l+1} \in T\mathcal{A}_e$ for all k .

For $1 \leq s \leq l$ $e_i + y^s e_{l+1} \in T\mathcal{R}_e$ and $e_s \in T\mathcal{L}_e$ so $y^s e_{l+1} \in T\mathcal{A}_e$. We will now use induction: Suppose $y^s e_{l+1} \in T\mathcal{A}_e$ for all $s < k$ then $y^k e_{l+1} \in T\mathcal{A}_e$

The number k will be of the form $k = r(l+1) + i$ with $r \geq 1$ (assuming $k < l+1$ already dealt with as above) and $0 \leq i \leq l$.

Case $i = 0$: Clearly $(y^{l+1} + \sum_{j=1}^{l-1} u_j y^j)^r e_{l+1} \in T\mathcal{L}_e$ so $y^{r(l+1)} e_{l+1} \in T\mathcal{A}_e$ as the other terms in y in the expansion have order less than $r(l+1)$.

Case $i > 0$: The assumption $y^s e_{l+1} \in T\mathcal{A}_e$ for all $s < r(l+1) + i$ implies that $y^{s-i} e_i \in T\mathcal{A}_e$ for all $i \leq s < r(l+1) + i$ by $(*)$, i.e.

$$y^s e_i \in T\mathcal{A}_e \text{ for all } s < r(l+1). (**)$$

Obviously $(y^{l+1} + \sum_{j=1}^{l-1} u_j y^j)^r e_i \in T\mathcal{L}_e$ and this with $(**)$ implies that $y^{r(l+1)} e_i \in T\mathcal{A}_e$. Thus as $y^{r(l+1)}(e_i + y^i e_{l+1}) \in T\mathcal{R}_e$ we deduce that $y^{r(l+1)+i} e_{l+1} \in T\mathcal{A}_e$. \square

After applying this lemma to the map $f^{l,r}$ all that is required is to check that if g is a function in variables w_1 to w_l then $gy^l \partial / \partial Y_2$ is in the tangent space. This is easy to check.

The maps $f^{l,r}$ have a very interesting property which will be very useful.

Lemma 3.4 *The \mathcal{A} -orbit of $f^{l,r}$ is open in its \mathcal{K} -orbit.*

Proof. Let the dimension of the source be n and that of the target be p . and denote the normal space of the \mathcal{G}_e -orbit by NG_e . It is easy to calculate that $\dim NK_e(f^{l,r}) = p+1$ (It should be noted that this is not true for augmentations of $f^{l,r}$ as then we have $e_i \in TK_e$ for at least one i .) Thus we find that $\dim N\mathcal{A}_e = \dim NK_e - p$. But $\dim N\mathcal{A}_e = \dim N\mathcal{A} - n$ (as $f^{l,r}$ is not \mathcal{A} -stable, see [14] p.510) and $\dim NK_e = \dim NK + (p-n)$ ([14] p.509). So $\dim N\mathcal{A} = \dim NK$, implying that the \mathcal{A} -orbit is open in the \mathcal{K} -orbit. \square

Proof (of Theorem 2.1). We now generalise the proof of Proposition 4.3 of [2]. Suppose that $f : (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^p, 0)$ is a corank 1 \mathcal{A}_e -codimension 1 map-germ, $n < p$ with multiplicity $l+1$. The versal unfolding $G : (\mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C}, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^p \times \mathbb{C}, 0)$ is a $n - l(p-n+1) + 1$ -fold prism on a minimal stable map-germ of multiplicity $l+1$. Thus by Theorem 2.7 of [2] f is the $n - l(p-n+1) + 1$ -fold augmentation of an \mathcal{A}_e -codimension 1, corank 1, multiplicity $l+1$ map-germ $f' : (\mathbb{C}^{2l+l(p-n+1)-1}, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^{2l+(p-n-1)(l+1)}, 0)$. Such a map is obviously \mathcal{K} -equivalent to the map $f^{l,p-n-1}$. The \mathcal{A} -orbit of $f^{l,p-n-1}$ is open in its \mathcal{K} -orbit by Lemma 3.4 and by Lemma 3.12 of [2] there is at most one open \mathcal{A} -orbit in a given complex contact class, thus we conclude that f' and $f^{l,p-n-1}$ are \mathcal{A} -equivalent.

The $n - l(p-n+1) + 1$ -fold augmentation of $f^{l,p-n-1}$ is \mathcal{A} -equivalent to f as the \mathcal{A} -equivalence class of the augmentation of codimension 1 map-germ g depends only on the \mathcal{A} -equivalence class of g . \square

3.2 Order of determinacy

To find the order of determinacy we use the techniques of [13], in particular his Proposition 3.8, which we summarise as the following. Denote the maximal ideal of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n}$ by \mathfrak{m}_d and use the standard tf and wf notation of Singularity Theory, see [14].

Proposition 3.5 *Let $f : (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^p, 0)$ be a map-germ. Let*

$$D \subset tf(\theta_{\mathbb{C}^n}) + wf(\theta_{\mathbb{C}^p}) + \mathfrak{m}_n^s \theta_f$$

be an $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n}$ -module such that

$$\mathfrak{m}_n^s \theta_f \subset tf(\mathfrak{m}_n \theta_{\mathbb{C}^n}) + f^*(\mathfrak{m}_p) \cdot D + \mathfrak{m}_n^{s+1} \theta_f.$$

Then f is s -determined.

Let f be as in Theorem 2.1. Then by calculation one can see that $T\mathcal{A}_e f$ has the same type of structure as $T\mathcal{A}_e(f^{l,r})$: Let $m = p - rl - 1$ then $y^l e_m$, and $y^{l-i} e_{l+i-1}$, $i = 1, \dots, l-1$ are missing from $T\mathcal{A}_e f$, but $y^l e_m + y^{l-i} e_{l+i-1}$ is included. Thus if we let \mathfrak{m}_{n-1} denote the ideal generated by the variables other than y and

$$D = \langle \mathcal{O}_n, \dots, \mathcal{O}_n, \mathfrak{m}_{n-1} \mathcal{O}_n + \langle y^l \rangle \mathcal{O}_n, \dots, \mathfrak{m}_{n-1} \mathcal{O}_n + \langle y^2 \rangle \mathcal{O}_n, \mathcal{O}_n, \mathfrak{m}_{n-1} \mathcal{O}_n + \langle y^{l+1} \rangle \mathcal{O}_n, \mathcal{O}_n, \dots, \mathcal{O}_n \rangle$$

where the $\mathfrak{m}_{n-1} \mathcal{O}_n + \langle y^j \rangle \mathcal{O}_n$ terms begin at position l , then D is an \mathcal{O}_n -module contained in $T\mathcal{A}_e f$.

The non-trivial problem is to show that, for all i , $y^{l+2} e_i$ is in the right hand side of the second inclusion in the proposition. For the positions corresponding to the functions $u_1, \dots, u_{l-1}, v_1, \dots, v_{l-1}$ and w_{11}, \dots, w_{rl} we can use elements of $tf(\mathfrak{m}_n \theta_{\mathbb{C}^n})$ modulo \mathfrak{m}_n^{l+3} . For the r extension terms and position $2l-1$ we use $y^{l+2} + \sum_{i=1}^{l-1} v_i y^i$, elements of tf and $f^*(\mathfrak{m}_p) \cdot D$. For the remaining position we use $y \partial f / \partial y$ and terms in tf and $f^*(\mathfrak{m}_p) \cdot D$.

So f is at least $(l+2)$ -determined. This is in fact exact. The $(l+1)$ -jet is not finitely \mathcal{A} -determined as can be seen by showing (using the method of [8]) that $(l+1)$ th multiple point space has dimension greater than that of a finitely determined map-germ.

4 Topology

Theorem 2.6 part 1 on the topology of the k th disentanglement has been proved for the $p = n + 1$ in Corollary 5.3 of [5], though note that this was first proved in this case for $k = 1$ in [1], see [2]. For more general p that $\text{Dis}_1(f)$ is homotopically equivalent to a sphere can be deduced from the proof of Proposition 3.7 of [6] and Theorem 4.24 of [3] but the following, which investigates higher disentanglements, also shows it.

We begin with noting from Theorem 3.2 of [5] that for an augmentation $\text{Dis}_m(A_F f)$ is homotopically equivalent to the suspension of $\text{Dis}_m(f)$. Thus we can assume our map is primitive.

Define $f_t : \mathbb{C}^{2l-1} \times \mathbb{C}^r \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{2l} \times \mathbb{C}^{r(l+1)}$ by

$$f_t(u, v, y, w) = (u, v, y^{l+1} + \sum_{i=1}^{l-1} u_i y^i, y^{l+2} + \sum_{i=1}^{l-1} v_i y^i + t y^l, w, \sum_{i=1}^l w_{1i} y^i, \dots, \sum_{i=1}^l w_{ri} y^i).$$

Then, for $t \neq 0$ we can produce the disentanglement map for f_0 .

Define $g_t : \mathbb{C}^{2l-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{2l}$ by $g_t := f_t|_{f_t^{-1}(\mathbb{C}^{2l} \times \{0\})}$, then g_t for $t \neq 0$ gives the disentanglement map for g_0 , a corank 1 map-germ of \mathcal{A}_e -codimension 1. The space $\text{Dis}_m(g)$ is homotopically equivalent to a $2l - 1$ sphere if $m = 1$, and contractible or empty for $m > 1$ by Corollary 5.3 of [5]. We shall show that $\text{Dis}_m(f_0)$ is homotopically equivalent to this space. In the following we assume $t \neq 0$ defines the disentanglement maps.

For a continuous map $h : X \rightarrow Y$ of topological spaces let $D^k(h)$ denote the k th multiple point space as defined in [8].

From the natural inclusion of \mathbb{C}^{2l} into $\mathbb{C}^{2l+r(l+1)}$ we induce a natural map $\phi^k : D^k(g_t) \rightarrow D^k(f_t)$.

It is shown in the proof of Proposition 3.7 of [6] that $D^k(g_t)$ and $D^k(f_t)$ are non-singular for $k < l + 1$, and from the description there we can deduce that $D^k(f_t)$ contracts equivariantly onto $D^k(g_t)$. The only other non-trivial spaces are $D^{l+1}(f_t)$ and $D^{l+1}(g_t)$ and from the description in [6] it follows that these are S_k -equivariantly homeomorphic Milnor fibres of what is effectively the same isolated complete intersection singularity.

To conclude that the natural map $\text{Dis}_m(g_0) \rightarrow \text{Dis}_m(f_0)$ induces an isomorphism on integer homology for all m we use Theorem 3.2 of [6]:

Lemma 4.1 *Suppose that $h_i : X_i \rightarrow Y_i$, $i = 1, 2$, are finite and proper continuous maps for which the image computing spectral sequence exists (this is a technical condition which is true for the maps under consideration here) and that there exist continuous maps ϕ and ψ such that the diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} h_1 : X_1 & \rightarrow & Y_1 \\ & \phi \downarrow & \downarrow \psi \\ h_2 : X_2 & \rightarrow & Y_2 \end{array}$$

commutes. Then if the map $\phi^k : D^k(h_1) \rightarrow D^k(h_2)$ is an S_k -homotopy equivalence for all $k \geq 1$, then $\psi|_{M_m(h_1)} : M_m(h_1) \rightarrow M_m(h_2)$ induces an isomorphism on integer homology groups for all $m \geq 1$.

We turn our attention to the fundamental groups of the image multiple point spaces and to this end we prove the following.

Lemma 4.2 *Suppose that $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a finite and proper continuous map, $D^m(f)$ is path connected and that there exists a point $(x_1, \dots, x_m) \in D^m(f)$ such that $x_c = x_d$ for $c \neq d$.*

1. *If $D^{m-1}(f)$ is path connected then the natural map of fundamental groups*

$$\pi_1(D^{m-1}(f)) \rightarrow \pi_1(M_{m-1}(f))$$

is surjective.

2. *If $D^{m+1}(f)$ is empty then*

$$\pi_1(D^m(f)) \rightarrow \pi_1(M_m(f))$$

is surjective.

Proof. (i) For a continuous map h we can define $\varepsilon^k : D^k(h) \rightarrow D^{k-1}(h)$ by projecting through omission of the last copy of the source of h . Let $D_j^k(h)$ be the image of $D^k(h)$ in $D^j(h)$ (through composition of maps ε^i). Then $M_r(h)$ is the image of $h_r := h|_{D_r^r}$. We have

$$D^j(f_r) = \begin{cases} D_j^r(h), & \text{for } j < r, \\ D^j(h), & \text{for } j \geq r. \end{cases}$$

As $D^{m-1}(f)$ is path connected, $D^j(f_{m-1})$ is path connected for $j < m - 1$ as it is the image of $D^{m-1}(f)$ in $D^j(f)$. As $D^m(f)$ has a point with $x_c = x_d$, $c \neq d$, then so does $D^j(f_{m-1})$ for all $2 \leq j < m$. These two facts imply that every point in $D^j(f_m)$ is connected by a path to a point with $x_c = x_d$, $c \neq d$.

Now, for any continuous map h , $D^{j+1}(h) = D^2(\varepsilon^j : D^j(h) \rightarrow D^{j-1}(h))$. From this and Theorem 4.18 of [3] we deduce that for $j \leq m + 1$ that

$$\pi_1(D^j(f_{m-1})) \rightarrow \pi_1(\varepsilon^j(D^j(f_{m-1}))) = \pi_1(D^{j-1}(f_{m-1}))$$

is surjective and produce a chain of maps to get

$$\pi_1(D^{m-1}(f)) = \pi_1(D^{m-1}(f_{m-1})) \rightarrow \pi_1(M_{m-1}(f))$$

surjective.

(ii) One can follow a similar argument to show that $\pi_1(D^{m-1}(f_m)) \rightarrow \pi_1(M_m(f))$ is surjective. As $D^{m+1}(f)$ is empty then $\varepsilon^m : (D^m(f)) \rightarrow \varepsilon^m(D^m(f)) = D^{m-1}(f_m)$ is a bijective and proper map so is a homeomorphism. \square

Proposition 4.3 *The inclusion $\text{Dis}_m(g_0) \rightarrow \text{Dis}_m(f_0)$ is a homotopy equivalence for all $m \geq 1$ and hence Theorem 2.6 part 1 is proved.*

Proof. Note that $M_m(f_t)$ and $M_m(g_t)$ are Stein spaces and so are homotopy equivalent to CW-complexes of dimension equal to their complex dimension.

If $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} M_m(f_t) \leq 1$ then the statement is elementary to prove. If $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} M_m(f_t) > 1$ then it is enough to show that $M_m(g_t)$ and $M_m(f_t)$ are simply connected because a map between simply connected CW-complexes that induces an isomorphism on integer homology is a homotopy equivalence by Whitehead's theorem, [15], p220. In our given range we know that $M_m(g_t)$ is simply connected.

Note that $D^j(f_t)$ is contractible for $j < l + 1$ and $D^{l+1}(f_t)$ is the Milnor fibre of an isolated complete intersection singularity and so is homotopically equivalent to a wedge of spheres. Higher multiple point spaces are empty.

Case $\dim D^{l+1}(f_t) > 0$: Here $D^{l+1}(f_t)$ is connected and since the restriction to a reflecting hyperplane in the ambient space is the Milnor fibre of an isolated complete intersection singularity, see [8] Theorem 2.14, there exists a point (x_1, \dots, x_{l+1}) such that $x_c = x_d$ for some $c \neq d$. From Lemma 4.2 we deduce that $\pi_1(D^m(f_t)) \rightarrow \pi_1(M_m(f_t))$ is surjective for all $m \leq l + 1$. For $m < l + 1$ the result is then true. For the $l + 1$ case we note that we have are only concerned with $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} M_{l+1}(f_t) \geq 2$, i.e. $D^{l+1}(f_t)$ is simply connected.

Case $\dim D^{l+1}(f_t) = 0$: As $\dim D^{l+1}(f_t) = l - 1$ the only situations to check are for $M_1(f_t)$, which is simple, it is homotopically a circle, and for $M_2(f_t)$ which has dimension 0. \square

Proof (of Theorem 2.6 part 2). From Proposition 3.7 of [6] we see that a good real perturbation exists, (use $t < 0$ in f_t) and that the natural map $\text{Dis}_m(f_{\mathbb{R}}) \rightarrow \text{Dis}_m(f_{\mathbb{C}})$ induces an isomorphism of integer homology groups.

If $\dim M_m(f_{\mathbb{C}}) \leq 1$ then the statement is trivial. For the other situations we must show that $\text{Dis}_m(f_{\mathbb{R}})$ is simply connected. Calculations show that $D^k(f_{\mathbb{R},t})$ and $D^k(f_{\mathbb{C},t})$ are connected, non-singular and contract onto the diagonal for $k < l + 1$. The space $D^{l+1}(f_{\mathbb{C},t})$ is simply connected when its dimension is greater than 1, and $D^{l+1}(f_{\mathbb{R},t})$ is S_{l+1} -homotopically equivalent to it. Thus by Lemma 4.2 the image multiple point sets for $f_{\mathbb{R},t}$ are simply connected.

Again using Whitehead's theorem we conclude that the spaces are homotopically equivalent. \square

We finish with a theorem on augmentations.

Theorem 4.4 *Suppose that $f : (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^p, 0)$ is the augmentation by the isolated hypersurface singularity $g : (\mathbb{C}^q, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, 0)$ of the corank 1 \mathcal{A}_e -codimension 1, multiplicity $l + 1$ map-germ. Let g have Milnor number $\mu(g)$.*

Then $\text{Dis}_1(A_{F,g}(f))$ is homotopically equivalent to a wedge of $\mu(g)$ $n - l(p - n - 1) + q$ -spheres. Higher disentanglements are contractible or empty. Furthermore,

$$\mu(g) \leq \mathcal{A}_e - \text{cod}(A_{F,g}(f)),$$

with equality if g is quasihomogeneous.

Proof. The result on homotopy follows from Theorem 3.2 of [5].

Note that f is quasihomogeneous and hence so is the unfolding F . Then, (denoting Tyurina number of g by $\tau(g)$ and Milnor number by $\mu(g)$),

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_e - \text{cod}(A_{F,g}(f)) &= \tau(g)\mathcal{A}_e - \text{cod}(f), \text{ by Theorem 3.3 of [4],} \\ &= \tau(g) \\ &= \leq \mu(g), \text{ with equality if } g \text{ quasihomogeneous.} \end{aligned}$$

□

References

- [1] T. Cooper, Map germs of \mathcal{A}_e -codimension one, Ph.D. Thesis, University of Warwick (1993).
- [2] T. Cooper, D. Mond, R. Wik Atique, Vanishing topology of codimension 1 multi-germs over \mathbb{R} and \mathbb{C} , Preprint 1999, University of Warwick.
- [3] K. Houston, Local topology of images of finite complex analytic maps, *Topology* 36 (1997), 1077-1121.
- [4] K. Houston, On the singularities of folding maps and augmentations, *Mathematica Scandinavica* 82 (1998), 191-206.
- [5] K. Houston, Bouquet and join theorems for disentanglements, Preprint 2000, University of Leeds.
- [6] K. Houston, A note on good real perturbations of singularities, Preprint 2000, University of Leeds.
- [7] W.L. Marar, Mapping fibrations, *Manuscripta Math.* 80 (1993), 273-281.
- [8] W.L. Marar, D. Mond, Multiple point schemes for corank 1 maps, *J. London Math. Soc.* (2) 39 (1989), 553-567.
- [9] W.L. Marar, D. Mond, Real map germs with good perturbations, *Topology* 35 (1996), 157-165.
- [10] J.N. Mather, Stability of C^∞ mappings IV: Classification of stable maps by \mathbb{R} -algebras, *Publ. Math. IHES* 37 (1969), 223-248.
- [11] D. Mond, On the classification of germs of maps from \mathbb{R}^2 to \mathbb{R}^3 , *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc.*, (3) 50 (1985), 333-369.
- [12] D. Mond, How good are real pictures? in: *Algebraic Geometry and Singularities*, A.C. López, L.N. Macarro (Eds.), Birkhäuser, 1996, pp. 259-276.

- [13] A. du Plessis, On the determinacy of smooth map-germs, *Invent. Math.* 58 (1980), 107-160.
- [14] C.T.C. Wall, Finite determinacy of smooth map-germs, *Bull. Lond. Math. Soc.*, 13 (1981), 481-539.
- [15] G.W. Whitehead, *Elements of Homotopy Theory*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1978.

Recent Newton Institute Preprints

- NI00001-SMM **KZ Markov**
Justification of an effective field method in elasto-statics of heterogeneous solids
- NI00002-SCE **YY Lobanov and VD Rushai**
Studying the evolution of open quantum systems via conditional Wiener integrals
- NI00003-SCE **J-G Wang and G-S Tian**
Spin and charged gaps in strongly correlated electron systems with negative or positive couplings
- NI00004-SCE **FV Kusmartsev**
Conducting electron strings in oxides
- NI00005-ERN **SG Dani**
On ergodic Z^d actions on Lie groups by automorphisms
- NI00006-SMM **V Nesi and G Alessandrini**
Univalence of σ -harmonic mappings and applications
- NI00007-SCE **X Dai, T Xiang, T-K Ng et al**
Probing superconducting phase fluctuations from the current noise spectrum of pseudogaped metal-superconductor tunnel junctions
- NI00008-ERN **B Hasselblatt**
Hyperbolic dynamical systems
- NI00009-SCE **J Lou, S Quin, T-K Ng et al**
Topological effects at short antiferromagnetic Heisenberg chains
- NI00010-SCE **V Zlatić and J Freericks**
Theory of valence transitions in Ytterbium-based compounds
- NI00011-ERN **A Iozzi and D Witte**
Cartan-decomposition subgroups of $SU(2,n)$
- NI00012-ERN **D Witte and L Lifschitz**
On automorphisms of arithmetic subgroups of unipotent groups in positive characteristic
- NI00013-ERN **D Witte**
Homogeneous Lorentz manifold with simple isometry group
- NI00014-SGT **R Uribe-Vargos**
Global theorems on vertics and flattenings of closed curves
- NI00015-SGT **EA Bartolo, P Cassou-Nogués, I Luengo et al**
Monodromy conjecture for some surface singularities
- NI00016-SGT **IG Scherbak**
Boundary singularities and non-crystallographic Coxeter groups
- NI00017-SGT **K Houston**
On the classification and topology of complex map-germs of corank one and A_e -codimension one
- NI00018-SGT **PJ Topalov and VS Matveev**
Geodesic equivalence via integrability
- NI00019-GTF **S Friedlander**
On vortex tube stretching and instabilities in an inviscid fluid
- NI00020-SGT **VD Sedykh**
Some invariants of admissible homotopies of space curves
- NI00021-SGT **IA Bogaevsky**
Singularities of linear waves in plane and space

