

Isaac Newton Institute for Mathematical Sciences
RMAw02 - Recent Perspectives in Random Matrix Theory and Number Theory

Toeplitz determinants & connections to random matrices III
E. Basor (California Polytechnic State)
6 April 2004

Isaac Newton Institute for Mathematical Sciences
20 Clarkson Road, Cambridge CB3 0EH, UK

Tel: +44 1223 335999 Fax: +44 1223 330508
E-mail: webseminars@newton.cam.ac.uk
<http://www.newton.cam.ac.uk/webseminars>

TWO LIMITS

 φ smooth

$$D_n(\varphi) \sim G(\varphi)^n E(\varphi)$$

$$E(\varphi) = \det(T(\varphi)T(\varphi^{-1})) \\ \exp\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k S_k S_{-k}\right)$$

Fisher-Hartung

$$D_n(\varphi) \sim G(\varphi) n^{-\Omega} E^*(\varphi)$$

$$\Omega = \sum_{j=1}^R \alpha_j^2 \beta_j^2$$

In order to understand
the connections of

Szego Limit Thm and
Fisher-Hartwig symbols

We need two formulas.

Formula 1.

$$\frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{(t-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}} e^{izt} dt$$

$$= e^{ix\mu} e^{-x^2\sigma^2}$$

We can read off μ
and σ^2 from the
transform of a Gaussian
distribution

Formula 2

$$\frac{1}{N!} \int \int \det(f_j(x_k)) \det(g_j(x_k)) dx_1 \dots dx_N$$

$$= \det \left(\int f_j(x) g_k(x) dx \right)_{j,k=1, \dots, N}$$

This says that anything
of the form

$$\frac{1}{N!} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \prod_{j=1}^N |e^{i\theta_j}| e^{i\theta_j} \prod_{k=1}^N \varphi(e^{i\theta_k}) \times d\theta_1 \dots d\theta_N$$

$$= \det \left(\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \varphi(e^{i\theta}) e^{ik\theta} e^{-i\theta} d\theta \right)_{k,j=0}^{N-1}$$

$$f_j(x) = \varphi(x) x^{j-1}, \quad g_k(x) = x^{-k}$$

So now let us consider⁴²
 a random variable
 (linear statistic)

of the form $\sum_{j=1}^N f(e^{i\theta_j})$

From Probability Theory
 we know the Fourier
 transform of the
 distribution should be

$$\begin{aligned}
 g(\lambda) &= \frac{1}{N} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{i\lambda \sum_{j=1}^N f(e^{i\theta_j})} \\
 &\quad \times \prod_{j < k} |e^{i\theta_j} - e^{i\theta_k}|^2 d\theta_1 \dots d\theta_N \\
 &= D_N(\varphi) \quad \varphi = e^{i\lambda f}
 \end{aligned}$$

By the S S L T,

$$D_N(\varphi) \sim G(\varphi)^N \exp\left(\sum_i^{\infty} \kappa s_k s_{-k}\right).$$

$$\varphi(e^{i\theta}) = e^{i\lambda} f(e^{i\theta})$$

$$G(\varphi)^N = e^{i\lambda \frac{N}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(e^{i\theta}) d\theta}$$

$$\exp\left(\sum_i^{\infty} \kappa s_k s_{-k}\right)$$

$$= \exp\left(-\lambda^2 \sum_i^{\infty} \kappa f_k f_{-k}\right)$$

which says that in the limit we have a

Gaussian with $\mu = \frac{N}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(e^{i\theta}) d\theta$

$$\text{and } \sigma^2 = \sum_i^{\infty} \kappa f_k f_{-k}$$

$$= \sum_i^{\infty} \kappa |f_k|^2$$

But what happens for
a discontinuous f ,

$$\text{say } f(e^{\theta}) = \chi_I(e^{\theta}) \\ = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } e^{\theta} \in I \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

So we are led to
consider

$$\varphi(e^{i\theta}) = e^{i\lambda z n} \chi_I$$

This is a Fisher Hartwig
Symbol.

$$\text{For } \varphi_{\alpha, \beta} = e^{i\beta(\theta - \pi)} \\ 0 < \theta < 2\pi$$

$$\beta = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \log \left(\frac{\varphi(1-)}{\varphi(1+)} \right)$$

For $e^{i\lambda z} \chi_I$

with I arc from
 $e^{i\alpha}$ to $e^{i\alpha}$

we have two jumps

$$\lambda = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \log\left(\frac{1}{e^{i\lambda 2\pi}}\right)$$

$$= -\lambda \quad (\text{for } e^{i\alpha})$$

$$\lambda_2 = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \log(e^{i\lambda 2\pi})$$

$$= \lambda$$

So we check

$$\varphi_{0+\lambda, \alpha} \quad \varphi_{0-\lambda, -\alpha}$$

$$e^{+i\lambda(\theta - \pi - \alpha)} \quad e^{i\lambda(\theta - \pi + \alpha)}$$

$$\alpha < \theta < 2\pi + \alpha$$

$$\alpha < \theta < 2\pi + \alpha$$

$$e^{i\lambda z \pi \chi_I}$$

$$= e^{2i\lambda a} \varphi_{0, \lambda, a} \varphi_{0, \lambda, a}$$

If we apply F H

We have an answer

of the form for

the distribution transform

$$e^{2i\lambda Na} N^{-2\lambda^2} (2 \cos 2a)^{\lambda^2} \\ \times \Gamma(1-\lambda)^2 \Gamma(1+\lambda)^2$$

Write $N^{-2\lambda^2}$

$$e^{-2\lambda^2 \log N},$$

$$\text{so } \sigma^2 + 2 \log N$$

Hence to get a
finite limit we should
begin with the
variable

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \log N}} \sum_{j=1}^N (\chi_I(e^{i\theta_j}) - 2\alpha)$$

then Fourier transform
tends to (from F.H.)

$$\text{to } e^{-\lambda^2},$$

$$\text{as long as } \left| \frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{2 \log N}} \right| \leq c < \frac{1}{2}$$

So for CUE

we need $\det T_n(e^{i2t})$

Think of $T_n(\varphi)$

as $P_n(\varphi(P_n t)^{\wedge})^{\vee}$

For GUE

analogue is $P_n(\varphi(P_n t)^{\vee})^{\vee}$

g^{\vee} is the Fourier

transform of g

This is Wiener-Hopf
operator $W_n(\varphi)$

and we need for linear
statistics

$$\det(I + W_n(\varphi))$$

$$\varphi \quad e^{i2t} \quad 1$$

53a

If $\varphi = e^b - 1$, then

$$\det(I + W_\alpha(b))$$

$$\sim \exp\left(\frac{\alpha}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} t(x) dx + \int_0^{\infty} x \hat{t}(x) \hat{t}(-x) dx\right)$$

Note: Asymptotics for
 the analogues of
 Fisher-Hartwig have
 finally been done.

For Laguerre, the operator is

$$P H_\nu M_\nu H_\nu P_\nu$$

H Hankel transform

$$H_\nu(f)(x) = \int_0^\infty \sqrt{tx} J_\nu(tx) f(t) dt$$

If $\varphi = e^{-b \cdot}$, then

$$\det(I + B_\nu(\nu))$$

$$\sim \exp\left(\nu \hat{L}(0) + \frac{\nu}{2} \hat{L}(0) + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty x \hat{L}(x)^2 dx\right)$$

as $\nu \rightarrow \infty$

Finally for the edge we are led to

$$f(x/2) \int_0^{\infty} A(x+z)A(z+y) dz$$

the Argy Operator

$$\det(I + A_\alpha(f))$$

$$\sim \exp\{c_1 \alpha^{3/2} + c_2\}$$

$$c_1 = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{\infty} \sqrt{x} \log(1 + f(x)) dx$$

$$c_2 = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{\infty} x G(x)^2 dx$$

$$G(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{xy} \log(1 + f(-y^2)) dy$$

There are also
Fisher-Hartwig
analogues for

$$T_n(\varphi) + H_n(\varphi) \quad M_n(\varphi) \\ = (a_{-2} + a_{-2+}) \binom{N-1}{2}$$

$$\det M_n(\varphi) \sim G(\varphi)^n n^{-\Omega} E^*(\varphi)$$

$-\Omega$ depends on the location
of the singularity

$$\textcircled{1} \quad \text{for } \varphi = \varphi_0, \beta_0 \quad \Omega = \frac{3\beta}{2} \frac{\beta}{2}$$

$$\varphi = \varphi_0, \beta, \pi \quad \Omega = -\frac{3\beta^2}{2} + \frac{\beta}{2}$$

$$0 < \theta_0 < \pi \quad \varphi = \varphi_0, \beta, \theta_0 \quad \Omega = \beta^2$$

$$\varphi = \varphi_0, \beta, \theta_0, \varphi, \beta, \pi \quad \Omega = \beta$$