

# Automorphic Summation Formulae and Moments of $\zeta(s)$

by Daniel Bump and Jennifer Beineke

This lecture is based on:

- Beineke and Bump, Moments of the Riemann zeta function and Eisenstein series I, *J. Number Theory* **105** (2004), 150–174.
- Beineke and Bump, A summation formula for divisor functions associated to lattices, Preprint (2004).

Available at <http://math.stanford.edu/~bump/>.

- Expert Bessel-functioneer Moshe Baruch consulted on this work.

Also we will discuss

- Conrey, Farmer, Keating, Rubinstein, Snaith, *Integral moments of L-functions* [CFKRS]:  
<http://arxiv.org/ps/math.NT/0206018>.

# Moment Conjectures

Conjectures of [CFKRS]. Let  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{2n})$ ,  $|\alpha_i|$  small,

$$Z(s, \alpha) = \zeta(s + \alpha_1) \cdots \zeta(s + \alpha_n) \zeta(1 - s - \alpha_{n+1}) \cdots \zeta(1 - s - \alpha_{2n}).$$

We are interested in

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} Z\left(\frac{1}{2} + it, \alpha\right) g(t) dt$$

with a suitably smooth test function  $g(t)$  and the  $\alpha_i$  near zero.

**Conjecture [CFKRS]:** *This should match*

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} M\left(\frac{1}{2} + it, \alpha\right) g(t) dt,$$

where  $M$  will now be described.

The factor  $M$  is a sum of  $\binom{2n}{n}$  terms indexed by

$$\Xi \subset S_{2n} \quad (S_n = \text{symmetric group}).$$

The set  $\Xi$  of representatives of  $S_{2n}/(S_n \times S_n)$  consists of  $w$  with

$$w(1) < \cdots < w(n), \quad w(n+1) < \cdots < w(2n).$$

Let  $R(\alpha) = A(\alpha)N(\alpha)$  where

$$N(\alpha) = \prod_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq n \\ n+1 \leq k \leq 2n}} \zeta(1 + \alpha_j - \alpha_k).$$

We won't define the "arithmetic part"  $A(\alpha)$ . It is an Euler product, convergent if  $\text{re}(\alpha_i)$  are small. If  $n \geq 3$  it does not have meromorphic continuation to all  $\alpha_i$  (Estermann).

$$\begin{aligned} M\left(\frac{1}{2} + it, \alpha\right) &= \left(\frac{t}{2\pi}\right)^{-\alpha_1 - \dots - \alpha_n + \alpha_{n+1} + \dots + \alpha_{2n}} \times \\ &\sum_{\sigma \in \Xi} R(w\alpha) \left(\frac{t}{2\pi}\right)^{-\alpha_{w(1)} - \dots - \alpha_{w(n)} + \alpha_{w(n+1)} + \dots + \alpha_{w(2n)}}. \end{aligned}$$

To summarize, the  $2n$ -th moment

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \prod_{j=1}^n \zeta\left(\frac{1}{2} + it + \alpha_j\right) \zeta\left(\frac{1}{2} - it - \alpha_{n+j}\right) g(t) dt$$

is conjecturally a sum of  $\binom{2n}{n}$  terms, each involving a product  $N(w\alpha)$  of  $n^2$  zeta functions.

- The conjectures are proved if  $n \leq 2$  (Ingham).
- The case of real interest is when all  $\alpha_i = 0$ . However the structure of the asymptotics is made more transparent by taking  $\alpha_i$  distinct.
- If  $n = 2$ , Motohashi gave an *exact* formula for the 4-th moment involving L-values at  $1/2$  of automorphic forms for  $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ .

## Eisenstein Series on $\mathrm{GL}_{2n}$ .

Let  $\chi(s) = 2^s \pi^{s-1} \sin\left(\frac{\pi s}{2}\right) \Gamma(1-s)$ . Thus

$$\zeta(s) = \chi(s) \zeta(1-s).$$

We'll define  $E_\alpha =$  Eisenstein series on  $\mathrm{GL}_{2n}$  with L-function

$$\begin{aligned} & \zeta(s + \alpha_1) \cdots \zeta(s + \alpha_n) \zeta(s + \alpha_{n+1}) \cdots \zeta(s + \alpha_{2n}) = \\ & \chi(s + \alpha_{n+1}) \cdots \chi(s + \alpha_{2n}) Z(s, \alpha). \end{aligned}$$

$B =$  group of upper triangular mats in  $\mathrm{GL}_{2n}$

$\mathbb{A} =$  adèle ring of  $\mathbb{Q}$

$\chi_\alpha =$  character of  $B_{\mathbb{A}}$

$$\chi_\alpha(b) = \prod_{i=1}^{2k} |y_i|^{\alpha_i}, \quad \delta(b) = \prod_{i=1}^{2k} |y_i|^{k+1-2n},$$

$$\text{when } b = \begin{pmatrix} y_1 & * & \cdots & * \\ & y_2 & \cdots & * \\ & & \ddots & \vdots \\ & & & y_n \end{pmatrix}$$

$$K = \prod_{\text{places } v \text{ of } \mathbb{Q}} K_v, \quad K_v = \begin{cases} O(2n) & \text{if } v = \infty; \\ \mathrm{GL}_{2n}(\mathbb{Z}_v) & \text{if } v = p. \end{cases}$$

We have  $GL_{2n}(\mathbb{A}) = B_{\mathbb{A}}K$  (Iwasawa). Define  $f$  on  $GL_{2n}(\mathbb{A})$ :

$$f(bk) = (\delta^{1/2}\chi_{\alpha})(b), \quad b \in B_{\mathbb{A}}, k \in K.$$

$$E_{\alpha}(g) = \left\{ \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq 2n} \zeta^{*}(\alpha_i - \alpha_j + 1) \right\} \sum_{B_{\mathbb{Q}} \backslash G_{\mathbb{Q}}} f_{\alpha}(\gamma g),$$

$$\zeta^{*}(s) = \pi^{-s/2} \Gamma\left(\frac{s}{2}\right) \zeta(s).$$

$$N(\alpha)^{*} = \prod_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq k \\ k+1 \leq j \leq 2k}} \zeta^{*}(\alpha_i - \alpha_j + 1)$$

like  $N$  before  
but with  $\Gamma$   
factors

$E_{\alpha}^M$  = corresponding Eisenstein series on  $M = GL_n \times GL_n$ .

$$E_{\alpha}^M(g) = \prod_{\substack{1 \leq i < j \leq n \\ \text{or} \\ n+1 \leq i < j \leq 2n}} \zeta^{*}(\alpha_i - \alpha_j + 1) \sum_{\gamma \in (B \cap M)_{\mathbb{Q}} \backslash M_{\mathbb{Q}}} f_{\alpha}(\gamma g).$$

$$\int_{\text{Mat}_n(\mathbb{Q}) \backslash \text{Mat}_n(\mathbb{A})} E_{\alpha} \left( \begin{pmatrix} I & X \\ & I \end{pmatrix} g \right) dX = \sum_{w \in \Xi} N(w\alpha)^{*} E_{w^{-1}\alpha}^M(g).$$

The terms in constant term expansion of  $E_{\alpha}$  correspond exactly to the terms of in moment conjectures. (The arithmetic factor is missing however.)

We see that:

- The L-function of  $E_\alpha$  coincides with  $Z(s, \alpha)$  after using the functional eq'n of on half the  $\zeta$ 's
- The constant term along the  $n, n$ -parabolic shows the same structure as the conjectural moments of  $Z(s, \alpha)$ : A sum of  $\binom{2n}{n}$  terms indexed by  $w \in \Xi$ , involving a factor  $N(w\alpha)$  which is a product of  $n^2$  (constant)  $\zeta$ 's.

The challenge is to relate the moment conjecture more precisely to these Eisenstein series.

Even when  $n = 1$ , for the second moment of  $\zeta$ , the connection is not obvious. The ingredients turn out to be:

- The Hecke construction of the L-function on  $GL_2$ , applied to the Eisenstein series;
- Oppenheim's generalization of the Voronoi summation formula.

The generalization to  $n > 1$  should involve:

- The Friedberg-Jacquet construction of the standard L-function, based on Shalika models.
- A Voronoi summation formula for Shalika models.

# Oppenheim Summation Formula

When  $n = 1$ , the “missing link” between the  $GL_2$  Eisenstein series and the second moment is Oppenheim’s generalization of the Voronoi summation formula. Let  $a \in \mathbb{C}$ ,

$$\tau_a(n) = \sum_{d|n} \left( \frac{d}{n/d} \right)^a.$$

Then  $\tau_{\sigma-1/2}(n)$  is the  $n$ -th Fourier coefficient of the Eisenstein series

$$E_\sigma(g) = \sum_{B_{\mathbb{Q}} \backslash GL(2, \mathbb{Q})} f_\sigma(\gamma g),$$

where

$$f_\sigma(bg) = \delta(b)^\sigma f(g), \quad \delta \left( \begin{array}{cc} y_1 & * \\ & y_2 \end{array} \right) = \left| \frac{y_1}{y_2} \right|^\sigma.$$

Let  $\phi$  have smooth support in  $\mathbb{R}_+$ . The *Hankel transform*

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\phi}_\sigma(y) = \int_0^\infty \phi(x) [ & -2\pi \cos(s\pi) J_{1-2s}(4\pi\sqrt{yx}) \\ & - 2\pi \sin(s\pi) Y_{1-2s}(4\pi\sqrt{yx}) + \\ & 4 \sin(s\pi) K_{1-2s}(4\pi\sqrt{yx}) ] dx. \end{aligned}$$

It is of rapid decay as  $y \rightarrow \infty$ .

**Theorem (Oppenheim)** *We have*

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \tau_{\sigma-1/2}(n) \phi(n) = \\ & \zeta(2\sigma) \int_0^{\infty} \phi(x) x^{\sigma-1/2} dx + \zeta(2-2\sigma) \int_0^{\infty} \phi(x) x^{1/2-\sigma} dx \\ & + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \tau_{\sigma-1/2}(n) \tilde{\phi}_{\sigma}(n). \end{aligned}$$

- If  $s = 1/2$  this is the Voronoi summation formula.
- The two factors  $\zeta(2\sigma)$  and  $\zeta(2-2\sigma)$  appear also in the asymptotics of the second moment of  $\zeta$ , which is a special case, due to Ingham of [CFKRS].

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^T |\zeta(\sigma + it)|^2 dt = \\ & \zeta(2\sigma)T + \frac{(2\pi)^{2\sigma-1}}{2-2\sigma} \zeta(2-2\sigma)T^{2-2\sigma} + O(T^{1-\sigma} \log(T)) \end{aligned}$$

- Atkinson (1939) and Atkinson (1949) gave two methods of relating the second moment to the “Dirichlet divisor problem.” The relationship is expressed as follows:

$$\int_0^T |\zeta(\sigma + it)|^2 dt \sim 2\pi \sum_{n < T/2\pi} \tau_{\sigma-\frac{1}{2}}(n) n^{\frac{1}{2}-\sigma}$$

Using method of Atkinson (1949) K. Matsumoto, Meurman and Ivic improved the error in Ingham’s est.



## Method of Atkinson (1939)

$$\begin{aligned} |\zeta(\sigma + it)|^2 &= \chi(\sigma - it)\zeta(\sigma + it)\zeta(1 - \sigma + it) \\ &= \chi(\sigma - it) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \tau_{\sigma-1/2}(n) n^{-\frac{1}{2}-it}, \end{aligned}$$

(Pretend this converges.) Stirling:

$$\begin{aligned} n^{-\frac{1}{2}-it} \chi(\sigma - it) &\cong \\ n^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left| \frac{t}{2\pi} \right|^{\frac{1}{2}-\sigma} \exp\left(i\left(t \log \left| \frac{t}{2\pi n} \right| - \frac{\pi}{4} - t\right)\right), \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left( t \log \left( \frac{t}{2\pi n} \right) - \frac{\pi}{4} - t \right) = \log \left( \frac{t}{2\pi n} \right).$$

**Stationary phase:** in an oscillatory integral, main contribution is where oscillations cease ( $t = 2\pi n$ ). So in

$$\int_0^T |\zeta(\sigma + it)|^2 dt = \int_0^T \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \tau_{\sigma-1/2}(n) \chi(\sigma - it) n^{-\frac{1}{2}-it} dt$$

we may ignore  $n > T/2\pi$ . This leads to

$$\int_0^T |\zeta(\sigma + it)|^2 dt \sim 2\pi \sum_{n < T/2\pi} \tau_{\sigma-\frac{1}{2}}(n) n^{\frac{1}{2}-\sigma}$$

and the right side may be estimated by Oppenheim.

## Shalika Models

Let  $(\Pi, V)$  be a rep'n of  $\mathrm{GL}_{2n}(F)$ ,  $F$  local. A nonzero linear functional  $\lambda: V \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is called a **Shalika functional** if

$$\lambda\left(\Pi\left(\begin{matrix} I & X \\ & I \end{matrix}\right)\Pi\left(\begin{matrix} g & \\ & g \end{matrix}\right)v\right) = \psi(\mathrm{tr} X)\lambda(v)$$

where  $\psi: F \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is a nontrivial additive character.

**Theorem 1. (Jacquet and Rallis)** *If  $\pi$  has a Shalika functional it is unique (up to scalar).*

If  $\Pi$  admits a Shalika functional let  $\mathcal{H}$  be the space of functions of the form

$$H_v(g) = \lambda(\Pi(g)v), \quad v \in V.$$

- $\mathcal{H}$  is a space of functions on  $\mathrm{GL}_{2n}(F)$  on which  $\mathrm{GL}_{2n}(F)$  acts by right translation.
- This space is called the *Shalika model*.
- It is expected that  $\Pi$  has a Shalika model if and only if it is a functorial lift from  $\mathrm{SO}_{2n+1}$ .
- The Shalika model is the basis of a construction of the standard L-function due to Friedberg and Jacquet.

## The Degenerate Principal Series

Let  $\pi$  be a principal series representation of  $\mathrm{GL}_{2n}(F)$ ,  $F$  local. Let  $P$  be the parabolic subgroup with Levi factor  $\mathrm{GL}_n \times \mathrm{GL}_n$ . We form the induced representation  $\Pi_s = \mathrm{Ind}_P^{\mathrm{GL}_{2n}}(\pi \otimes \hat{\pi} \otimes \delta^s)$  where  $\delta: P_F \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is the modular quasicharacter

$$\delta \left( \begin{array}{cc} g_1 & * \\ & g_2 \end{array} \right) = \left| \frac{\det(g_1)}{\det(g_2)} \right|^{n s}.$$

- This representation will admit a Shalika model.
- The L-function of  $\Pi_s$  is

$$L(\sigma, \Pi_s) = L(\sigma + s, \pi) L(\sigma + 1 - s, \hat{\pi}).$$

We will take  $\pi$  to be the trivial representation. Then  $\Pi_s$  is in the *degenerate principal series*.

- The degenerate principal series are too simple for applications to the moment problem. The application would be to the second moment of

$$L(s, \pi_{\mathrm{trivial}}) = \zeta(s) \zeta(s+1) \cdots \zeta(s+n-1)$$

and only one  $\zeta$  can be in the critical strip.

- Still we hope this situation is a prototype of a theory for general  $\pi$  with momentous applications.

## The Bessel Distribution

Let  $F$  be a local field. If  $\Pi = \Pi_s$  is the degenerate principal series there is a **Bessel distribution**  $J_s$  on  $\mathrm{GL}_n(F)$  describing the action of

$$w_0 = \begin{pmatrix} & -I \\ I & \end{pmatrix}$$

on the *Shalika-Kirillov model*  $\mathcal{K}$ . Specifically,  $\mathcal{K}$  is the space of functions

$$Q_f(g) = \lambda \left( \Pi \begin{pmatrix} g & \\ & I \end{pmatrix} f \right), \quad \lambda = \text{Shalika functional.}$$

We have

$$Q_{\Pi(w_0)f}(h) = \int_{\mathrm{GL}_n(F)} Q_f(g) J_s(hg) dg, \quad (1)$$

- We assume  $\mathrm{re}(s) > 1 - \frac{1}{2n}$ ,
- At present  $J_s$  is known to be a distribution and (1) is known if  $Q_f$  has compact support in  $\mathrm{GL}_n(F)$ . This is enough for the summation formula.
- It is expected that  $J_s$  is a locally integrable function and (1) is true in some sense for all of  $\mathcal{K}$ .

**Theorem.** *If  $\operatorname{re}(s) > 1 - \frac{1}{2n}$ , then for  $\phi \in C_c^\infty(\mathrm{GL}_n(F))$  the integral*

$$\langle J_s, \phi \rangle = \int_{\mathrm{Mat}_n(F)} \int_{\mathrm{Mat}_n(F)} |\det(g)|^{ns} \phi(g) \psi(-\operatorname{tr}(g\xi)) dg \\ \psi(-\operatorname{tr} \xi^{-1}) |\det(\xi)|^{2n(s-1)} d\xi$$

*is convergent and continuous, hence defines a distribution.*

- The function  $J_s$  is a Bessel distribution as we defined it – convolution with  $J_s$  describes the action of  $w_0$  on compactly supported vectors in the Shalika-Kirillov model.

- Formally,  $J_s(g) =$

$$|\det(g)|^{ns} \int_{\mathrm{Mat}_n(F)} |\det(\xi)|^{2n(s-1)} \psi(-\operatorname{tr}(g\xi + \xi^{-1})) d\xi.$$

- This integral is not absolutely convergent but may perhaps be studied by the method of Baruch and Mao, *Bessel identities in the Waldspurger correspondence: Archimedean theory* (2002).
- Bessel functions for representations of  $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{R})$  have been studied by Gelfand and Kazhdan, Gelfand, Graev and Piatetski-Shapiro, Vilenkin, Cogdell and PS, and by Baruch and Mao. (Bessel functions for the symmetric space were studied by Herz and Bengtson.)

# The Hankel Transform

Take  $F = \mathbb{R}$ .

$$\tilde{\phi}_s(g) = \int_{\text{Mat}_n(\mathbb{R})} \phi(h) |\det(h)|^{-n/2} J_s({}^t g h) dh.$$

- We say that  $f: \text{Mat}_n(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is *of rapid decay* if  $|p(X)f(X)|$  is bounded for all polynomials  $p(X)$ .
- For many authors rapid decay = Schwartz class. We do not ask that the derivatives decay or even exist.

**Theorem.** *If  $\text{re}(s) > 1 - \frac{1}{2n}$  then  $\tilde{\phi}_s$  extends to a function of rapid decay on  $\text{Mat}_n(\mathbb{R})$ .*

- The transform  $\tilde{\phi}_s$  is not of Schwartz class.
- What is true is that if  $N$  is given, there exists a constant  $c(N)$  such that if  $\text{re}(s) > c(N)$ , then all partial derivatives of order  $\leq N$  are of rapid decay.
- Assume that  $n = 2$ . There are also two *degenerate Hankel transforms*  $\tilde{\phi}_s^0(g)$ ,  $\tilde{\phi}_s^1(g)$  and another integral transform  $\tilde{\phi}_s^2(g)$ . These are only defined for  $g$  of rank one. They also satisfy suitable properties.

## Divisor Functions

Let  $L \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^n$  be a lattice, that is, a subgroup of finite index. Let  $a \in \mathbb{C}$ , and define

$$\tau_a(L) = \sum_{\substack{\text{lattice } L' \\ L \subseteq L' \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^n}} \left( \frac{[\mathbb{Z}^n; L']}{[L'; L]} \right)^a.$$

We have

$$\sum_L \tau_a(L) [\mathbb{Z}^n; L]^{-s} = \prod_{k=0}^{n-1} \zeta(s+a-k) \zeta(s-a-k).$$

Let  $\text{Mat}_n^*(\mathbb{Z}) = \text{Mat}_n(\mathbb{Z}) \cap \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{R})$ . This is a parameter space for lattices:

If  $A \in \text{Mat}_n^*(\mathbb{Z})$ , let  $L_A = \text{row lattice}$

$A \rightarrow L_A$  is a bijection

$$\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{Z}) \backslash \text{Mat}_n^*(\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \{\text{Lattices } L \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^n\}.$$

If  $A \in \text{Mat}_n^*(\mathbb{Z})$ , we will denote  $\tau_a(A) = \tau_a(L_A)$ . If  $\Phi \in C_c^\infty(\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{Z}) \backslash \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{R}))$  we describe a summation formula for

$$\sum_{A \in \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{Z}) \backslash \text{Mat}_n^*(\mathbb{Z})} \Phi(A).$$

# Divisor Functions and Shalika Models

Let  $F$  be a nonarchimedean local field with ring  $\mathfrak{o}$  of integers. A *lattice* in  $\mathfrak{o}^n$  is a compact open subgroup  $L$ . Define

$$\tau_{\mathfrak{o}}(L) = \sum_{\substack{\text{lattice } L' \\ L \subseteq L' \subseteq \mathfrak{o}^n}} \left( \frac{[\mathfrak{o}^n; L']}{[L'; L]} \right)^{\mathfrak{a}}.$$

Let  $H$  be the unique  $\mathrm{GL}_{2n}(\mathfrak{o})$ -fixed vector in the Shalika model of the degenerate principal series  $\Pi_{\mathfrak{s}}$ .

**Theorem (Fumihiko Sato)** *Let*

$$h(g) = |\det(g)|^{-n/2} H \left( \begin{array}{c} g \\ I \end{array} \right), \quad g \in \mathrm{GL}_n(F).$$

*Then*

$$h(g) = \begin{cases} \tau_{n(\mathfrak{s}-1/2)}(g) & \text{if } g \in \mathrm{Mat}_n(\mathfrak{o}), \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

- This explains the appearance of the divisor functions in the summation formula.
- The spherical vector in the Shalika model of the *nondegenerate principal series* has been computed by Y. Sakellaridis.



# The Summation Formula

The summation formula for

$$\sum_{A \in \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{Z}) \setminus \mathrm{Mat}_n^*(\mathbb{Z})} \Phi(A), \quad \Phi \in C_c^\infty(\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{Z}) \setminus \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{R}))$$

with  $n$  general has as its “main terms”

$$\begin{aligned} & \zeta(2ns)\zeta(2ns-1)\cdots\zeta(2ns-n+1) \times \\ & \int_{\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{Z}) \setminus \mathrm{Mat}_n(\mathbb{R})} |\det(g)|^{n(s-1/2)} \Phi(g) dg \\ & + \zeta(2n-2ns)\zeta(2n-1-2ns)\cdots\zeta(n+1-2ns) \times \\ & \int_{\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{Z}) \setminus \mathrm{Mat}_n(\mathbb{R})} |\det(g)|^{n(s-1/2)} \Phi(g) dg. \end{aligned}$$

Another term is

$$\sum_{A \in \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{Z}) \setminus \mathrm{Mat}_n^*(\mathbb{Z})} \bar{\Phi}_s(A),$$

where the “automorphic Hankel transform” is as follows. Find  $\phi$  such that  $\Phi(g) = \sum_{\gamma \in \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{Z})} \phi(\gamma g)$  and let

$$\bar{\Phi}_s(g) = \sum_{\gamma \in \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{Z})} \bar{\phi}_s(\gamma g) \quad (\text{independent of choice of } \phi).$$

- The complexity of the formula grows with  $n$  and to state a precise version we take  $n=2$ .

**Theorem.** Let  $\phi \in C_c^\infty(\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{R}))$  and assume  $\mathrm{re}(s) > 1 - \frac{1}{2n}$ .  
Then

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{\substack{A \in \mathrm{Mat}_n(\mathbb{Z}) \\ \det(A) \neq 0}} \phi(A) \tau_{2(s-1/2)}(A) = \\
& \sum_{\substack{A \in \mathrm{Mat}_n(\mathbb{Z}) \\ \det(A) \neq 0}} \tilde{\phi}_s(A) \tau_{2(s-1/2)}(A) + \\
& + \zeta(4s) \zeta(4s-1) \int_{\mathrm{Mat}_2(\mathbb{R})} |\det(g)|^{2s-1} \phi(g) dg \\
& + \zeta(4-4s) \zeta(3-4s) \int_{\mathrm{Mat}_2(\mathbb{R})} |\det(g)|^{1-2s} \phi(g) dg \\
& + \zeta(4s-2) \sum_{\substack{A \in \mathrm{Mat}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \\ \mathrm{rank}(A)=1}} \tilde{\phi}_s^0(A) \sum_{d|\mathrm{gcd}(A)} d^{3-4s} \\
& + \zeta(4s-1) \sum_{\substack{A \in \mathrm{Mat}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \\ \mathrm{rank}(A)=1}} \tilde{\phi}_s^1(A) \sum_{d|\mathrm{gcd}(A)} d^{4s-1} \\
& + \frac{1}{2} \zeta(2-4s) \sum_{\substack{A \in \mathrm{Mat}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \\ \mathrm{rank}(A)=1}} \tilde{\phi}_s^2(A).
\end{aligned}$$

- It is hoped that for the *nondegenerate principal series* there is a corresponding summation formula which will have  $\binom{2n}{n}$  “main terms” corresponding to the conjectured asymptotics of the  $2n$ -th moments of zeta.
- The last term is really a  $\mathrm{GL}_2 \times \mathrm{GL}_2$  Eisenstein series (with data not a pure tensor).

## PROOF.

Let  $f = \prod_v f_v$  in the  $\otimes_v \Pi_{v,s}$  where  $\Pi_{v,s} =$  degenerate principal series for  $GL_{2n}(\mathbb{Q}_v)$ . If  $v = p$  is nonarchimedean then  $f_p = f_p^\circ$  is the standard  $GL_{2n}(\mathfrak{o})$  fixed vector in  $\Pi_{v,s}$ , while

$$f_\infty \left( \left( \begin{array}{c|c} Y_1 & * \\ \hline & Y_2 \end{array} \right) w_0 \left( \begin{array}{c|c} I & X \\ \hline & I \end{array} \right) \right) = \left| \frac{\det(Y_1)}{\det(Y_2)} \right|^{n\sigma} \int_{\text{Mat}_n(\mathbb{R})} |\det(U)|^{n(\sigma-1/2)} \phi(U) \psi(\text{tr}(UX)) dU,$$

$f_\infty(g) = 0$  off this Bruhat cell. If  $\text{re}(s)$  is sufficiently large let

$$E_s(g) = \sum_{P\mathbb{Q} \backslash G\mathbb{Q}} f(\gamma g).$$

Make a Fourier expansion:

$$\sum_{A \in \text{Mat}_n(\mathbb{Q})} \int_{\text{Mat}_n(\mathbb{Q}) \backslash \text{Mat}_n(\mathbb{A})} E_s \left( \left( \begin{array}{c|c} I & X \\ \hline & I \end{array} \right) g \right) \psi(\text{tr} AX) dX.$$

With our data, only  $A \in \text{Mat}_n(\mathbb{Z})$  really contribute. There are various cases indexed by the Bruhat decomposition. If  $A$  is invertible, the term is a Shalika coefficient. The left side of the summation formula is  $E_s(I)$  while the right side is  $E_s(w_0)$ . Since  $E_s$  is automorphic, these are equal. Done if  $\text{re}(s) \gg 0$  but both sides are analytic for  $\text{re}(s) > 1 - \frac{1}{2n}$ .

## QED