

# On problems related to Bartnik's definition of quasi-local mass

Pengzi Miao  
University of California, Santa Barbara

December 16, 2005

## Outline of the talk:

- A brief review of Bartnik's quasi-local mass functional  $m_B(\cdot)$  and its properties, like positivity, etc.
- Problems related (or motivated) by  $m_B(\cdot)$ 
  - Horizons in an AF, time-symmetric, **vacuum** initial data which is topologically  $\mathbb{R}^3$
  - Static metric extension conjecture
  - An inequality relating the ADM mass of  $(M^3, g)$  and the electrostatic capacity of  $\partial M$ .

## Definition of $m_B(\cdot)$ (Time-symmetric case)

Consider

$$\mathcal{PM} = \{(M^3, g) \text{ is an AF manifold satisfying } R(g) \geq 0 \\ \text{and } (M, g) \text{ has no horizons}\}.$$

A horizon is a closed surface with zero mean curvature. It follows from Meeks-Simon-Yau that any  $(M, g) \in \mathcal{PM}$  is topologically  $\mathbb{R}^3$ .

Let  $(\Omega^3, g_\Omega)$  be a bounded region, isometrically contained in some  $(M, g) \in \mathcal{PM}$ , Bartnik defines

$$m_B(\Omega, g_\Omega) = \inf\{m_{ADM}(M, g) \mid (\Omega, g_\Omega) \subset (M, g) \in \mathcal{PM}\}.$$

It follows from PMT that  $m_B(\Omega, g_\Omega) \geq 0$ .

Properties of  $m_B(\cdot)$ :

- $m_B(\cdot)$  is monotone: If  $\Omega_1 \subset \Omega_2 \subset\subset (M, g) \in \mathcal{PM}$ , then  $m_B(\Omega_1) \leq m_B(\Omega_2)$ .
- $m_B(\cdot) > 0$  unless  $(\Omega, g_\Omega)$  is locally flat (proved by Huisken-Ilmanen)
- Asymptotic to ADM mass:  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} m_B(\Omega_n, g) = m_{ADM}(M, g)$  for any exhaustion  $\{\Omega_n\}$  of  $(M, g) \in \mathcal{PM}$  (proved by Huisken-Ilmanen).
- Suppose  $(\Omega, g_\Omega) \subset (M, g)$  and  $m_B(\Omega, g_\Omega) > m_{ADM}(g)$ , then  $(M, g)$  must contain a horizon.

Problem 1: Existence of horizons

**Theorem**(Schoen-Yau 83)

*Matter condensation  $\Rightarrow$  horizons form.*

(A theorem for  $(M^3, g, K)$ , where  $K \neq 0$ )

Question: What about *no matter*?

First step: Time-symmetric case. Necessarily, we assume  $(M, g)$  has *trivial topology*.

A general construction of initial data which is AF,  $R \equiv 0$  and topologically  $\mathbb{R}^3$ :

Take a conformal class of metrics  $[g]$  on  $S^3$ , assume

$$Y([g]) = \inf_{\bar{g} \in [g]} \left\{ \frac{\int R(\bar{g}) d\bar{g}}{\text{Vol}(\bar{g})^{\frac{1}{3}}} \right\} > 0,$$

let  $G$  be a positive solution to

$$\Delta_g G - \frac{1}{8}R(g)G = 0 \text{ on } S^3 \setminus \{P\}, \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow P} G = \infty.$$

Then  $(S^3 \setminus \{P\}, G^4 g)$  is such an initial data.

**A basic fact:**  $(S^3 \setminus \{P\}, G^4 g)$ , up to a constant scaling, depends only on  $[g]$ .

**Question:** What conditions on  $[g]$  (or  $g$ ) guarantee horizons to form in  $(S^3 \setminus \{P\}, G^4 g)$ ?

**Theorem**(Beig – Ó Murchadha 91) Let  $\{g_\epsilon\}$  be a sequence of metrics on  $S^3$  such that

$$g_\epsilon \rightarrow g_0 \text{ in } C^2, \text{ where } R(g_0) \equiv 0,$$

then  $(S^3 \setminus \{P\}, G_\epsilon^4 g_\epsilon)$  admits a horizon for  $\epsilon$  sufficiently small.

**Theorem**(Yan 04) Let  $g$  be a metric on  $S^3$  satisfying

$$Ric(g) \geq \mu, \quad Vol(g) \geq V, \quad Diameter(g) \leq D.$$

Let  $r > \frac{3}{2}$ . If

$$R(g) > 0 \text{ and } \int_{S^3} |R(g)|^r dg < \delta,$$

for some **small**  $\delta = \delta(\mu, V, D, r) \leq 1$ , then  $(S^3 \setminus \{P\}, G^4 g)$  admits a horizon.

**Proposition:** There exists a metric  $g$  on  $S^3$  with  $Ric(g) > 0$  such that  $(S^3 \setminus \{P\}, G^4 g)$  admits a horizon.

**Theorem (M)** Suppose  $[g]$  is a conformal class of metrics on  $S^3$  which admits a positive Ricci curvature metric. Consider

$$V^+ = \sup\{Vol(S^3, \bar{g}) \mid Ric(\bar{g}) \geq 2, \bar{g} \in [g]\}.$$

If

$$V^+ \geq \frac{1}{2} Vol(S^3, h),$$

where  $(S^3, h)$  is the standard unit sphere in the Euclidean space  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , then  $(S^3 \setminus \{P\}, G^4 g)$  admits **no** horizons.



## Problem 2: Static metric extension conjecture

**Conjecture**(Bartnik) Suppose  $m_B(\Omega, g_\Omega)$  is defined. Then it is achieved by an asymptotically flat metric  $g$  on  $\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \Omega$  such that  $g$  is *static*, *matter free* and satisfies the boundary condition

$$g|_{T\partial\Omega} = g_\Omega|_{T\partial\Omega} \quad \text{and} \quad H(\partial\Omega, g) = H(\partial\Omega, g_\Omega).$$

**Interior equation**: suggested by Bartnik's calculation, verified by Corvino's work on scalar curvature deformation.

**Boundary condition**: suggested by the identity

$$R = 2K - (|A|^2 + H^2) - 2D_\nu H.$$

**Proposition** Given an AF metric  $g$  on  $\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \Omega$  with  $R(g) \geq 0$  such that

$$g|_{T\partial\Omega} = g_\Omega|_{T\partial\Omega} \quad \text{and} \quad H(\partial\Omega, g) \leq H(\partial\Omega, g_\Omega).$$

If  $K_{\partial\Omega} > 0$ ,  $H(\partial\Omega, g) > 0$  and  $H(\partial\Omega, g)$  does **not** identically agree with  $H(\partial\Omega, g_\Omega)$ , then there exists another  $\bar{g}$  on  $\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \Omega$  with  $R(\bar{g}) \geq 0$ ,

$$\bar{g}|_{T\partial\Omega} = g_\Omega|_{T\partial\Omega} \quad \text{and} \quad H(\partial\Omega, \bar{g}) \leq H(\partial\Omega, g_\Omega)$$

such that

$$m_{ADM}(\bar{g}) < m_{ADM}(g).$$

**Theorem**(M 03) Let  $\sigma$  be a metric on  $S^2$  and  $h$  be a function on  $S^2$ . If  $\sigma$  and  $h$  is sufficiently close to the Euclidean data on  $S^2$  and satisfies some symmetry condition, then there exists an AF metric  $g$  and a function  $u$  on  $\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus S^2$  such that

$$\begin{cases} D_g^2 u - u \text{Ric}(g) & = 0 \\ \Delta_g u & = 0 \end{cases}$$

and

$$g|_{TS^2} = \sigma \quad \text{and} \quad H(S^2, g) = h.$$

Problem 3: Relation between ADM mass and electrostatic capacity

Let  $(M^3, g)$  be an AF manifold with a compact inner boundary  $\Sigma^2$ . Define

$$Cap(\Sigma, g) = \inf \left\{ \int_M |\nabla \phi|^2 dg \mid \phi|_{\Sigma} = 0, \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \phi = 1 \right\}.$$

**Theorem**(Bray 99) If  $(M^3, g)$  is AF with  $R(g) \geq 0$  and  $\Sigma$  consists of *minimal surfaces*, then

$$m_{ADM} \geq Cap(\Sigma, g)$$

and “ = ” holds if and only if  $(M^3, g)$  is the spatial Schwarzschild manifold outside its horizon.

**Remark:** This theorem may be thought as a generalization of the classic result of Bunting and Masood-ul-Alam, which is formulated in term of the *staticity* of  $(M^3, g)$ .

Question: What can we say if  $\Sigma$  is not necessarily a minimal surface?

**Theorem**(Bray-M) Let  $(M^3, g)$  be AF and topologically is  $\mathbb{R}^3$  minus a ball. If  $R(g) \geq 0$  and  $\int_{\Sigma} H^2 d\mu \leq 16\pi$ , then

$$m_{ADM}(g) \geq Cap(\Sigma, g) \left( 1 - \sqrt{\frac{1}{16\pi} \int_{\Sigma} H^2 d\mu} \right)$$

and “=” holds if and only if  $(M^3, g)$  is isometric to

$$\left( S^2 \times [r_0, \infty), \frac{1}{1 - \frac{2m}{r}} dr^2 + r^2 d\sigma^2 \right)$$

for some  $m \geq 0$  and  $r_0 \geq 2m$ .

**Theorem**(Bray-M) Let  $(M^3, g)$  be AF and topologically is  $\mathbb{R}^3$  minus a ball. If  $R(g) \geq 0$ , then

$$Cap(\Sigma, g) \leq \sqrt{\frac{|\Sigma|}{4\pi}} \left( \frac{1 + \sqrt{\frac{1}{16\pi} \int_{\Sigma} H^2 d\mu}}{2} \right)$$

and “=” holds if and only if  $(M^3, g)$  is isometric to

$$\left( S^2 \times [r_0, \infty), \frac{1}{1 - \frac{2m}{r}} dr^2 + r^2 d\sigma^2 \right)$$

for some  $m \geq 0$  and  $r_0 \geq 2m$ .