

Field-Theoretic Approaches to Interacting Particle Systems

Uwe C. Täuber

Department of Physics and
Center for Stochastic Processes in Science and Engineering,
Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University,
Blacksburg, Virginia 24061-0435, USA
email: `tauber@vt.edu`

Financial support:
National Science Foundation, Division of Materials Research

Cambridge, 3 – 5 April 2006

Lecture outline:

Lecture 1, 3 April 2006:

1. ‘Chemical’ reactions, population dynamics
2. Critical dynamics
3. Master equation, mapping to field theory
4. Langevin dynamics field theory
5. Outline of dynamic perturbation theory

Lecture 2, 4 April 2006:

6. Renormalization and scaling exponents
7. Driven diffusive systems
8. Diffusion-limited annihilation $kA \rightarrow \emptyset$
9. Segregation and reaction fronts: $A + B \rightarrow \emptyset$

Lecture 3, 5 April 2006:

10. Absorbing states, directed percolation (DP)
11. DP critical exponents
12. Dynamic percolation, multi-species DP
13. Parity-conserving universality class
14. Some open issues

Recent overviews:

- B. Schmittmann and R.K.P. Zia, *Statistical mechanics of driven diffusive systems*, in: *Phase Transitions and Critical Phenomena*, Vol. 17, Eds. C. Domb and J.L. Lebowitz, Academic Press (London, 1995).
- J.L. Cardy, *Renormalisation group approach to reaction-diffusion problems*, in: *Proceedings of Mathematical Beauty of Physics*, Ed. J.-B. Zuber, Adv. Ser. in Math. Phys. **24**, 113 (1997).
- D.C. Mattis and M.L. Glasser, *The uses of quantum field theory in diffusion-limited reactions*, Rev. Mod. Phys. **70**, 979 (1998).
- H. Hinrichsen, *Nonequilibrium critical phenomena and phase transitions into absorbing states*, Adv. Phys. **49**, 815 (2000).
- G. Ódor, *Phase transition universality classes of classical, nonequilibrium systems*, Rev. Mod. Phys. **76**, 663 (2004).
- H.K. Janssen and U.C.T., *The field theory approach to percolation processes*, Ann. Phys. (NY) **315**, 147 (2005).
- U.C.T., M.J. Howard, and B.P. Vollmayr-Lee, *Applications of field-theoretic renormalization group methods to reaction-diffusion problems*, J. Phys. A **38**, R79 (2005).
- U.C.T., *Critical dynamics: A field theory approach to equilibrium and non-equilibrium scaling behavior*, in preparation, Cambridge University Press (Cambridge, > 2007 ?); for completed chapters, see:
<http://www.phys.vt.edu/~tauber/utaeuber.html>.

1 ‘Chemical’ reactions, population dynamics

‘Particles’ A, B, \dots hop to nearest neighbors, upon encounter:
species change, annihilate, proliferate, $\dots \implies$ *diffusion-limited*;
assume homogeneous mixing \implies *mean-field rate* equations.

Annihilation $k A \rightarrow l A$ ($l < k$): $\partial_t a(t) = -(k - l) \lambda a(t)^k$;

$$k = 1 : a(t) = a(0) e^{-\lambda t},$$

$$k \geq 2 : a(t) = [a(0)^{1-k} + (k - l)(k - 1) \lambda t]^{-1/(k-1)} ;$$

expect *depletion zones* for $d \leq d_c \implies$ *slower decay power laws*.

Two-species pair annihilation $A + B \rightarrow \emptyset$ (without mixing):

particle species *segregate* for $d \leq d_s \implies$ *reaction fronts*.

Competing $A \rightarrow \emptyset, A \rightleftharpoons A + A$: $\partial_t a(t) = (\sigma - \kappa) a(t) - \lambda a(t)^2$;

\implies *continuous phase transition* at $\sigma_c = \kappa$:

$$\sigma > \kappa : a(t) \rightarrow a_\infty = (\sigma - \kappa)/\lambda \text{ active phase};$$

$$\sigma < \kappa : a(t) \rightarrow 0 \text{ inactive, absorbing state};$$

$$\sigma = \kappa : a(t) \sim (\lambda t)^{-1} \text{ critical power law.}$$

Include fluctuations: critical exponents, *universality classes* ?

Population dynamics: same mathematical framework;

e.g., *Lotka–Volterra* predator–prey competition (1920, 1926):

death $A \rightarrow \emptyset$, *offspring* $B \rightarrow B + B$, *predation* $A + B \rightarrow A + A$:

$$\partial_t a(t) = \lambda a(t) b(t) - \kappa a(t), \quad \partial_t b(t) = \sigma b(t) - \lambda a(t) b(t) .$$

$$K(t) = \lambda[a(t) + b(t)] - \sigma \ln a(t) - \kappa \ln b(t) = \text{const.} \implies$$

regular population oscillations, determined by *initial state*.

Include spatial degrees of freedom (diffusion), stochasticity:

‘*pursuit and evasion*’ waves in coexistence phase \implies

complex dynamical patterns, *erratic oscillations* (*finite systems*);

predator *extinction threshold* (absorbing state).

2 Critical dynamics

Near a *critical point* $\tau = (T - T_c)/T_c \ll 1$: large fluctuations, induce diverging *correlation length* $\xi(\tau) \sim |\tau|^{-\nu}$, *scaling laws*:

$$C(\tau, q) = |q|^{-2+\eta} \hat{C}_{\pm}(q\xi) , \quad C(\tau, x) = |x|^{-(d-2+\eta)} \tilde{C}_{\pm}(x/\xi) ;$$

\implies *two independent static critical exponents* ν, η .

Other power laws, e.g., *order parameter* S , *susceptibility* χ_{τ} :

$$\begin{aligned} |x| \rightarrow \infty : C(\tau, x) &\propto \xi^{-(d-2+\eta)} \sim |\tau|^{\nu(d-2+\eta)} \propto \langle S \rangle^2 \sim |\tau|^{2\beta} , \\ \chi_{\tau}(\tau) &\propto \lim_{q \rightarrow 0} C(\tau, q) \propto \xi^{2-\eta} \sim |\tau|^{-\nu(2-\eta)} = |\tau|^{-\gamma} \\ \implies \quad \beta &= \frac{\nu}{2}(d-2+\eta) , \quad \gamma = \nu(2-\eta) . \end{aligned}$$

Mathematical description for $O(n)$ -symmetric order parameter: *canonical* distribution with *Landau–Ginzburg–Wilson* functional

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}_{\text{eq}}[S] &\propto \exp(-\mathcal{H}[S]/k_{\text{B}}T) , \\ \mathcal{H}[S] &= \int d^d x \sum_{\alpha} \left[\frac{r}{2} [S^{\alpha}(x)]^2 + \frac{1}{2} [\nabla S^{\alpha}(x)]^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{u}{4!} \sum_{\beta} [S^{\alpha}(x)]^2 [S^{\beta}(x)]^2 - h^{\alpha}(x) S^{\alpha}(x) \right] , \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

control parameters: transition driven by non-linearity u , $r \propto T - T_c^0$ (mean-field), h external field conjugate to S .

Dynamics: expect *slow relaxation* for large correlated regions, characteristic time scale defines *dynamic critical exponent* z :

$$t_c(\tau) \sim \xi(\tau)^z \sim |\tau|^{-z\nu} , \quad \omega_c(\tau) \sim |\tau|^{z\nu} .$$

Dynamic scaling hypothesis: $t_c(\tau, q)^{-1} = \omega_c(\tau, q) = |q|^z \hat{\omega}_{\pm}(q\xi)$

$\implies \langle S(\tau, t) \rangle = |\tau|^{\beta} \hat{S}(t/t_c) , \quad \chi(\tau, q, \omega) = |q|^{-2+\eta} \hat{\chi}_{\pm}(q\xi, \omega\xi^z) .$

Apply *equilibrium* fluctuation-dissipation theorem:

$$C(\tau, q, \omega) = \frac{2k_B T}{\omega} \text{Im } \chi(\tau, q, \omega) = |q|^{-z-2+\eta} \hat{C}_{\pm}(q \xi, \omega \xi^z) ,$$

$$C(\tau, x, t) = |x|^{-(d-2+\eta)} \tilde{C}_{\pm}(x/\xi, t/\xi^z) .$$

Coarse-grained description: fast modes \rightarrow *random* ‘noise’,

\implies *mesoscopic Langevin* equation for *slow* variables $S^\alpha(x, t)$.

Example: purely *relaxational* critical dynamics, *models A / B* :

$$\frac{\partial S^\alpha(x, t)}{\partial t} = -D \frac{\delta \mathcal{H}[S]}{\delta S^\alpha(x, t)} + \zeta^\alpha(x, t) , \quad \langle \zeta^\alpha(x, t) \rangle = 0 ,$$

$$\langle \zeta^\alpha(x, t) \zeta^\beta(x', t') \rangle = 2D k_B T \delta(x - x') \delta(t - t') \delta^{\alpha\beta} ; \quad (2)$$

Einstein relation guarantees that $\mathcal{P}[S, t] \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{\text{eq}}[S]$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$.

Conserved order parameter: relaxes *diffusively*, $D \rightarrow -D \nabla^2$;

model A / B: $D(i\nabla)^a$, $a = 0, 2$: conserved / non-conserved.

Generally: mode-couplings to other *conserved*, slow fields;

splitting into several *dynamic universality classes*.

Gaussian (mean-field) approximation: $u = 0$

$$[-i\omega + Dq^a(r + q^2)] S^\alpha(q, \omega) = Dq^a h^\alpha(q, \omega) + \zeta^\alpha(q, \omega) ,$$

$$\langle \zeta^\alpha(q, \omega) \zeta^\beta(q', \omega') \rangle = 2k_B T Dq^a (2\pi)^{d+1} \delta(q + q') \delta(\omega + \omega') \delta^{\alpha\beta} ,$$

\implies dynamic response and correlation functions:

$$\chi_0^{\alpha\beta}(q, \omega) = \partial \langle S^\alpha(q, \omega) \rangle / \partial h^\beta(q, \omega)|_{h=0} = Dq^a G_0(q, \omega) \delta^{\alpha\beta} ,$$

$$G_0(q, \omega) = [-i\omega + Dq^a(r + q^2)]^{-1} ,$$

$$\langle S^\alpha(q, \omega) S^\beta(q', \omega') \rangle_0 = C_0(q, \omega) (2\pi)^{d+1} \delta(q + q') \delta(\omega + \omega') \delta^{\alpha\beta} ,$$

$$C_0(q, \omega) = \frac{2k_B T Dq^a}{\omega^2 + [Dq^a(r + q^2)]^2} = 2k_B T Dq^a |G_0(q, \omega)|^2 ;$$

$$G_0(q, t) = \Theta(t) e^{-Dq^a(r+q^2)t} , \quad C_0(q, t) = \frac{k_B T}{r + q^2} e^{-Dq^a(r+q^2)|t|} .$$

\implies *Gaussian critical exponents*: $\eta_0 = 0$, $\nu_0 = \frac{1}{2}$, $z_0 = 2 + a$.

3 Master equation, mapping to field theory

Master equation for probability $P(\{n_i\}; t)$, $n_i = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ provides *balance* of gain and loss terms; e.g., $A + A \rightarrow \emptyset, A$:

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t P(n_i; t) = & \lambda (n_i + 2) (n_i + 1) P(\dots, n_i + 2, \dots; t) \\ & + \lambda' (n_i + 1) n_i P(\dots, n_i + 1, \dots; t) \\ & - (\lambda + \lambda') n_i (n_i - 1) P(\dots, n_i, \dots; t) , \end{aligned}$$

with initial *Poisson* distribution $P(\{n_i\}, 0) = \prod_i (\bar{n}_0^{n_i} e^{-\bar{n}_0} / n_i!)$. Introduce second-quantized *bosonic operator* representation:

$$\begin{aligned} [a_i, a_j] = 0, \quad [a_i, a_j^\dagger] = \delta_{ij}, \quad a_i |0\rangle = 0, \quad a_i |n_i\rangle = n_i |n_i - 1\rangle, \\ a_i^\dagger |n_i\rangle = |n_i + 1\rangle \quad \Longrightarrow \quad |\{n_i\}\rangle = \prod_i (a_i^\dagger)^{n_i} |0\rangle . \end{aligned}$$

Time evolution of *state vector* $|\Phi(t)\rangle = \sum_{\{n_i\}} P(\{n_i\}; t) |\{n_i\}\rangle$:

$$\partial_t |\Phi(t)\rangle = -H |\Phi(t)\rangle, \quad H = \sum_i H_i(a_i^\dagger, a_i);$$

e.g., diffusion-limited annihilation and coagulation:

$$H = D \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} (a_i^\dagger - a_j^\dagger)(a_i - a_j) - \sum_i \left[\lambda(1 - a_i^{\dagger 2}) a_i^2 + \lambda'(1 - a_i^\dagger) a_i^\dagger a_i^2 \right];$$

note: first term \leftrightarrow physical *process*, second term: ‘*order*’.

Akin non-Hermitian imaginary-time Schrödinger equation, but need *projection* $\langle \mathcal{P} | = \langle 0 | \prod_i e^{a_i}$, $\langle \mathcal{P} | 0 \rangle = 1$ for *statistical averages*

$$\langle F(t) \rangle = \sum_{\{n_i\}} F(\{n_i\}) P(\{n_i\}; t) = \langle \mathcal{P} | F(\{a_i^\dagger a_i\}) |\Phi(t)\rangle ;$$

probability conservation:

$$1 = \langle \mathcal{P} | \Phi(t) \rangle = \langle \mathcal{P} | e^{-Ht} | \Phi(0) \rangle \Longrightarrow \langle \mathcal{P} | H = 0 .$$

$[e^a, a^\dagger] = e^a \Longrightarrow$ commuting $e^{\sum_i a_i}$ with H shifts $a_i^\dagger \rightarrow 1 + a_i^\dagger$;
 $\Longrightarrow H_i(a_i^\dagger \rightarrow 1, a_i) = 0$, in averages replace $a_i^\dagger a_i \rightarrow a_i$, i.e.,
density $a(t) = \langle a_i \rangle$, two-point operator $a_i^\dagger a_i a_j^\dagger a_j \rightarrow a_i \delta_{ij} + a_i a_j$.

Construct *path integral* representation via *coherent states*:

$$a_i |\phi_i\rangle = \phi_i |\phi_i\rangle, \quad |\phi_i\rangle = \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}|\phi_i|^2 + \phi_i a_i^\dagger\right) |0\rangle,$$

$$\implies 1 = \int \prod_i \frac{d^2\phi_i}{\pi} |\{\phi_i\}\rangle \langle\{\phi_i\}| \quad (\text{overcomplete}).$$

Split time evolution into infinitesimal steps, standard procedures

$$\implies \langle F(t) \rangle \propto \int \prod_i \mathcal{D}[\phi_i] \mathcal{D}[\phi_i^*] F(\{\phi_i\}) e^{-\mathcal{A}[\phi_i^*, \phi_i]},$$

$$\mathcal{A}[\phi_i^*, \phi_i] = \sum_i \left(-\phi_i(t_f) + \int_0^{t_f} dt [\phi_i^* \partial_t \phi_i + H(\{\phi_i^*\}, \{\phi_i\})] - \bar{n}_0 \phi_i^*(0) \right).$$

Continuum limit $\implies \phi_i(t) \rightarrow \psi(x, t)$, $\phi_i^*(t) \rightarrow \hat{\psi}(x, t)$; ‘bulk’:

$$\mathcal{A}[\hat{\psi}, \psi] = \int d^d x \int_0^{t_f} dt \left[\hat{\psi} (\partial_t - D \nabla^2) \psi + \mathcal{H}_r(\{\hat{\psi}\}, \{\psi\}) \right], \quad (3)$$

microscopic stochastic field theory, *no* assumptions on noise!
 \implies basis for coarse-graining, renormalization group analysis.

Pair annihilation and coagulation $A + A \rightarrow \emptyset$, A :

$$\mathcal{H}_r(\{\hat{\psi}\}, \{\psi\}) = -\lambda (1 - \hat{\psi}^2) \psi^2 - \lambda' (1 - \hat{\psi}) \hat{\psi} \psi^2,$$

classical field equations: $\delta\mathcal{A}/\delta\psi = 0 = \delta\mathcal{A}/\delta\hat{\psi} \implies \hat{\psi} = 1$ and

$$\partial_t \psi(x, t) = D \nabla^2 \psi(x, t) - (2\lambda + \lambda') \psi(x, t)^2;$$

shift about mean-field solution $\hat{\psi}(x, t) = 1 + \tilde{\psi}(x, t)$:

$$\mathcal{H}_r(\{\tilde{\psi}\}, \{\psi\}) = (2\lambda + \lambda') \tilde{\psi} \psi^2 + (\lambda + \lambda') \tilde{\psi}^2 \psi^2$$

\implies aside from amplitudes, expect *identical* scaling behavior;
formally equivalent to ‘Langevin’ equation with noise correlator
 $L[\psi] = -(\lambda + \lambda') \psi^2 < 0 \implies$ ‘*imaginary*’ multiplicative noise.

4 Langevin dynamics field theory

Coupled Langevin equations for mesoscopic stochastic variables:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial S^\alpha(x, t)}{\partial t} &= F^\alpha[S](x, t) + \zeta^\alpha(x, t) , \quad \langle \zeta^\alpha(x, t) \rangle = 0 , \\ \langle \zeta^\alpha(x, t) \zeta^\beta(x', t') \rangle &= 2L^\alpha \delta(x - x') \delta(t - t') \delta^{\alpha\beta} ; \end{aligned}$$

$F^\alpha[S]$: ‘systematic forces’, ζ^α : ‘stochastic forces (*noise*)’,
correlator L^α : can be differential operator, functional of S^α .

Assume *Gaussian* stochastic process, probability distribution:

$$\mathcal{W}[\zeta] \propto \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{4} \int d^d x \int_0^{t_f} dt \sum_\alpha \zeta^\alpha(x, t) [(L^\alpha)^{-1} \zeta^\alpha(x, t)] \right\} ,$$

switch variables $\zeta^\alpha \rightarrow S^\alpha$: $\mathcal{W}[\zeta] \mathcal{D}[\zeta] = \mathcal{P}[S] \mathcal{D}[S] \propto e^{-\mathcal{G}[S]} \mathcal{D}[S]$,
with *Onsager-Machlup* functional providing field-theory *action*:

$$\mathcal{G}[S] = \frac{1}{4} \int d^d x \int dt \sum_\alpha \left(\frac{\partial S^\alpha}{\partial t} - F^\alpha[S] \right) \left[(L^\alpha)^{-1} \left(\frac{\partial S^\alpha}{\partial t} - F^\alpha[S] \right) \right] ;$$

functional determinant = 1 with *forward* (Ito) discretization,
normalization: $\int \mathcal{D}[\zeta] \mathcal{W}[\zeta] = 1 \implies$ ‘partition function’ = 1;
problems: $(L^\alpha)^{-1}$, high non-linearities $F^\alpha[S] (L^\alpha)^{-1} F^\alpha[S]$.

Goal: average noise ‘histories’: $\langle A[S] \rangle_\zeta \propto \int \mathcal{D}[\zeta] A[S(\zeta)] \mathcal{W}[\zeta]$:

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= \int \mathcal{D}[S] \prod_\alpha \prod_{(x,t)} \delta \left(\partial_t S^\alpha(x, t) - F^\alpha[S](x, t) - \zeta^\alpha(x, t) \right) \\ &= \int \mathcal{D}[i\tilde{S}] \int \mathcal{D}[S] \exp \left[-\int d^d x \int dt \sum_\alpha \tilde{S}^\alpha (\partial_t S^\alpha - F^\alpha[S] - \zeta^\alpha) \right] , \\ \langle A[S] \rangle_\zeta &\propto \int \mathcal{D}[i\tilde{S}] \int \mathcal{D}[S] \exp \left[-\int d^d x \int dt \sum_\alpha \tilde{S}^\alpha (\partial_t S^\alpha - F^\alpha[S]) \right] \\ &\quad \times A[S] \int \mathcal{D}[\zeta] \exp \left(-\int d^d x \int dt \sum_\alpha \left[\frac{1}{4} \zeta^\alpha (L^\alpha)^{-1} \zeta^\alpha - \tilde{S}^\alpha \zeta^\alpha \right] \right) ; \end{aligned}$$

perform Gaussian integral over noise ζ^α :

$$\langle A[S] \rangle_\zeta = \int \mathcal{D}[S] A[S] \mathcal{P}[S] , \quad \mathcal{P}[S] \propto \int \mathcal{D}[i\tilde{S}] e^{-\mathcal{A}[\tilde{S}, S]} ,$$

with *Janssen–De Dominicis* ‘response’ functional:

$$\mathcal{A}[\tilde{S}, S] = \int d^d x \int_0^{t_f} dt \sum_{\alpha} [\tilde{S}^{\alpha} (\partial_t S^{\alpha} - F^{\alpha}[S]) - \tilde{S}^{\alpha} L^{\alpha} \tilde{S}^{\alpha}] , \quad (4)$$

$\int \mathcal{D}[i\tilde{S}] \int \mathcal{D}[S] e^{-\mathcal{A}[\tilde{S}, S]} = 1$; integrate out $\tilde{S}^{\alpha} \rightarrow$ Onsager–Machlup.
Relaxational models A / B: $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_0 + \mathcal{A}_{\text{int}}$, with ($k_B T = 1$):

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_0[\tilde{S}, S] = \int d^d x \int dt \sum_{\alpha} \left(\tilde{S}^{\alpha} [\partial_t + D(i\nabla)^a (r - \nabla^2)] S^{\alpha} \right. \\ \left. - D\tilde{S}^{\alpha} (i\nabla)^a \tilde{S}^{\alpha} - D\tilde{S}^{\alpha} (i\nabla)^a h^{\alpha} \right) , \end{aligned}$$

$$\mathcal{A}_{\text{int}}[\tilde{S}, S] = D \frac{u}{6} \int d^d x \int dt \sum_{\alpha, \beta} \tilde{S}^{\alpha} (i\nabla)^a S^{\alpha} S^{\beta} S^{\beta} ;$$

$$\chi^{\alpha\beta}(x-x', t-t') = \left. \frac{\delta \langle S^{\alpha}(x, t) \rangle}{\delta h^{\beta}(x', t')} \right|_{h=0} = D \langle S^{\alpha}(x, t) (i\nabla)^a \tilde{S}^{\beta}(x', t') \rangle ;$$

$\Rightarrow \tilde{S}^{\alpha}$ ‘response’ fields; fluctuation-dissipation theorem:

$$\chi^{\alpha\beta}(x-x', t-t') = \Theta(t-t') \frac{\partial}{\partial t'} \langle S^{\alpha}(x, t) S^{\beta}(x', t') \rangle .$$

Generating functional for correlation functions, *cumulants*:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Z}[\tilde{j}, j] &= \left\langle \exp \int d^d x \int dt \sum_{\alpha} (\tilde{j}^{\alpha} \tilde{S}^{\alpha} + j^{\alpha} S^{\alpha}) \right\rangle , \\ \left\langle \prod_{ij} S^{\alpha_i} \tilde{S}^{\alpha_j} \right\rangle_{(c)} &= \prod_{ij} \frac{\delta}{\delta j^{\alpha_i}} \frac{\delta}{\delta \tilde{j}^{\alpha_j}} (\ln) \mathcal{Z}[\tilde{j}, j] \Big|_{\tilde{j}=j=0} . \end{aligned}$$

Harmonic approximation ($u = 0$): Gaussian integration recovers

$$\langle S^{\alpha}(q, \omega) \tilde{S}^{\beta}(q', \omega') \rangle_0 = G_0(q, \omega) (2\pi)^{d+1} \delta(q+q') \delta(\omega+\omega') \delta^{\alpha\beta} ,$$

$$G_0(q, \omega) = [-i\omega + Dq^a (r + q^2)]^{-1} ,$$

$$\langle S^{\alpha}(q, \omega) S^{\beta}(q', \omega') \rangle_0 = C_0(q, \omega) (2\pi)^{d+1} \delta(q+q') \delta(\omega+\omega') \delta^{\alpha\beta} ,$$

$$C_0(q, \omega) = \frac{2Dq^a}{\omega^2 + [Dq^a (r + q^2)]^2} = 2Dq^a |G_0(q, \omega)|^2 ;$$

causality: $\langle \tilde{S}^{\alpha}(q, \omega) \tilde{S}^{\beta}(q', \omega') \rangle_0 = 0$.




Figure 2: One-loop diagrams for (a) $\Gamma^{(1,1)}$ and (b) $\Gamma^{(1,3)}$ in the time domain.

Feynman rules for the l -th order contribution to $\Gamma^{(\tilde{N}, N)}$:

1. Draw all topologically different, connected *one-particle irreducible graphs* with \tilde{N} outgoing and N incoming lines connecting l relaxation vertices αu .
Do *not* allow closed response loops (Ito calculus: $\Theta(0) = 0$).
2. Attach wavevectors q_i , frequencies ω_i or times t_i , and vector indices α_i to all directed lines, obeying ‘momentum (and energy)’ conservation at each vertex.
3. Each directed line corresponds to a response propagator $G_0(-q, -\omega) / G_0(q, t_i - t_j)$, the two-point vertex to the noise strength $2Dq^a$, and the four-point relaxation vertex to $-Dq^a u/6$. Closed loops imply integrals over the internal wavevectors and frequencies or times, subject to causality constraints, as well as sums over the internal vector indices. Apply residue theorem to evaluate frequency integrals.
4. Multiply with -1 and the combinatorial factor counting all possible ways of connecting the propagators, l relaxation vertices, and k two-point vertices leading to topologically identical graphs, including a factor $1/l! k!$ originating in the expansion of $\exp(-\mathcal{A}_{\text{int}}[\tilde{S}, S])$.

Perturbation series \implies *loop expansion*, $\Delta(q) = Dq^a(r + q^2)$:




Figure 3: 1PI diagrams for $\Sigma(q, \omega)$ or $\Gamma^{(1,1)}(q, \omega)$ to second order in u .

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma^{(1,1)}(q, \omega) = & i\omega + Dq^a \left[r + q^2 + \frac{n+2}{6} u \int_k \frac{1}{r+k^2} \right. \\ & - \left. \left(\frac{n+2}{6} u \right)^2 \int_k \frac{1}{r+k^2} \int_{k'} \frac{1}{(r+k'^2)^2} \right. \\ & - \left. \frac{n+2}{18} u^2 \int_k \frac{1}{r+k^2} \int_{k'} \frac{1}{r+k'^2} \frac{1}{r+(q-k-k')^2} \right. \\ & \left. \times \left(1 - \frac{i\omega}{i\omega + \Delta(k) + \Delta(k') + \Delta(q-k-k')} \right) \right]. \end{aligned}$$




Figure 4: (a) Two-loop diagram for $\Gamma^{(2,0)}(q, \omega)$; (b) one-loop graph for $\Gamma^{(1,3)}$.

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma^{(2,0)}(q, \omega) = & -2Dq^a \left[1 + Dq^a \frac{n+2}{18} u^2 \int_k \frac{1}{r+k^2} \int_{k'} \frac{1}{r+k'^2} \right. \\ & \left. \times \frac{1}{r+(q-k-k')^2} \operatorname{Re} \frac{1}{i\omega + \Delta(k) + \Delta(k') + \Delta(q-k-k')} \right], \\ \underline{k} = (q, \omega) : & \Gamma^{(1,3)}(-3\underline{k}/2; \{\underline{k}/2\}) = D \left(\frac{3}{2} q \right)^a u \left[1 - \frac{n+8}{6} u \right. \\ & \left. \times \int_k \frac{1}{r+k^2} \frac{1}{r+(q-k)^2} \left(1 - \frac{i\omega}{i\omega + \Delta(k) + \Delta(q-k)} \right) \right]; \\ a = 2 : & \Gamma^{(1,1)}(q=0, \omega) = i\omega, \quad \partial_{q^2} \Gamma^{(2,0)}(q, \omega)|_{q=0} = -2D. \end{aligned}$$

6 Renormalization and scaling exponents

$$d < 4 : u \int \frac{d^d k}{(2\pi)^d} \frac{1}{(r + k^2)^2} = \frac{u r^{-2+d/2}}{2^{d-1} \pi^{d/2} \Gamma(d/2)} \int_0^\infty \frac{x^{d-1}}{(1+x^2)^2} dx ,$$

effective coupling $u r^{(d-4)/2} \rightarrow \infty$ as $r \rightarrow 0$: *infrared* divergence,
 \implies fluctuation corrections singular, modify critical power laws;

$$\int_0^\Lambda \frac{k^{d-1}}{(r + k^2)^2} dk \sim \begin{cases} \ln(\Lambda^2/r) & d = 4 \\ \Lambda^{d-4} & d > 4 \end{cases} \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{as } \Lambda \rightarrow \infty ,$$

ultraviolet divergences for $d > d_c = 4$: *upper critical dimension*.

dimension interval	perturbation series	model A / B or Φ^4 field theory	critical behavior
$d \leq d_{lc} = 2$	IR-singular UV-convergent	ill-defined u relevant	no long-range order ($n \geq 2$)
$2 < d < 4$	IR-singular UV-convergent	super-renormalizable u relevant	non-classical exponents
$d = d_c = 4$	logarithmic IR-/ UV-divergence	renormalizable u marginal	logarithmic corrections
$d > 4$	IR-regular UV-divergent	non-renormalizable u irrelevant	mean-field exponents

Table 1: Mathematical and physical distinctions of the regimes $d < d_c$, $d = d_c$, and $d > d_c$, for the $O(n)$ -symmetric models A / B (Φ^4 field theory).

Power counting in terms of arbitrary momentum scale μ :

$$[x] = \mu^{-1}, [q] = \mu, [t] = \mu^{-2-a}, [\omega] = \mu^{2+a} \implies [D] = \mu^0,$$

$$[r] = \mu^2 \rightarrow \text{relevant}, [u] = \mu^{4-d} \text{ marginal at } d_c = 4.$$

Integrals in *dimensional regularization*: even for non-integer d, σ :

$$\int \frac{d^d k}{(2\pi)^d} \frac{k^{2\sigma}}{(\tau + k^2)^s} = \frac{\Gamma(\sigma + d/2) \Gamma(s - \sigma - d/2)}{2^d \pi^{d/2} \Gamma(d/2) \Gamma(s)} \tau^{\sigma-s+d/2} . \quad (5)$$

Renormalization program:

- (i) Keep track of UV singularities as poles in $\epsilon = d_c - d$;
- (ii) infer scaling properties under change of momentum scale μ ;
- (iii) at scale-invariant fixed point: extract IR power laws.

Additive renormalization, fluctuation-induced T_c shift:

inverse static susceptibility $\chi(0,0)^{-1} = \tau = r - r_c$

$$\begin{aligned} \implies r_c &= -\frac{n+2}{6} u \int_k \frac{1}{r_c + k^2} + O(u^2) = -\frac{n+2}{6} \frac{u K_d \Lambda^{d-2}}{(2\pi)^d d - 2}, \\ \chi(q, \omega)^{-1} &= -\frac{i\omega}{Dq^a} + q^2 + \tau \left[1 - \frac{n+2}{6} u \int_k \frac{1}{k^2(\tau + k^2)} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Multiplicative renormalization: absorb UV poles at $\epsilon = 0$ into $S_R^\alpha = Z_S^{1/2} S^\alpha$, $\tilde{S}_R^\alpha = Z_{\tilde{S}}^{1/2} \tilde{S}^\alpha \implies \Gamma_R^{(\tilde{N}, N)} = Z_{\tilde{S}}^{-\tilde{N}/2} Z_S^{-N/2} \Gamma^{(\tilde{N}, N)}$, and $D_R = Z_D D$, $\tau_R = Z_\tau \tau \mu^{-2}$, $u_R = Z_u u A_d \mu^{d-4}$.

FDT: $Z_D = (Z_S/Z_{\tilde{S}})^{1/2}$, $\chi_R = Z_S \chi$; $a = 2$: $Z_{\tilde{S}} Z_S = 1$, $Z_D = Z_S$.

Normalization point outside IR regime, e.g., $\tau_R = 1$ or $q = \mu$;

one-loop: $Z_S = 1$, $Z_D = 1$, and with $A_d = \Gamma(3 - d/2)/2^{d-1} \pi^{d/2}$:

$$Z_\tau = 1 - \frac{n+2}{6} \frac{u_R}{\epsilon}, \quad Z_u = 1 - \frac{n+8}{6} \frac{u_R}{\epsilon};$$

two-loop: from $\partial_{q^2} \chi_R(q, 0)|_{q=0}$ and $\Gamma_R^{(2,0)}(0, 0)$ or $\Gamma_R^{(1,1)}(0, \omega)$:

$$Z_S = 1 + \frac{n+2}{144} \frac{u_R^2}{\epsilon}, \quad Z_D = 1 - \frac{n+2}{144} \left(6 \ln \frac{4}{3} - 1 \right) \frac{u_R^2}{\epsilon}.$$

Unrenormalized quantities cannot depend on scale μ :

$$0 = \mu \frac{d}{d\mu} \Gamma^{(\tilde{N}, N)} \Big|_{D, \tau, u} = \mu \frac{d}{d\mu} \left[Z_{\tilde{S}}^{\tilde{N}/2} Z_S^{N/2} \Gamma_R^{(\tilde{N}, N)}(\mu, D_R, \tau_R, u_R) \right]$$

\implies *Callan–Symanzik RG* equation: $\delta \Gamma_R^{(\tilde{N}, N)} = 0$, with

$$\delta = \mu \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} + \frac{\tilde{N} \gamma_{\tilde{S}} + N \gamma_S}{2} + \gamma_D D_R \frac{\partial}{\partial D_R} + \gamma_\tau \tau_R \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau_R} + \beta_u \frac{\partial}{\partial u_R}; \quad (6)$$

Wilson's flow functions: $\gamma_{\tilde{S}} = \mu \partial_\mu|_0 \ln Z_{\tilde{S}}$, $\gamma_S = \mu \partial_\mu|_0 \ln Z_S$;
 $\gamma_D = \mu \partial_\mu|_0 \ln(D_R/D) = \frac{1}{2} (\gamma_S - \gamma_{\tilde{S}})$; model B: $\gamma_D = \gamma_S = -\gamma_{\tilde{S}}$;
 $\gamma_\tau = \mu \partial_\mu|_0 \ln(\tau_R/\tau) = -2 + \mu \partial_\mu|_0 \ln Z_\tau$, and

RG beta function $\beta_u = \mu \partial_\mu|_0 u_R = u_R (d - 4 + \mu \partial_\mu|_0 \ln Z_u)$.

Dynamic susceptibility $\chi(q, \omega) = Dq^a \Gamma^{(1,1)}(-q, -\omega)^{-1}$:
 $\chi_R(\mu, D_R, \tau_R, u_R, q, \omega)^{-1} = \mu^2 \hat{\chi}_R(\tau_R, u_R, q/\mu, \omega/D_R \mu^{2+a})^{-1}$;
 solve RG equation with *method of characteristics*, $\mu \rightarrow \mu \ell$

$$\implies \chi_R(\ell) = \chi_R(1) \ell^{-2} \exp \left[- \int_1^\ell \gamma_S(\ell') \frac{d\ell'}{\ell'} \right],$$

with *running couplings*, initial values D_R, τ_R, u_R at $\ell = 1$:

$$\ell \frac{d\tilde{D}(\ell)}{d\ell} = \tilde{D}(\ell) \gamma_D(\ell), \quad \ell \frac{d\tilde{\tau}(\ell)}{d\ell} = \tilde{\tau}(\ell) \gamma_\tau(\ell), \quad \ell \frac{d\tilde{u}(\ell)}{d\ell} = \beta_u(\ell).$$

Infrared-stable RG fixed point: $\beta_u(u^*) = 0, \beta'_u(u^*) > 0$;
 in its vicinity: $\tilde{D}(\ell) \approx D_R \ell^{\gamma_D^*}, \tilde{\tau}(\ell) \approx \tau_R \ell^{\gamma_\tau^*}$, therefore:

$$\chi_R(\tau_R, q, \omega)^{-1} \approx \mu^2 \ell^{2+\gamma_S^*} \hat{\chi}_R \left(\tau_R \ell^{\gamma_\tau^*}, u^*, \frac{q}{\mu \ell}, \frac{\omega}{D_R \mu^{2+a} \ell^{2+a+\gamma_D^*}} \right)^{-1}$$

\implies *matching* $\ell = |q|/\mu$ yields dynamic scaling form with

$$\eta = -\gamma_S^*, \quad \nu = -1/\gamma_\tau^*, \quad z = 2 + a + \gamma_D^*. \quad (7)$$

Explicitly in systematic $\epsilon = 4 - d$ expansion:

$$\beta_u = u_R \left[-\epsilon + \frac{n+8}{6} u_R + O(u_R^2) \right] \implies u_H^* = \frac{6\epsilon}{n+8} + O(\epsilon^2).$$

$d < 4$: *Heisenberg* fixed point u_H^* stable, since $\beta'_u(u_H^*) > 0$,

$$\eta = \frac{n+2}{2(n+8)^2} \epsilon^2 + O(\epsilon^3), \quad \frac{1}{\nu} = 2 - \frac{n+2}{n+8} \epsilon + O(\epsilon^2);$$

model A: $z = 2 + c\eta$, with $c = 6 \ln \frac{4}{3} - 1 + O(\epsilon)$;

model B: $\gamma_D^* = -\eta \implies$ *exact* scaling relation $z = 4 - \eta$.

$d > 4$: *Gaussian* fixed point $u_0^* = 0 \implies$ mean-field exponents.

$d = 4$: *logarithmic* corrections: $\tilde{u}(\ell) = u_R \left(1 - \frac{n+8}{6} u_R \ln \ell \right)^{-1}$,
 $\tilde{\tau}(\ell) \sim \tau_R \ell^{-2} (\ln |\ell|)^{-(n+2)/(n+8)} \implies \xi \propto \tau_R^{-1/2} (\ln \tau_R)^{(n+2)/2(n+8)}$.

7 Driven diffusive systems

Consider *conserved* particle density, driven along ‘ \parallel ’ direction;

continuity equation: $\partial_t S(x, t) + \nabla \cdot J(x, t) = 0$, $\langle S(x, t) \rangle = 0$.

Transverse sector, $d_\perp = d - 1$: $J_\perp(x, t) = -D \nabla_\perp S(x, t) + \eta(x, t)$,

current along the direction of an external drive:

$$J_\parallel(x, t) = \langle J_\parallel \rangle - D c \nabla_\parallel S(x, t) - \frac{1}{2} D g S(x, t)^2 + \zeta(x, t),$$

with $\langle \eta_i \rangle = 0 = \langle \zeta \rangle$ and noise correlations:

$$\langle \eta_i(x, t) \eta_j(x', t') \rangle = 2D \delta_{ij} \delta(x - x') \delta(t - t') ,$$

$$\langle \zeta(x, t) \zeta(x', t') \rangle = 2D \tilde{c} \delta(x - x') \delta(t - t') .$$

Janssen–De Dominicis response functional (4) for *DDS*:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}[\tilde{S}, S] = \int d^d x \int dt \tilde{S} \left[\partial_t S - D (\nabla_\perp^2 + c \nabla_\parallel^2) S \right. \\ \left. + D (\nabla_\perp^2 + \tilde{c} \nabla_\parallel^2) \tilde{S} - \frac{D g}{2} \nabla_\parallel S^2 \right] ; \end{aligned}$$

‘massless’ theory, *generically* scale-invariant.

Vertex $\sim i q_\parallel \implies Z_{\tilde{S}} = Z_S = Z_D = 1$, thus $\eta = 0$, $z = 2$.

Galilean transformation: $S'(x'_\perp, x'_\parallel, t') = S(x_\perp, x_\parallel - D g v t, t) - v$,

leaves Langevin equation / action *invariant*, $v \sim S \implies Z_g = 1$.

Explicit one-loop calculation, $C_d = \Gamma(2 - d/2)/2^{d-1} \pi^{d/2}$:

$$w = \tilde{c}/c , \quad v = g^2 c^{-3/2} , \quad v_R = Z_c^{3/2} v C_d \mu^{d-2} , \quad d_c = 2 ;$$

$$\gamma_c = -\frac{v_R}{16} (3 + w_R) , \quad \gamma_{\tilde{c}} = -\frac{v_R}{32} (3w_R^{-1} + 2 + 3w_R) .$$

$$\implies \beta_w = w_R (\gamma_{\tilde{c}} - \gamma_c) = -\frac{v_R}{32} (w_R - 1) (w_R - 3) ,$$

$$\beta_v = v_R \left(d - 2 - \frac{3}{2} \gamma_c \right) .$$

At *any* non-trivial RG fixed point $0 < v^* < \infty$: $w_R^* = 1$ *stable*;

$$d < 2 : \quad \Delta = -\frac{\gamma_c^*}{2} = \frac{2-d}{3} , \quad z_\parallel = \frac{2}{1+\Delta} = \frac{6}{5-d} .$$

Noisy Burgers equation ($Dg = 1$, simplified fluid dynamics):

$$\frac{\partial u(x, t)}{\partial t} + \frac{Dg}{2} \nabla [u(x, t)^2] = D \nabla^2 u(x, t) + \zeta(x, t) ,$$

$$\langle \zeta_i \rangle = 0 , \quad \langle \zeta_i(x, t) \zeta_j(x', t') \rangle = -2D \nabla_i \nabla_j \delta(x - x') \delta(t - t') .$$

$d = 1$: *identical* with DDS Langevin equation, so $z = 3/2$.

Equilibrium condition with $\mathcal{P}_{\text{eq}}[u] \propto \exp \left[-\frac{1}{2} \int u(x)^2 d^d x \right]$:

$$\int d^d x \frac{\delta}{\delta u(x, t)} \cdot [\nabla u(x, t)^2] e^{-\frac{1}{2} \int u(x', t)^2 d^d x'}$$

$$= \int [2\nabla \cdot u(x, t) - u(x, t) \cdot \nabla u(x, t)^2] d^d x e^{-\frac{1}{2} \int u(x', t)^2 d^d x'} ,$$

first term vanishes, second one *only* in one dimension:

$$- \int u (du^2/dx) dx = \int u^2 (du/dx) dx = \frac{1}{3} \int (du^3/dx) dx = 0;$$

\implies ‘hidden’ fluctuation-dissipation theorem in $d = 1$.

Driven model B, critical DDS: conserved scalar field S subject to phase transition, but only *transverse* sector critical:

$$\mathcal{A}[\tilde{S}, S] = \int d^d x \int dt \tilde{S} \left[\partial_t S - D \nabla_{\perp}^2 (r - \nabla_{\perp}^2) S - Dc \nabla_{\parallel}^2 S \right.$$

$$\left. + D \left(\nabla_{\perp}^2 \tilde{S} - \frac{g}{2} \nabla_{\parallel} S^2 - \frac{u}{6} \nabla_{\perp}^2 S^3 \right) \right] .$$

$[g^2] = \mu^{5-d}$, so $d_c = 5$, and $[u] = \mu^{3-d}$ (dangerously) *irrelevant*; remaining vertex $\sim iq_{\parallel} \implies Z_{\tilde{S}} = Z_S = Z_D = 1$, thus *exactly*:

$$\eta = 0 , \quad \nu = 1/2 , \quad z = 4 .$$

Galilean invariance as before: $Z_g = 1$, therefore:

$$v = g^2 c^{-3/2} , \quad \beta_v = v_R \left(d - 5 - \frac{3}{2} \gamma_c \right) .$$

\implies scaling exponents to *all* orders in perturbation theory:

$$d < 5 : \quad \Delta = 1 - \frac{\gamma_c^*}{2} = \frac{8-d}{3} , \quad z_{\parallel} = \frac{4}{1+\Delta} = \frac{12}{11-d} .$$

DDS: non-zero *three-point* correlations (*not* with random drive).

8 Diffusion-limited annihilation $kA \rightarrow \emptyset$

Field theory action (3) for $kA \rightarrow \emptyset$:

$$\mathcal{A}[\hat{\psi}, \psi] = \int d^d x \int dt \left[\hat{\psi} (\partial_t - D\nabla^2) \psi - \lambda (1 - \hat{\psi}^k) \psi^k \right],$$

for $k \geq 3$ no (obvious) equivalent Langevin description.

$[\lambda] = \mu^{2-(k-1)d} \implies d_c(k) = 2/(k-1)$, mean-field for $k > 3$.

No propagator renormalization, massless $\implies \eta = 0$, $z = 2$;

geometric series for vertex renormalization (Bethe–Salpeter):

$$\begin{aligned} \text{---} \bullet \text{---} &= \text{---} \text{<} + \text{---} \text{<} \text{---} + \text{---} \text{<} \text{---} \text{---} \\ &+ \text{---} \text{<} \text{---} \text{---} \text{---} + \dots \end{aligned}$$

Figure 5: Vertex renormalization for diffusion-limited annihilation $3A \rightarrow \emptyset$.

renormalized rate: $g_R = Z_g (\lambda/D) B_{kd} \mu^{-2(1-d/d_c)}$:

$$Z_g^{-1} = 1 + \frac{\lambda B_{kd} \mu^{-2(1-d/d_c)}}{D(d_c - d)}, \quad B_{kd} = \frac{k! \Gamma(2 - d/d_c) d_c}{k^{d/2} (4\pi)^{d/d_c}},$$

$$\implies \beta_g = \mu \partial_\mu |_0 g_R = -\frac{2g_R}{d_c} (d - d_c + g_R), \quad g^* = d_c - d.$$

RG equation (6) for particle *density* $a(t)$, $[a] = \mu^d$, $(\mu\ell)^2 = 1/Dt$:

$$\left[d + 2Dt \frac{\partial}{\partial(Dt)} - d n_0 \frac{\partial}{\partial n_0} + \beta_g \frac{\partial}{\partial g_R} \right] a(\mu, D, n_0, g_R, t) = 0;$$

solution: $a(\mu, D, n_0, g_R, t) = (D\mu^2 t)^{-d/2} \hat{a}(n_0 (D\mu^2 t)^{d/2}, \tilde{g}(t))$,

need to establish that result finite to *all* orders in $n_0 \rightarrow \infty$!

$$\begin{aligned} \implies k = 2 : \quad d < 2 : a(t) &\sim (Dt)^{-d/2}, \\ d = 2 : a(t) &\sim (Dt)^{-1} \ln(Dt), \\ d > 2 : a(t) &\sim (\lambda t)^{-1}; \\ k = 3 : \quad d = 1 : a(t) &\sim [(Dt)^{-1} \ln(Dt)]^{1/2}, \\ d > 1 : a(t) &\sim (\lambda t)^{-1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

9 Segregation and reaction fronts: $A + B \rightarrow \emptyset$

Two *distinct* species A, B , *no* reactions between same species:
mean-field rate equations: $\partial_t a(t) = -\lambda a(t) b(t) = \partial_t b(t)$.

Equal initial densities $a(0) = b(0)$: $a(t) = b(t) \sim 1/\lambda t$;
unequal initial densities $a(0) > b(0)$: $a(t) \rightarrow a_\infty > 0$, $b(t) \rightarrow 0$,
from rate equations for $d > 2$: $\delta a(t) \sim b(t) \sim e^{-[a(0)-b(0)]t}$;
with reaction rate *renormalization* as for $A + A \rightarrow \emptyset$:

$$d < 2 : \ln b(t) \sim -t^{d/2}, \quad d = 2 : \ln b(t) \sim -t/\ln(Dt) .$$

Add *diffusion*: $\partial_t a(x, t) = D_A \nabla^2 a(x, t) - \lambda a(x, t) b(x, t)$;

$D_A = D_B$: *conservation law* $c(t) = a(t) - b(t) = \text{const.}$

\implies *diffusive* mode: $\partial_t c(x, t) = D \nabla^2 c(x, t)$, use Green function

$$G_0(q, t) = \Theta(t) e^{-Dq^2 t}, \quad G_0(x, t) = \frac{\Theta(t)}{(4\pi Dt)^{d/2}} e^{-x^2/4Dt};$$

$$\implies c(x, t) = \int d^d x' G_0(x - x', t) c(x', 0) .$$

For $a(0) = b(0) \implies c(0) = 0$, assume *Poisson distribution*:

$$\overline{a(x, 0) a(x', 0)} = a(0)^2 + a(0) \delta(x - x'), \quad \overline{a(x, 0) b(x', 0)} = a(0)^2 \implies$$

$$\overline{c(x, 0) c(x', 0)} = 2 a(0) \delta(x - x'), \quad \text{average over initial conditions:}$$

$$\overline{c(x, t)^2} = 2 a(0) \int d^d x' G_0(x - x', t)^2 = 2 a(0) (8\pi Dt)^{-d/2};$$

since distribution for c will be Gaussian, *local density excess*:

$$|\overline{c(x, t)}| = \sqrt{2 \overline{c(x, t)^2} / \pi} = 2 \sqrt{a(0) / \pi} (8\pi Dt)^{-d/4};$$

$d < d_s = 4$: decays *slower* than $t^{-1} \implies$ species *segregation*,

particle distribution *non-uniform*, $a(t) \sim b(t) \sim (Dt)^{-d/4}$,

notice: *mean-field* effect, does *not* require renormalization !

Special initial state in $d = 1$, hard-core particles or $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$:

$\dots ABABABABAB \dots \longrightarrow \dots ABABAB \dots$, as $A + A \rightarrow \emptyset$.

Reactions confined to sharp *reaction fronts*:

steady-state approximation, fixed particle *current* J along x :

$$(\partial_x a, \partial_x b) \rightarrow \begin{cases} (-J, 0) & \text{as } x \rightarrow -\infty \\ (0, J) & \text{as } x \rightarrow \infty \end{cases}, \quad c(x) = a(x) - b(x) = Jx,$$

$\implies D \nabla_x^2 a(x) - \lambda Jx a(x) - \lambda a(x)^2 = 0$, solution obeys *scaling*:

$$a(x) = (DJ^2/\lambda)^{1/3} \hat{a}((\lambda J/D)^{1/3}x);$$

steady-state reaction front scaling law:

$$R(x) = \lambda a(x) b(x) = J^{\beta'} \hat{R}(x J^{\alpha'});$$

$d > 2$: *mean-field* exponents: $\alpha'_0 = 1/3$, $\beta'_0 = 4/3$;

$d \leq 2$: no anomalous field dimensions, $g_R \rightarrow g^*$, since

$$[R] = \mu^{d+2}, [J] = \mu^{d+1} \implies \alpha' = 1/(d+1), \beta' = (d+2)/(d+1);$$

time-dependence: $J(t) \sim a(t)/(Dt)^{1/2} \sim t^{-\lambda}$, $\lambda = (d+2)/4$.

Generalize to q -species annihilation $A_i + A_j \rightarrow \emptyset$, $1 \leq i < j \leq q$:

no conservation law for $q > 2$; for equal $a_i(0)$, rates D_i , λ_{ij} :

$d \geq 2$: $a_i(t)$ scale as $A + A \rightarrow \emptyset$ (obvious for $q = \infty$).

$d = 1$, $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$: *topology* allows segregation, *deterministic* model:

$$a_i(t) \sim t^{-\alpha(q)} + C t^{-1/2}; \quad \alpha(q) = \frac{q-1}{2q},$$

reaction zone: $J(t) \sim t^{-\lambda(q)}$, $\lambda(q) = (2q-1)/4q$.

In *special* situations recover $A + A \rightarrow \emptyset$ behavior, e.g.:

$\dots ABCDABCDABCD \dots \longrightarrow \dots ABADCD \dots$

Cyclic variant: e.g., $q = 4$, allow only

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} A + B \rightarrow \emptyset, \quad B + C \rightarrow \emptyset \\ C + D \rightarrow \emptyset, \quad D + A \rightarrow \emptyset \end{array} \right\} \text{identify } A = C, B = D \implies A + B \rightarrow \emptyset \text{ scaling.}$$

$$2 < d_s(q) = \begin{cases} 4 & q = 2, 4, 6, \dots \\ 4 \cos(\pi/q) & q = 3, 5, 7, \dots \end{cases} : \alpha(q, d) = d/d_s(q);$$

$$d_s(5) = 1 + \sqrt{5}, \alpha(5, 2) = \frac{1}{2}(\sqrt{5} - 1), \alpha(5, 3) = \frac{3}{4}(\sqrt{5} - 1).$$

10 Absorbing states and directed percolation

Competing $A \rightarrow \emptyset$, $A \rightleftharpoons A + A$ with diffusion:

$$\partial_t a(x, t) = -D (r - \nabla^2) a(x, t) - \lambda a(x, t)^2, \quad r = (\kappa - \sigma)/D,$$

biology, ecology: *Fisher–Kolmogoroff* equation (1937).

Continuous transition from active to *absorbing* state at $r = 0$, define *critical exponents* in analogy to equilibrium:

$$\begin{aligned} \xi(\tau) &\sim |\tau|^{-\nu}, \quad t_c(\tau) \sim |\tau|^{-z\nu}, \quad \tau < 0 : a_\infty \sim |\tau|^\beta, \\ \tau = 0 : a_c(t) &\sim t^{-\alpha}, \quad \omega_c(q) \sim |q|^z, \quad C(q) \sim |q|^{-2+\eta}; \end{aligned}$$

Gaussian exponent values: $\eta_0 = 0$, $\nu_0 = \frac{1}{2}$, $z = 2$, $\alpha = 1 = \beta$.

Coherent-state path integral (3) for master equation:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}[\hat{\psi}, \psi] &= \int d^d x \int dt \left[\hat{\psi} (\partial_t - D \nabla^2) \psi - \kappa (1 - \hat{\psi}) \psi \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sigma (1 - \hat{\psi}) \hat{\psi} \psi - \lambda (1 - \hat{\psi}) \hat{\psi} \psi^2 \right]; \end{aligned}$$

shift and rescale $\hat{\psi}(x, t) = 1 + \sqrt{\frac{\sigma}{\lambda}} \tilde{S}(x, t)$, $\psi(x, t) = \sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{\sigma}} S(x, t)$

$$\begin{aligned} \implies \mathcal{A}[\tilde{S}, S] &= \int d^d x \int dt \left\{ \tilde{S} [\partial_t + D (r - \nabla^2)] S \right. \\ &\quad \left. - u (\tilde{S} - S) \tilde{S} S + \lambda \tilde{S}^2 S^2 \right\}, \quad (8) \end{aligned}$$

where $u = \sqrt{\sigma \lambda}$, $[u] = \mu^{2-d/2} \implies d_c = 4$, $[\lambda] = \mu^{2-d}$ *irrelevant!*
 $\lambda = 0$: *Reggeon field theory*, invariant under *rapidity inversion*
 $S(x, t) \leftrightarrow -\tilde{S}(x, -t)$; formally *equivalent* to Langevin equation:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial S(x, t)}{\partial t} &= D (\nabla^2 - r) S(x, t) - u S(x, t)^2 + \zeta(x, t), \\ \langle \zeta(x, t) \rangle &= 0, \quad \langle \zeta(x, t) \zeta(x', t') \rangle = 2u S(x, t) \delta(x - x') \delta(t - t'), \end{aligned}$$

\implies scaling properties of critical *directed percolation* clusters.




Figure 6: Directed percolation process (left) and critical DP cluster (right).

Phenomenological approach to simple epidemic process (SEP):

1. A ‘susceptible’ medium becomes locally ‘infected’, depending on the density n of neighboring ‘sick’ individuals. The infected regions recover after a brief time interval.
2. The state with $n \equiv 0$ is *absorbing*. This state is equivalent to the *extinction* of the ‘disease’.
3. The disease spreads out *diffusively* via the short-range infection (1) of neighboring susceptible regions.
4. Microscopic fast degrees of freedom are incorporated as *local noise* or stochastic forces that respect statement (2), i.e., the noise alone cannot regenerate the disease.

Coarse-grained mesoscopic Langevin representation:

$$\partial_t n = D (\nabla^2 - R[n]) n + \zeta, \quad L[n] = n N[n];$$

near extinction threshold: $R[n] = r + un + \dots$, $N[n] = v + \dots$,
higher-order terms *irrelevant* in RG sense, upon rescaling:
response functional (4) yields Reggeon field theory (8) for DP.

\implies *DP conjecture* (Janssen 1981, Grassberger 1982):

The critical behavior of an order parameter with *Markovian* stochastic dynamics, decoupled from any other slow variable, that describes a transition from an active to an inactive, *absorbing* state should be in the DP universality class.

11 DP critical exponents

Explicit evaluation by means of dynamic perturbation theory:

$$\Gamma^{(1,1)}(q, \omega) = i\omega + D(r + q^2) + \frac{u^2}{D} \int_k \frac{1}{i\omega/2D + r + q^2/4 + k^2}.$$

Criticality condition, percolation threshold shift $\tau = r - r_c$:

$$\Gamma^{(1,1)}(0, 0) = 0 \text{ at } r = r_c \implies r_c = -\frac{u^2}{D^2} \int_k \frac{1}{r_c + k^2} + O(u^4);$$

$$\Gamma^{(1,1)}(q, \omega) = i\omega + D(\tau + q^2) - \frac{u^2}{D} \int_k \frac{i\omega/2D + \tau + q^2/4}{k^2 (i\omega/2D + \tau + q^2/4 + k^2)},$$




Figure 7: DP renormalization: one-loop diagrams for the vertex functions (a) $\Gamma^{(1,1)}$ (propagator self-energy), and (b) $\Gamma^{(1,2)}$, $\Gamma^{(2,1)}$ (non-linear vertices).

$$\Gamma^{(1,2)}(\{\underline{0}\}) = -\Gamma^{(2,1)}(\{\underline{0}\}) = -2u \left(1 - \frac{2u^2}{D^2} \int_k \frac{1}{(\tau + k^2)^2} \right).$$

Renormalization: $S_R = Z_S^{1/2} S$, $\tilde{S}_R = Z_S^{1/2} \tilde{S}$, $D_R = Z_D D$,
 $\tau_R = Z_\tau \tau \mu^{-2}$, $u_R = Z_u u A_d^{1/2} \mu^{(d-4)/2}$, $A_d = \Gamma(3-d/2)/2^{d-1} \pi^{d/2}$,

$$\implies Z_S = 1 - \frac{u^2}{2D^2} \frac{A_d \mu^{-\epsilon}}{\epsilon}, \quad Z_D = 1 + \frac{u^2}{4D^2} \frac{A_d \mu^{-\epsilon}}{\epsilon},$$

$$Z_\tau = 1 - \frac{3u^2}{4D^2} \frac{A_d \mu^{-\epsilon}}{\epsilon}, \quad Z_u = 1 - \frac{5u^2}{4D^2} \frac{A_d \mu^{-\epsilon}}{\epsilon};$$

$$\implies \gamma_S = v_R/2, \quad \gamma_D = -v_R/4, \quad \gamma_\tau = -2 + 3v_R/4;$$

$$\text{with } v_R = \frac{Z_u^2}{Z_D^2} \frac{u^2}{D^2} A_d \mu^{d-4} \implies \beta_v = v_R [-\epsilon + 3v_R + O(v_R^2)].$$

Stable RG fixed point for $\epsilon = 4 - d > 0$: $v^* = \epsilon/3 + O(\epsilon^2)$.

Solve Callan–Symanzik equation (6) for *correlation function*:

$$C_R(\tau_R, q, \omega)^{-1} \approx q^2 \ell^{\gamma_S^*} \hat{C}_R\left(\tau_R \ell^{\gamma_\tau^*}, v^*, \frac{q}{\mu \ell}, \frac{\omega}{D_R \mu^2 \ell^{2+\gamma_D^*}}\right)^{-1}$$

\implies identify *critical exponents* for directed percolation:

$$\begin{aligned} \eta &= -\gamma_S^* = -\frac{\epsilon}{6} + O(\epsilon^2), & \frac{1}{\nu} &= -\gamma_\tau^* = 2 - \frac{\epsilon}{4} + O(\epsilon^2), \\ z &= 2 + \gamma_D^* = 2 - \frac{\epsilon}{12} + O(\epsilon^2); \end{aligned}$$

in the same manner for *order parameter* near v^* :

$$\begin{aligned} \langle S_R(\tau_R, t) \rangle &\approx \mu^{d/2} \ell^{(d-\gamma_S^*)/2} \hat{S}(\tau_R \ell^{\gamma_\tau^*}, v_R^*, D_R \mu^2 \ell^{2+\gamma_D^*} t) \implies \\ \beta &= \frac{\nu(d+\eta)}{2} = 1 - \frac{\epsilon}{6} + O(\epsilon^2), & \alpha &= \frac{\beta}{z\nu} = 1 - \frac{\epsilon}{4} + O(\epsilon^2). \end{aligned}$$

Scaling exponents for various physical quantities are known; beware of *different* definitions for these scaling exponents !

Scaling exponent	$d = 1$	$d = 2$	$d = 4 - \epsilon$
$\xi \sim \tau ^{-\nu}$	$\nu \approx 1.100$	$\nu \approx 0.735$	$\nu = 1/2 + \epsilon/16 + O(\epsilon^2)$
$t_c \sim \xi^z \sim \tau ^{-z\nu}$	$z \approx 1.576$	$z \approx 1.73$	$z = 2 - \epsilon/12 + O(\epsilon^2)$
$a_\infty \sim \tau ^\beta$	$\beta \approx 0.2765$	$\beta \approx 0.584$	$\beta = 1 - \epsilon/6 + O(\epsilon^2)$
$a_c(t) \sim t^{-\alpha}$	$\alpha \approx 0.160$	$\alpha \approx 0.46$	$\alpha = 1 - \epsilon/4 + O(\epsilon^2)$

Table 2: Comparison of the DP critical exponent values from Monte Carlo simulations with the results from the ϵ expansion.

DP critical exponents measured to high precision in Monte Carlo simulations; but *experimental* confirmation ?

12 Dynamic percolation, multi-species DP

General epidemic process (GEP) or epidemic with removal:

- (1') The susceptible medium becomes infected, depending on the densities n and m of sick individuals and the ‘debris’, respectively. After a brief time interval, the sick individuals decay into immune debris, which ultimately stops the disease locally by exhausting the supply of susceptible regions.
- (2') The states with $n = 0$ and any spatial distribution of m are *absorbing*, and describe the *extinction* of the disease.

Debris: $m(x, t) = \kappa \int_{-\infty}^t n(x, t') dt' \implies$ Langevin description

$$\frac{\partial S(x, t)}{\partial t} = D (\nabla^2 - r) S(x, t) - D u S(x, t) \int_{-\infty}^t S(x, t') dt' + \zeta(x, t), \quad \langle \zeta(x, t) \zeta(x', t') \rangle = 2u S(x, t) \delta(x - x') \delta(t - t'),$$

Quasistatic limit: $\tilde{\varphi}(x) = \tilde{S}(x, t \rightarrow \infty)$, $\varphi(x) = D \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} S(x, t') dt'$

$$\implies \mathcal{A}_{\text{qst}}[\tilde{\varphi}, \varphi] = \int d^d x \tilde{\varphi} [r - \nabla^2 - u(\tilde{\varphi} - \varphi)] \varphi,$$

yields *isotropic percolation* exponents with $d_c = 6$, $\epsilon = 6 - d$: $\eta = -\epsilon/21 + O(\epsilon^2)$, $\nu^{-1} = 2 - 5\epsilon/21 + O(\epsilon^2)$, $\beta = 1 - \epsilon/7 + O(\epsilon^2)$.




Figure 8: Isotropic percolation cluster.

Dynamics: $z = 2 - \epsilon/6 + O(\epsilon^2) \implies$ *dynamic isotropic percolation*.

Multi-species generalizations of directed percolation processes:
 couple $A_i \rightarrow \emptyset$, $A_i \rightleftharpoons A_i + A_i$ via $A_i \rightleftharpoons A_j + A_j (j \neq i)$:

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t S_i &= D_i (\nabla^2 - R_i[S_i]) S_i + \zeta_i, \quad R_i[S_i] = r_i + \sum_j g_{ij} S_j + \dots, \\ \langle \zeta_i \rangle &= 0, \quad \langle \zeta_i(x, t) \zeta_j(x', t') \rangle = 2S_i N_i[S_i] \delta(x - x') \delta(t - t') \delta_{ij}, \\ N_i[S_i] &= u_i + \dots; \end{aligned}$$

renormalization factors precisely as for single-species DP,
 \implies *generically* critical behavior in DP universality class,
 e.g., extinction threshold in *stochastic Lotka–Volterra* system.

But reactions *generate* $A_i \rightarrow A_j$, additional terms $\sum_{j \neq i} g_j S_j$,
 inter-species couplings become asymptotically *unidirectional*
 \implies special *multicritical* points when r_i vanish simultaneously;
 two species: $A \rightarrow \emptyset$, $A \rightleftharpoons A + A$, $B \rightarrow \emptyset$, $B \rightleftharpoons B + B$, $A \rightarrow B$




Figure 9: Coupled DP processes: critical lines and multicritical point.

hierarchy of order parameter exponents β_k on the k -th level:

$$\beta_1 = 1 - \frac{\epsilon}{6} + O(\epsilon^2), \quad \beta_2 = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{13\epsilon}{96} + O(\epsilon^2), \dots, \beta_k = \frac{1}{2^k} - O(\epsilon);$$

crossover exponent $\Phi = 1$ to *all* orders.

Analogous features emerge for *multi-species dIP* processes.

13 Parity-conserving universality class

Branching/annihilating random walks: $A \rightarrow (m+1)A$, $kA \rightarrow \emptyset$
rate equation: $\partial_t a(t) = \sigma a(t) - k\lambda a(t)^k \implies$ only active phase:

$$a(t) = \frac{a_\infty}{\left[1 + ([a_\infty/a(0)]^{k-1} - 1) e^{-(k-1)\sigma t}\right]^{1/(k-1)}}$$

$$\rightarrow a_\infty = (\sigma/k\lambda)^{1/(k-1)};$$

$d > d_c(k) = 2/(k-1)$: expect ‘transition’ at $\sigma_c = 0$,

mean-field exponents: $\eta_0 = 0$, $\nu_0 = \frac{1}{2}$, $\alpha_0 = \frac{1}{k-1} = \beta_0$.

Coherent state path integral (3) for master equation, $k = 2$:

$$\mathcal{A}[\hat{\psi}, \psi] = \int d^d x \int dt \left[\hat{\psi} (\partial_t - D \nabla^2) \psi + \sigma (1 - \hat{\psi}^m) \hat{\psi} \psi - \lambda (1 - \hat{\psi}^2) \psi^2 \right],$$

massive propagator $G_0(q, \omega)^{-1} = -i\omega + \sigma + Dq^2$;

reactions *generate* processes $A \rightarrow (m-1)A, (m-3)A, \dots$;

one-loop: $\gamma_\sigma^m = -2 + \frac{m(m+1)}{2} \epsilon \implies m = 1, 2$ most relevant.




Figure 10: BARW field theory: (a) branching (top) and annihilation (bottom) vertices; (b) one-loop Feynman diagrams generating the $A \rightarrow (m-1)A$ process, and renormalizing the branching and annihilation rates, respectively.

$m = 1, 3, \dots$ *odd*: generates $A \rightarrow \emptyset \implies DP$ universality class, *provided* induced decay rate large enough; true for $d \leq d_c = 2$;

non-perturbative ‘exact’ RG: also in higher dimensions.

$m = 2, 4, \dots$ *even*: action invariant under $\hat{\psi} \rightarrow -\hat{\psi}$, $\psi \rightarrow -\psi$, symmetry reflects particle number *parity conservation (PC)*.

Renormalization: Z factors retain dependence on σ from mass;
 $\sigma_R = Z_\sigma \sigma / D \mu^2$, $\lambda_R = Z_\lambda \lambda C_d / D \mu^{2-d}$, $C_d = \Gamma(2-d/2)/2^{d-1} \pi^{d/2}$:

$$\gamma_\sigma = -2 + \frac{3\lambda_R}{(1 + \sigma_R)^{2-d/2}}, \quad \gamma_\lambda = d - 2 + \frac{\lambda_R}{(1 + \sigma_R)^{2-d/2}}.$$

$\sigma_R = 0$: pure annihilation fixed point $g^* = 2 - d$;

$\sigma_R \rightarrow \infty$: *effective coupling* $\implies g_R \approx \lambda_R / \sigma_R^{2-d/2}$

$$\beta_g(g_R) \approx g_R \left[\gamma_\lambda - \left(2 - \frac{d}{2} \right) \gamma_\sigma \right] = g_R \left[2 - \frac{10 - 3d}{2} g_R \right];$$

$g^* = 0$: stable, describes (Gaussian) *active* phase,

$g_c = 4/(10 - 3d) \leq 2 - d$ if $d \leq d'_c = \frac{4}{3}$, unstable: *critical point*.




Figure 11: Phase diagram and unstable critical RG fixed point $1/g_c$ for even-offspring BARW (PC universality class) as function of dimension d .

One-loop Callan–Symanzik equation (6) for particle density:

$a(\mu, D, \sigma_R, \lambda_R, t) = (\mu\ell)^d \hat{a}(D\mu^2 \ell^2 t, \sigma_R \ell^{\gamma_\sigma^*}, \lambda_R \ell^{\gamma_\lambda^*})$, so $\eta = 0$, $z = 2$;

$d'_c < d = 2 - \epsilon \leq 2$: $\nu^{-1} = -\gamma_\sigma^* = 2 - 3\epsilon$, $\alpha = d/2$, $\beta = d\nu = z\nu\alpha$.

At *fixed* $d \leq d'_c = \frac{4}{3}$, using the mean-field expression:

$$a(\mu, D, \sigma_R, \lambda_R, t) = \mu^d \frac{\sigma_R}{\lambda_R} \ell^{d+\gamma_\sigma^*-\gamma_\lambda^*} \tilde{a}(\sigma_R \mu^2 t \ell^{2+\gamma_\sigma^*}, |g_c - g_R| \ell^{\beta'_g^*}),$$

$$\implies \nu = \frac{2 + \gamma_\sigma^*}{-\beta'_g^*} = \frac{3}{10 - 3d}, \quad \beta = \frac{d + \gamma_\sigma^* - \gamma_\lambda^*}{-\beta'_g^*} = \frac{4}{10 - 3d}.$$

q species: $A_i \rightarrow 3A_i$ (rate σ), $A_i \rightarrow A_i + 2A_{j \neq i}(\sigma')$, $A_i + A_i \rightarrow \emptyset$;

$q \geq 2$: σ irrelevant, $\sigma'_c = 0$, and $\nu = 1/d$, $z = 2$, $\alpha = d/2$, $\beta = 1$.

14 Some open issues

Reaction–diffusion systems:

- better analytical understanding of PC universality class, non-perturbative methods ?
- higher-order reactions; example: *pair contact process with diffusion (PCPD)*, $A + A \rightarrow \emptyset/A$, $A + A \rightarrow (n + 2) A$: inactive state as for PC, $a \rightarrow \infty$ in active phase \implies well-defined transition requires occupation number *restrictions*; in field theory: branching process $\rightarrow \sigma (1 - \hat{\psi}) \hat{\psi} \psi e^{-2v \hat{\psi} \psi}$, $[v] = \kappa^{-d} \rightarrow$ expand in v ; resulting theory has *no* stable finite RG fixed point \implies continuum limit clearly subtle; requires explicit inclusion of particle *pair* density ?
- generalization to full classification of scaling properties in *multi-species* systems incomplete and formidable program;
- *experimental* realizations, confirmations, applications ?
 $A + A \rightarrow A$: exciton kinetics in quasi-1D polymers; others ?
- role of *quenched disorder* quite poorly understood . . . ?
DP, random percolation threshold \implies RG runaway flows.

Generally for non-equilibrium systems:

- role of universality less prominent, but still *useful* concept; complete *classification* possible ?
- connection to ‘*real*’ systems, *experimental* confirmation ?
- applications to biological systems fruitful and promising, but *non-universal* features often crucial.