

# Discrete evolution operator for $q$ -deformed isotropic top

A. P. Isaev<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>**Bogoliubov Laboratory of Theoretical Physics,  
JINR, Dubna, Russia**

Based on the paper

A.P. Isaev and P.N. Pyatov, "Spectral extension of the quantum group cotangent bundle", arXiv:0812.2225[math.QA],  
to appear in Comm. Math. Phys. (2009).

## 1 Introduction

- Braid group and Hecke algebras
- $R$ -matrices
- $RTT$  and Reflection equation (RE) algebras
- Heisenberg double of  $RTT$  and RE algebras

## 2 Discrete time evolution on quantum group cotangent bundle

- Automorphisms on the Heisenberg double algebra
- Evolution operator  $\Theta$  in  $SL_q(n)$  case
- Solutions for evolution operator  $\Theta$
- Example

## 3 Summary

## 2. Braid group and Hecke algebras

### Braid group $\mathcal{B}_{M+1}$

is generated by invertible elements  $\sigma_i$  ( $i = 1, \dots, M$ ) subject relations:

$$\text{Braid : } \sigma_i \sigma_{i+1} \sigma_i = \sigma_{i+1} \sigma_i \sigma_{i+1} ,$$

$$\text{Locality : } \sigma_i \sigma_j = \sigma_j \sigma_i \quad (|i - j| > 1) ,$$

**Finite-dimensional quotient:**

$$\text{Hecke algebra } H_{M+1}(q): \quad (\sigma_i - q)(\sigma_i + q^{-1}) = 0 ,$$

where  $q$  is a parameter such that

$$j_q := \frac{q^j - q^{-j}}{q - q^{-1}} \neq 0 \quad (\forall j = 2, 3, \dots)$$

## 2. Algebraic solutions of YBE and (anti)symmetrizers

Let  $x, y$  be *spectral* parameters. The Yang-Baxter equation (YBE) is:

$$\sigma_n(x) \sigma_{n+1}(xy) \sigma_n(y) = \sigma_{n+1}(y) \sigma_n(xy) \sigma_{n+1}(x) \quad (\forall n).$$

where "baxterized" elements  $\sigma_n(x) \in H_{M+1}$  are ( [M.Jimbo \(1986\)](#)):

$$\sigma_n(x, a) = \frac{\sigma_n - x\sigma_n^{-1}}{a - xa^{-1}} \in H_{M+1} \quad (n = 1, \dots, M),$$

and, for  $a = \pm q^{\pm 1}$ , satisfy unitary condition:  $\sigma_n(x, a)\sigma_n(x^{-1}, a) = 1$ .  
Define elements  $A^{(k)}(a) \in H_{M+1}$  by recursive relations

( [M.Jimbo \(1986\)](#)):

$$A^{(1)} = 1, \quad A^{(k+1)}(a) = A^{(k)}(a) \sigma_k(a^{2k}, -a^{-1}) A^{(k)}(a),$$

which are symmetrizers for  $a = -q^{-1}$  and antisymmetrizers for  $a = q$ .

## 2. R-matrices

Let  $V$  be a finite dimensional  $\mathbf{C}$ -linear space. For any operator  $X \in \text{End}(V \otimes V)$  and integers  $i > 0, j > 0$  we denote

$$X_{ii+1} := I^{\otimes(i-1)} \otimes X \otimes I^{\otimes(j-1)} \in \text{End}(V^{\otimes(i+j)}),$$

where  $I \in \text{Aut}(V)$  is the identity operator.

**Def 1.** An operator  $\hat{R} \in \text{Aut}(V \otimes V)$  is called an *R-matrix* if

$$\hat{R}_{12} \hat{R}_{23} \hat{R}_{12} = \hat{R}_{23} \hat{R}_{12} \hat{R}_{23}.$$

Any R-matrix defines the representation  $\rho_R$  of  $\mathcal{B}_{M+1}$ :

$$\rho_R(\sigma_i) = \hat{R}_{ii+1}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq M.$$

An R-matrix  $\hat{R}$  is called a Hecke type R-matrix if

$$(\hat{R} - q\mathbf{1})(\hat{R} + q^{-1}\mathbf{1}) = 0, \quad (\mathbf{1} = I \otimes I).$$

In this case  $\rho_R$  gives a representation of the Hecke algebra  $\mathcal{H}_{M+1}(q)$ .

## 2. $GL_q(n)$ type R-matrices

Consider R-matrix image  $\mathcal{A}^{(k)} := \rho_R(\mathcal{A}^{(k)}(q))$  of antisymmetrizer  $\mathcal{A}^{(k)}(q) \in \mathcal{H}_{M+1}(q)$ :

$$\mathcal{A}^{(k+1)} = \frac{[k]_q}{[k+1]_q} \mathcal{A}^{(k)} \left( \frac{q^k}{[k]_q} I - \hat{R}_k \right) \mathcal{A}^{(k)} \in \text{End}(V^{\otimes(k+1)}).$$

**Def 2.** A Hecke type R-matrix  $\hat{R}$  for  $q$ -generic is called  $GL_q(n)$  type R-matrix if it satisfies

$$1.) \mathcal{A}^{(n+1)} = 0 \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{A}^{(n)} \left( \frac{q^n}{[n]_q} I - \hat{R}_n \right) \mathcal{A}^{(n)} = 0, \quad 2.) \text{rk}(\mathcal{A}^{(n)}) = 1.$$

An example of  $GL_q(n)$  type R-matrix is the standard Drinfeld-Jimbo's R-matrix

$$\hat{R}^\circ = \sum_{i,j=1}^n q^{\delta_{ij}} E_{ij} \otimes E_{ji} + (q - q^{-1}) \sum_{i < j} E_{ii} \otimes E_{jj},$$

**Def 3.**  $\hat{R}$  is called *skew invertible* if  $\exists \Psi \in \text{End}(V^{\otimes 2})$  such that

$$\text{Tr}_{(2)} \hat{R}_{12} \Psi_{23} = \text{Tr}_{(2)} \Psi_{12} \hat{R}_{23} = P_{13},$$

where  $\text{Tr}_{(i)}$  – trace in  $i$ -th space, and  $P(v_1 \otimes v_2) = v_2 \otimes v_1$  ( $\forall v_{1,2} \in V$ ).

With any skew invertible  $\hat{R}$  we associate operators  $D, C \in \text{End}(V)$ :

$$D_1 = \text{Tr}_{(2)} \Psi_{12}, \quad C_2 = \text{Tr}_{(1)} \Psi_{12},$$

Then, we define a pair of quantum traces ( $q$ -traces)

$$Y \mapsto \text{Tr}_D(Y) := \text{Tr}(D Y), \quad \text{Tr}_C(Y) := \text{Tr}(C Y), \quad Y \in \text{End}_W(V).$$

which possess many remarkable properties, e.g., ( $\varepsilon = \pm 1$ )

$$\text{Tr}_{D(2)}(\hat{R}_{12}^\varepsilon Y_1 \hat{R}_{12}^{-\varepsilon}) = I_1 \text{Tr}_D(Y), \quad \text{Tr}_{C(1)}(\hat{R}_{12}^\varepsilon Y_2 \hat{R}_{12}^{-\varepsilon}) = I_2 \text{Tr}_C(Y),$$

$$\text{Tr}_{C,D}(1, \dots, k) \left( \left[ \hat{R}_{ii+1}, Y^{(k)} \right] \right) = 0 \quad (\forall 1 < i < k, \forall Y^{(k)} \in \text{End}_W(V^{\otimes k})).$$

### 3. *RTT* and Reflection equation (RE) algebras

Quantized functions over matrix group (RTT algebra)  
(L.Faddeev,N.Reshetikhin,L.Takhtajan (1989)).

Let  $\hat{R}$  be a skew invertible R-matrix. Consider an associative unital algebra generated by matrix components  $\|T_j^i\|_{i,j=1}^{\dim V}$  which satisfy

$$\hat{R}_{12} T_1 T_2 = T_1 T_2 \hat{R}_{12} .$$

The extension of this algebra by a set of components  $\|(T^{-1})^i\|_{i,j=1}^{\dim V}$ :

$$\sum_k T_k^i (T^{-1})_j^k = \sum_k (T^{-1})_k^i T_j^k = \delta_j^i 1 ,$$

is a Hopf algebra with coproduct, counit and antipode mappings:

$$\Delta(T_j^i) = \sum_k T_k^i \otimes T_j^k , \quad \epsilon(T_j^i) = \delta_j^i , \quad S(T_j^i) = (T^{-1})_j^i .$$

This algebra is called an RTT algebra and denoted by  $\mathcal{F}[\hat{R}]$ .



**Def 4.** Let  $\hat{R}$  be a skew invertible  $R$ -matrix. An associative unital algebra  $\mathcal{L}[\hat{R}]$  with generators  $\|L_j^i\|_{i,j=1}^{\dim V}$  satisfying relations

$$L_1 \hat{R}_{12} L_1 \hat{R}_{12} = \hat{R}_{12} L_1 \hat{R}_{12} L_1,$$

is called a reflection equation (RE) algebra.

**Example.** (L.Faddeev, N.Reshetikhin, L.Takhtajan (1989))

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a quasitriangular Hopf algebra,  $\mathcal{R} \in \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{A}$  - universal  $R$ -matrix and  $T: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \text{End}(V)$ . Consider  $\mathcal{A}$ -valued matrices

$$L_j^{(+)} = \langle id \otimes T_j^i, \mathcal{R} \rangle, \quad L_j^{(-)} = \langle T_j^i \otimes id, \mathcal{R}^{-1} \rangle.$$

The components of  $L^{(\pm)}$  satisfy (it follows from the YBE for  $\mathcal{R}$ )

$$\hat{R}_{12} L_2^{(\pm)} L_1^{(\pm)} = L_2^{(\pm)} L_1^{(\pm)} \hat{R}_{12}, \quad \hat{R}_{12} L_2^{(+)} L_1^{(-)} = L_2^{(-)} L_1^{(+)} \hat{R}_{12},$$

where  $\hat{R}_{12} = P_{12} \langle T_1 \otimes T_2, \mathcal{R} \rangle$ . Then, the components of the matrix

$$L_j^i = \sum_k ((L^{(-)})^{-1})_k^j L_j^{(+)}{}^k = \langle id \otimes T_j^i, \mathcal{R}_{21} \mathcal{R}_{12} \rangle,$$

generate a reflection equation algebra.

Consider REA  $\mathcal{L}[\hat{R}]$  for Hecke type  $\hat{R}$  and introduce elements ( $a_0 = 1$ )

$$a_i = \text{Tr}_{D(1, \dots, i)} \left( \mathcal{A}^{(i)} L_{\overline{1}} \dots L_{\overline{i}} \right), \quad p_i = \text{Tr}_D(L^i) \quad (i \geq 1)$$

where  $L_{\overline{1}} := L_1$ ,  $L_{\overline{k+1}} := \hat{R}_k L_k \hat{R}_k^{-1}$ . Elements  $p_i$  and  $a_i$  are central and called power sums and elementary symmetric functions, resp.

**Prop. Cayley-Hamilton-Newton identities** (A.P.I., O.Ogievetsky, P.Pyatov (1998))

$$i_q \text{Tr}_{D(2, \dots, i)} (\mathcal{A}^{(i)} L_{\overline{2}} L_{\overline{3}} \dots L_{\overline{i}}) = (-1)^{i+1} \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} (-q)^j a_j L_1^{i-j-1} \quad \forall i \geq 2. \quad (1)$$

**Corollary 1. Newton relations** (multiply (1) by  $L_1$  from the left and take  $q$ -trace  $\text{Tr}_{D(1)}$ )

$$i_q a_i + (-1)^i \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} (-q)^j a_j p_{i-j} = 0 \quad \forall i \geq 1.$$

**Coroll. 2. Cayley-Hamilton identity** ( $\hat{R} - GL_q(n)$  type R-matrix; take (1) for  $i = n$ )

$$\sum_{j=0}^n (-q)^j a_j L^{n-j} = 0.$$

**Proposition.** The set of elementary SF  $\{a_j\}$  ( $j = 1, \dots, n$ ) generate the whole center in REA  $\mathcal{L}[\hat{R}_{GL_q(n)}]$ .

**Def 5.** A spectral extension of REA  $\mathcal{L}[\hat{R}]$  for  $GL_q(n)$  type  $\hat{R}$ -matrix is the extension of  $\mathcal{L}[\hat{R}]$  by a set of invertible central elements  $\mu_\alpha$  ( $\alpha = 1, \dots, n$ ) such that  $[\mu_\alpha, L_j^i] = 0$  and

$$a_i = \sum_{1 \leq j_1 < \dots < j_i \leq n} \mu_{j_1} \mu_{j_2} \dots \mu_{j_i} \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, n.$$

Then, Cayley-Hamilton identity can be written in factorized form

$$\sum_{j=0}^n (-q)^j a_j L^{n-j} = \prod_{\alpha=1}^n (L - q\mu_\alpha I) = 0,$$

and we have a formal resolution the matrix unity

$$P^\alpha := \prod_{\substack{\beta=1 \\ \beta \neq \alpha}}^n \frac{(L - q\mu_\beta I)}{q(\mu_\alpha - \mu_\beta)} : P^\alpha P^\beta = \delta_{\alpha\beta} P^\alpha, \quad \sum_{\alpha=1}^n P^\alpha = I,$$

so that:  $L P^\alpha = P^\alpha L = q\mu_\alpha P^\alpha$ .

## 4. Heisenberg double of $RTT$ and RE algebras

**Def 6.** A Heisenberg double (HD) algebra of the  $RTT$  and RE algebras is an associative unital algebra  $(\mathcal{F} \sharp \mathcal{L})[\hat{R}]$  generated by  $T_j^i \in \mathcal{F}[\hat{R}]$  and  $L_j^i \in \mathcal{L}[\hat{R}]$  subject to cross commutation relation

$$\gamma^2 T_1 L_2 = \hat{R}_{12} L_1 \hat{R}_{12} T_1, \quad (\gamma \in \{\mathbf{C} \setminus \{0\}\}).$$

**Proposition.** The elementary SF:  $a_i \in \mathcal{L}[\hat{R}] \subset (\mathcal{F} \sharp \mathcal{L})[\hat{R}]$  commute with generators  $T_k^m$  as

$$\gamma^{2i} T_k^m a_i = a_i T_k^m - (q^2 - 1) \sum_{j=1}^i (-q)^{-j} a_{i-j} (L^j T)_k^m \quad \forall i \geq 0. \quad (2)$$

**Spectral extension of HD  $(\mathcal{F} \sharp \mathcal{L})[\hat{R}]$  in case of  $GL_q(n)$  type R-matrix.**

For spectral extension of  $(\mathcal{F} \sharp \mathcal{L})[\hat{R}_{GL_q(n)}]$  we have additional commutators

$$(P^\beta T) \mu_\alpha = \gamma^{-2} q^{2\delta_{\alpha\beta}} \mu_\alpha (P^\beta T) \quad \forall \alpha, \beta = 1, \dots, n,$$

which are compatible with (2).

**HD  $(\mathcal{F} \sharp \mathcal{L})[\hat{R}]$  is interpreted as quantum group cotangent bundle,**

## 5. Discrete time evolution on quantum group cotangent bundle

Consider sequence of automorphisms on the HD  $(\mathcal{F} \# \mathcal{L})[\hat{R}]$

$$\{T, L\} \xrightarrow{\theta^k} \{T(k), L(k)\}, \quad \forall k = 0, 1, 2, \dots,$$

$$\hat{R}_{12} T_1(k) T_2(k) = T_1(k) T_2(k) \hat{R}_{12}$$

$$\hat{R}_{12} L_1(k) \hat{R}_{12} L_1(k) = L_1(k) \hat{R}_{12} L_1(k) \hat{R}_{12},$$

$$\gamma^2 T_1(k) L_2(k) = \hat{R}_{12} L_1(k) \hat{R}_{12} T_1(k).$$

Here  $k$  is a discrete time. For any  $\hat{R}$ -matrix these automorphisms can be realized as (Faddeev–Alekseev discrete time evolution for the quantum top)

$$T(k) = L^k T, \quad L(k) = L.$$

## 5. Discrete time evolution for $SL_q(n)$ case

Consider the case when  $RTT$  algebra is  $SL_q(n)$  quantum group. In this case we require

$$\det_q(T) = \text{Tr}_{(1, \dots, n)} \left( \mathcal{A}^{(n)} T_1 T_2 \cdots T_n \right) = 1 .$$

Discrete time evolution must conserve this relation, i.e., we have  $\det_q(L^k T) = 1$  ( $\forall k > 0$ ). This leads to the conditions

$$a_n = \text{Tr}_{D(1, \dots, n)} \left( \mathcal{A}^{(n)} L_{\bar{1}} L_{\bar{2}} \cdots L_{\bar{n}} \right) = q^{-1} , \quad \gamma^n = q .$$

We will investigate the discrete evolution for HD of  $SL_q(N)$  type. The key point is that  $\exists$  the special evolution operator  $\Theta$ :

$$T(k+1) = L T(k) = \Theta T(k) \Theta^{-1} , \quad L(k+1) = \Theta L(k) \Theta^{-1} = L .$$

For the case of "ribbon Hopf algebra" the Faddeev-Alekseev evolution is given by  $\Theta =$  ribbon element.

## 5. Evolution operator $\Theta$ for $SL_q(n)$ case.

Thus, we have for  $k = 1$ :

$$LT = \Theta T \Theta^{-1}, \quad L = \Theta L \Theta^{-1},$$

and we assume  $\Theta = \Theta(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n)$ , where  $a_n = \prod_{\alpha=1}^n \mu_\alpha = q^{-1}$ .

**Proposition.** For the HD  $(\mathcal{F} \sharp \mathcal{L})[\hat{R}_{SL_q(n)}]$  the evolution operator  $\Theta(\mu_\alpha)$  is a solution of equations

$$\Theta(\nabla^\alpha(\mu_\beta)) = q^{-1} \mu_\alpha^{-1} \Theta(\mu_\beta) \quad \forall \alpha = 1, \dots, n, \quad (3)$$

where  $\nabla^\alpha$  are finite shift operators  $\nabla^\alpha(\mu_\beta) := q^{2X_{\alpha\beta}} \mu_\beta$  and the matrix  $X$  is a Gram matrix

$$X_{\alpha\beta} = \langle \vec{e}_\alpha^*, \vec{e}_\beta^* \rangle = \delta_{\alpha\beta} - \frac{1}{n} \quad (\alpha, \beta = 1, \dots, n),$$

for the set of vectors:  $\vec{e}_\alpha^* = \frac{1}{n} (\underbrace{-1, \dots, -1}_{(\alpha-1) \text{ times}}, n-1, -1, \dots, -1)$ .

**Proof.** Applying from the left the projector  $P^\alpha$  to both sides of  $LT = \Theta T \Theta^{-1}$  we obtain

$$q\mu_\alpha (P^\alpha T) = \Theta(P^\alpha T)\Theta^{-1}, \quad \forall \alpha = 1, \dots, n.$$

Multiplying this equality by  $\Theta$  from the right and permuting  $\Theta$  with  $P^\alpha T$  in the left hand side we finally obtain the functional equation for  $\Theta$ :

$$\Theta(\nabla^\alpha(\mu_\beta)) = q^{-1} \mu_\alpha^{-1} \Theta(\mu_\beta) \quad (\alpha = 1, \dots, n).$$

We look for a solution of this eq. as a series in  $\mu_\alpha$ :

$$\Theta(\mu_\alpha) = \sum_{\vec{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^{n-1}} c(\vec{k}) \mu_1^{k_1} \mu_2^{k_2} \dots \mu_{n-1}^{k_{n-1}}.$$

where  $\mathbb{Z}^{n-1} = (k_1, \dots, k_{n-1})$ ,  $c(\vec{k})$  are coefficient functions and, taking into account condition  $\prod_{\alpha=1}^n \mu_\alpha = q^{-1}$ , we exclude one variable  $\mu_n$  from the expansion.



As a result we obtain (partial solution):

**Proposition.** In case  $|q| < 1$  a solution is expressed via multidimensional theta-function

$$\Theta^{(1)}(\mu_\alpha) = \theta(\vec{z}, \Omega) = \sum_{\vec{k} \in \mathbf{Z}^{n-1}} \exp \left\{ \pi i (\vec{k}, \Omega \vec{k}) + 2\pi i (\vec{k}, \vec{z}) \right\},$$

where  $\tau$  is a modular parameter,  $\Omega$  is  $(n-1) \times (n-1)$  matrix of periods

$$q = \exp(2\pi i \tau), \quad q^{1/n} \mu_\alpha = \exp(2\pi i z_\alpha) : \sum_{\alpha=1}^n z_\alpha = 0,$$

$$\Omega_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{2\tau}{n} A_{\alpha\beta}^* = 2\tau \left( \delta_{\alpha\beta} - \frac{1}{n} \right),$$

---

Expression  $\Theta^{(1)}(\mu_\alpha)$  converges either if  $|q| < 1$ , or if  $q$  is a rational root of unity, when the series is truncated.

The  $(n-1) \times (n-1)$  matrix  $A_{\alpha\beta}^*$  is a Gram matrix of a lattice  $A_{n-1}^*$  dual to the root lattice  $A_{n-1}$ , since we have  $A_{\alpha\beta}^{*-1} = A_{\alpha\beta} = (\delta_{\alpha\beta} + 1)$  and  $A_{\alpha\beta} = (\mathbf{e}_\alpha, \mathbf{e}_\beta)$ , where  $\mathbf{e}_\alpha$  are simple roots of  $A_{n-1}$ .

**Remark.** The quadratic form  $(\vec{k}, A^* \vec{k})$  is often referred to as Voronoi's principal form of the first type.

## 5. "Noncompact" solution for the evolution operator $\Theta$

**Proposition.** *In case  $|q| \geq 1$  one can find another solution the evolution equations:*

$$\Theta^{(2)}(z_\alpha) := \exp\left(-\frac{\pi i}{2\tau} \sum_{\beta=1}^n z_\beta^2\right).$$

Written in the independent variables  $\vec{z} = \{z_1, \dots, z_{n-1}\}$  it reads

$$\Theta^{(2)}(\vec{z}) = \exp\left(-\frac{\pi i}{\tau} \sum_{1 \leq \alpha \leq \beta \leq n-1} z_\alpha z_\beta\right) = \exp\left\{-\pi i (\vec{z}, \Omega^{-1} \vec{z})\right\},$$

where the inverse matrix of periods is

$$\Omega_{\alpha\beta}^{-1} = \frac{1}{2\tau} (\delta_{\alpha\beta} + 1) = \frac{1}{2\tau} A_{\alpha\beta},$$

and  $A_{\alpha\beta} = \langle \mathbf{e}_\alpha, \mathbf{e}_\beta \rangle$  is the Gram matrix for the root lattice  $A_{n-1}$ . Note that the logarithmic change of variables:  $\log(\mu_\alpha)/(2\pi i) = z_\alpha - \tau/n$  which was rather superficial in case of  $\Theta^{(1)}$ , is inevitable for the derivation of  $\Theta^{(2)}$ .

Finally, we comment on relation between the two evolution operators  $\Theta^{(1)} = \theta(\vec{z}, \Omega)$  and  $\Theta^{(2)}$ . The relation is based on the identity for multidimensional theta functions

$$\theta(\Omega^{-1}\vec{z}, -\Omega^{-1}) = \left(\det(\Omega/i)\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \exp\left\{\pi i(\vec{z}, \Omega^{-1}\vec{z})\right\} \theta(\vec{z}, \Omega).$$

With our particular matrix of periods  $\Omega$  we find

$$\Theta^{(2)}(\vec{z}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \left(\frac{2\tau}{i}\right)^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \frac{\theta(\vec{z}, \Omega)}{\theta(\Omega^{-1}\vec{z}, -\Omega^{-1})}.$$

Note that theta function  $\theta(\Omega^{-1}\vec{z}, -\Omega^{-1})$  (in the denominator) commutes with the elements of HD  $\mathcal{F} \sharp \mathcal{L}[\hat{R}_{SL_q(n)}]$  and can be thought as an evolution operator on a 'modular dual' quantum cotangent bundle  $\mathcal{F} \sharp \mathcal{L}[\hat{R}_{SL_{\bar{q}}(n)}]$ .

## 5. Example

In the  $SL_q(2)$  case the evolution operator  $\Theta^{(1)}$  becomes the Jacobi theta function:

$$\Theta^{(1)}(\mu_1) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} q^{\frac{1}{2}k(k+1)} \mu_1^k = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \exp(\pi i k^2 \tau + 2\pi i k z_1) = \theta_3(z_1; q),$$

where  $q = \exp(2\pi i \tau)$ ,  $\mu_1 = \exp(2\pi i z_1) q^{-1/2}$ . A multiplicative form for  $\Theta$  is

$$\frac{1}{\eta(q)} \Theta^{(1)}(\mu_1) = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 + q^n \mu_1)(1 + q^{n-1} / \mu_1) = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 + q^n \sigma_1 + q^{2n-1}),$$

where  $\eta(q) = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^n)$ . For dual evolution operator we have

$$\tilde{\Theta}^{(1)}(\mu_1) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \exp\left(-\frac{\pi i}{\tau} k^2 + \frac{2\pi i}{\tau} k z_1\right) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \tilde{q}^{\frac{1}{2}k(k+1)} \tilde{\mu}_1^k,$$

where  $\tilde{q} = \exp(-\frac{2\pi i}{\tau})$ ,  $\tilde{\mu}_1 = \exp(\frac{2\pi i}{\tau} z_1) \tilde{q}^{-1/2}$ .

# Summary

- What is a dual HD for the standard HD of  $SL_q(n)$  type (which are centralizers for each other)?
- Explicit expressions for evolution operator  $\Theta$  in the case of  $B, C, D$  quantum groups. In these cases it will be interesting to find Gram matrices  $A^*$  and their dual  $A = (A^*)^{-1}$ .
- It seems that, in  $SL_q(n)$  case for  $n > 2$ , a multiplicative form for multidimensional theta-functions (which gives evolution operators) will explicitly depend only on ESF  $\{a_k\}$ .
- 3D analogue of RE (tetrahedron RE) were proposed in A.P.Isaev and P.P.Kulish, Mod. Phys. Lett. **A12** (1997) 427 (hep-th/9702013). The analog of 3D  $RTT$  algebra is also known:  $R_{123} T_1 T_2 T_3 = T_3 T_2 T_1 R_{123}$ . What kind of cross-commutation relations are needed to describe discrete evolution in 3D case?