

A Solution to an Ambarzumyan Problem on Trees and Related Topics

Chun-Kong Law (National Sun Yat-sen Univ., Kaohsiung, Taiwan, R.O.C.)
&
Eiji Yanagida (Tokyo Institute of Technology, Tokyo, Japan)
with
Vyacheslav Pivovarchik (South-Ukrainian State Pedagogical University)

AGAW06, Isaac Newton Institute, Cambridge

Outline

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Ambarzumyan problems on trees
- 3 Main Theorem and Lemmas
- 4 Reduction of characteristic functions
- 5 Conclusions

Introduction

Ambarzumyan Theorem (1929)

For the following Neumann Sturm-Liouville problem on $(0, 1)$

$$\begin{aligned} -y'' + qy &= \lambda y \\ y'(0) = y'(1) &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

If the spectrum $\sigma = \{(n\pi)^2 : n \geq 0\}$, then $q = 0$.

Literature

- 1946 Borg
- 1987 Poschel and Trubowitz showed that for Dirichlet boundary conditions, there exists infinitely many L^2 potentials q such that $\sigma(q) = \{(n\pi)^2\}$
- 1995 Chern and Shen proved the Ambarzumyan Theorem for vectorial Sturm-Liouville system

$$-Y'' + P(x)Y = \lambda Y$$

$$Y'(0) = Y'(1) = \mathbf{0}$$

where P is a real symmetric continuous $I \times I$ matrix function of x .
If $(n\pi)^2 \in \sigma(P)$ with multiplicity I , then $P = \mathbf{0}$.

Literature

- 1946 Borg
- 1987 Poschel and Trubowitz showed that for Dirichlet boundary conditions, there exists infinitely many L^2 potentials q such that $\sigma(q) = \{(n\pi)^2\}$
- 1995 Chern and Shen proved the Ambarzumyan Theorem for vectorial Sturm-Liouville system

$$-Y'' + P(x)Y = \lambda Y$$

$$Y'(0) = Y'(1) = \mathbf{0}$$

where P is a real symmetric continuous $I \times I$ matrix function of x .
If $(n\pi)^2 \in \sigma(P)$ with multiplicity I , then $P = \mathbf{0}$.

Literature

- 1946 Borg
- 1987 Poschel and Trubowitz showed that for Dirichlet boundary conditions, there exists infinitely many L^2 potentials q such that $\sigma(q) = \{(n\pi)^2\}$
- 1995 Chern and Shen proved the Ambarzumyan Theorem for vectorial Sturm-Liouville system

$$\begin{aligned} -Y'' + P(x)Y &= \lambda Y \\ Y'(0) = Y'(1) &= \mathbf{0} \end{aligned}$$

where P is a real symmetric continuous $I \times I$ matrix function of x .
If $(n\pi)^2 \in \sigma(P)$ with multiplicity I , then $P = \mathbf{0}$.

Literature

- 2001 Chern-L-Wang showed that :
For Dirichlet b.c., if $\rho_n = n\pi$ for all n and $\int_0^1 q(x) \cos(2x) dx = 0$, then $q = 0$.
- 2009 C.F. Yang, Huang and Yang showed that :
For periodic b.c., if $\rho_n = 2n\pi$ with multiplicity 2 for all n , then $q = 0$.
- So we start to call **Ambarzumyan problems**, for different b.c.

Literature

- 2001 Chern-L-Wang showed that :
For Dirichlet b.c., if $\rho_n = n\pi$ for all n and $\int_0^1 q(x) \cos(2x) dx = 0$, then $q = 0$.
- 2009 C.F. Yang, Huang and Yang showed that :
For periodic b.c., if $\rho_n = 2n\pi$ with multiplicity 2 for all n , then $q = 0$.
- So we start to call **Ambarzumyan problems**, for different b.c.

Literature

- 2001 Chern-L-Wang showed that :
For Dirichlet b.c., if $\rho_n = n\pi$ for all n and $\int_0^1 q(x) \cos(2x) dx = 0$, then $q = 0$.
- 2009 C.F. Yang, Huang and Yang showed that :
For periodic b.c., if $\rho_n = 2n\pi$ with multiplicity 2 for all n , then $q = 0$.
- So we start to call **Ambarzumyan problems**, for different b.c.

Spectral problems on trees

Let Γ be a graph. Then $\Gamma = (V, E)$, set of vertices and edges. Also let

$$I = |E|, a_i = |\gamma_i| \text{ for } \gamma_i \in E$$

Sturm-Liouville problem on graphs :

$$\begin{aligned} -y_i'' + q_i y_i &= \lambda y_i \quad (0 < x < a_i) \\ y_i'(0) &= 0 \quad \text{for all } \gamma_i \in \partial\Gamma. \end{aligned}$$

At each \mathbf{v} in interior of Γ , we require

- ① continuity conditions : $y_i(\mathbf{v}) = y_j(\mathbf{v})$ when $\gamma_i, \gamma_j \in E(\mathbf{v})$.
- ② Kirchhoff conditions : $\sum_{\gamma_i \in E(\mathbf{v})} y_i'(\mathbf{v}) = 0$.

Spectral problems on trees

Let Γ be a graph. Then $\Gamma = (V, E)$, set of vertices and edges. Also let

$$I = |E|, a_i = |\gamma_i| \text{ for } \gamma_i \in E$$

Sturm-Liouville problem on graphs :

$$\begin{aligned} -y_i'' + q_i y_i &= \lambda y_i \quad (0 < x < a_i) \\ y_i'(0) &= 0 \quad \text{for all } \gamma_i \in \partial\Gamma. \end{aligned}$$

At each \mathbf{v} in interior of Γ , we require

- ① continuity conditions : $y_i(\mathbf{v}) = y_j(\mathbf{v})$ when $\gamma_i, \gamma_j \in E(\mathbf{v})$.
- ② Kirchhoff conditions : $\sum_{\gamma_i \in E(\mathbf{v})} y_i'(\mathbf{v}) = 0$.

Ambarzumyan problems on trees

- 2004 Pivovarchik solved the Neumann Amb. P. for star graphs with edges of constant lengths.
- 2007 Carlson-Pivovarchik solved Neumann Amb.P. for trees with edges of constant lengths.
⇒ solved Neumann Amb.P. for trees with edges of rational lengths, i.e. lengths of rational ratios.
- **Question** : How about those edges with irrational ratios ?

Ambarzumyan problems on trees

- 2004 Pivovarchik solved the Neumann Amb. P. for star graphs with edges of constant lengths.
- 2007 Carlson-Pivovarchik solved Neumann Amb.P. for trees with edges of constant lengths.
⇒ solved Neumann Amb.P. for trees with edges of rational lengths, i.e. lengths of rational ratios.
- **Question** : How about those edges with irrational ratios ?

Ambarzumyan problems on trees

- 2004 Pivovarchik solved the Neumann Amb. P. for star graphs with edges of constant lengths.
- 2007 Carlson-Pivovarchik solved Neumann Amb.P. for trees with edges of constant lengths.
⇒ solved Neumann Amb.P. for trees with edges of rational lengths, i.e. lengths of rational ratios.
- **Question** : How about those edges with irrational ratios ?

Main Theorem

Theorem (L, Yanagida)

For a Neumann Ambarzumyan problem on trees with arbitrary lengths, if $\sigma(Q) = \sigma(0)$, then $Q = 0$.

Three Key Lemmas

1. Characteristics functions. For a 3-star graph, with $q = 0$, the system of equations

$$\begin{cases} y_i = A_i \cos(\rho x) \\ A_1 \cos(\rho a_1) = A_2 \cos(\rho a_2) = A_3 \cos(\rho a_3) \\ \sum \rho A_i \sin(\rho a_i) = 0 \end{cases}$$

has a solution in A_1, A_2, A_3

$$\Leftrightarrow \Phi(\rho) = \begin{bmatrix} \cos(\rho a_1) & -\cos(\rho a_2) & 0 \\ \cos(\rho a_1) & 0 & -\cos(\rho a_3) \\ \rho \sin(\rho a_1) & \rho \sin(\rho a_2) & \rho \sin(\rho a_3) \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{is invertible}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Leftrightarrow \varphi(\rho) &= \det \Phi(\rho) \\ &= \rho(\sin(\rho a_1) \cos(\rho a_2) \cos(\rho a_3) + \sin(\rho a_2) \cos(\rho a_1) \cos(\rho a_3) \\ &\quad + \sin(\rho a_3) \cos(\rho a_1) \cos(\rho a_2)) \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Three Key Lemmas

1. Characteristics functions. For a 3-star graph, with $q = 0$, the system of equations

$$\begin{cases} y_i = A_i \cos(\rho x) \\ A_1 \cos(\rho a_1) = A_2 \cos(\rho a_2) = A_3 \cos(\rho a_3) \\ \sum \rho A_i \sin(\rho a_i) = 0 \end{cases}$$

has a solution in A_1, A_2, A_3

$$\Leftrightarrow \Phi(\rho) = \begin{bmatrix} \cos(\rho a_1) & -\cos(\rho a_2) & 0 \\ \cos(\rho a_1) & 0 & -\cos(\rho a_3) \\ \rho \sin(\rho a_1) & \rho \sin(\rho a_2) & \rho \sin(\rho a_3) \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{is invertible}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Leftrightarrow \varphi(\rho) &= \det \Phi(\rho) \\ &= \rho(\sin(\rho a_1) \cos(\rho a_2) \cos(\rho a_3) + \sin(\rho a_2) \cos(\rho a_1) \cos(\rho a_3) \\ &\quad + \sin(\rho a_3) \cos(\rho a_1) \cos(\rho a_2)) \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Three Key Lemmas

1. Characteristics functions. For a 3-star graph, with $q = 0$, the system of equations

$$\begin{cases} y_i = A_i \cos(\rho x) \\ A_1 \cos(\rho a_1) = A_2 \cos(\rho a_2) = A_3 \cos(\rho a_3) \\ \sum \rho A_i \sin(\rho a_i) = 0 \end{cases}$$

has a solution in A_1, A_2, A_3

$$\Leftrightarrow \Phi(\rho) = \begin{bmatrix} \cos(\rho a_1) & -\cos(\rho a_2) & 0 \\ \cos(\rho a_1) & 0 & -\cos(\rho a_3) \\ \rho \sin(\rho a_1) & \rho \sin(\rho a_2) & \rho \sin(\rho a_3) \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{is invertible}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Leftrightarrow \varphi(\rho) &= \det \Phi(\rho) \\ &= \rho(\sin(\rho a_1) \cos(\rho a_2) \cos(\rho a_3) + \sin(\rho a_2) \cos(\rho a_1) \cos(\rho a_3) \\ &\quad + \sin(\rho a_3) \cos(\rho a_1) \cos(\rho a_2)) \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Three Key Lemmas

For arbitrary q , the characteristic function

$$\varphi(\rho) = \rho(C'_1 C_2 C_3 + C_1 C'_2 C_3 + C_1 C_2 C'_3)$$

where by the theory of transformation operators,

$$C_i = \cos(\rho a_i) + \int_0^{a_i} K(a, t) \cos(\rho t) dt$$
$$S_i = \frac{\sin(\rho a_i)}{\rho} + \int_0^{a_i} K(a, t) \sin(\rho t) dt$$

Three Key Lemmas

Let φ_{NK} denote the characteristic fcn w.r.t. q with Neumann boundary conditions and Kirchhoff conditions. Let φ_D be the characteristic fcn w.r.t. q with Dirichlet b.c. at the first vertex but Neumann b.c. at all other boundary vertices. When there is only one edge, we let $\varphi_{NK}(\rho) = C'_1$ and $\varphi_D(\rho) = S'_1$.

Lemma

$$(a) \quad \varphi_{NK}(\rho) = C_1 \tilde{\varphi}_{NK}(\rho) + C'_1 \tilde{\varphi}_D(\rho).$$

$$(b) \quad \varphi_D(\rho) = S_1 \tilde{\varphi}_{NK}(\rho) + S'_1 \tilde{\varphi}_D(\rho).$$

Hence for a caterpillar graph, the characteristic fcn

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi &= C'_4 C_5 \tilde{\varphi}_D + C_4 (C'_5 \tilde{\varphi}_D + C_5 \tilde{\varphi}_{NK}) \\ &= (C'_4 C_5 + C_4 C'_5) (S'_3 C_1 C_2 + C'_1 C_2 S_3 + C_1 C'_2 S_3) \\ &\quad + C_4 C_5 (C'_3 C_1 C_2 + C'_1 C_2 C_3 + C_1 C'_2 C_3) \end{aligned}$$

Three Key Lemmas

Let φ_{NK} denote the characteristic fcn w.r.t. q with Neumann boundary conditions and Kirchhoff conditions. Let φ_D be the characteristic fcn w.r.t. q with Dirichlet b.c. at the first vertex but Neumann b.c. at all other boundary vertices. When there is only one edge, we let $\varphi_{NK}(\rho) = C'_1$ and $\varphi_D(\rho) = S'_1$.

Lemma

$$(a) \quad \varphi_{NK}(\rho) = C_1 \tilde{\varphi}_{NK}(\rho) + C'_1 \tilde{\varphi}_D(\rho).$$

$$(b) \quad \varphi_D(\rho) = S_1 \tilde{\varphi}_{NK}(\rho) + S'_1 \tilde{\varphi}_D(\rho).$$

Hence for a caterpillar graph, the characteristic fcn

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi &= C'_4 C_5 \tilde{\varphi}_D + C_4 (C'_5 \tilde{\varphi}_D + C_5 \tilde{\varphi}_{NK}) \\ &= (C'_4 C_5 + C_4 C'_5) (S'_3 C_1 C_2 + C'_1 C_2 S_3 + C_1 C'_2 S_3) \\ &\quad + C_4 C_5 (C'_3 C_1 C_2 + C'_1 C_2 C_3 + C_1 C'_2 C_3) \end{aligned}$$

Three Key Lemmas

2. Let φ_{NK} and φ_D denote the characteristic fcn's w.r.t. q ;
 ψ_{NK} and ψ_D denote the characteristic fcn's w.r.t. $q_0 = 0$.

For a tree with only one edge with length a , let

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi_D(\rho) &= C_i(a), & \varphi_{NK}(\rho) &= C'_i(a) \\ \psi_D(\rho) &= \cos(\rho a), & \psi_{NK}(\rho) &= -\sin(\rho a)\end{aligned}$$

Three Key Lemmas

2. Let φ_{NK} and φ_D denote the characteristic fcn's w.r.t. q ;
 ψ_{NK} and ψ_D denote the characteristic fcn's w.r.t. $q_0 = 0$.

For a tree with only one edge with length a , let

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi_D(\rho) &= C_i(a), & \varphi_{NK}(\rho) &= C'_i(a) \\ \psi_D(\rho) &= \cos(\rho a), & \psi_{NK}(\rho) &= -\sin(\rho a)\end{aligned}$$

Three Key Lemmas

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
 \varphi_D(\rho) &= \cos(\rho a) + \int_0^a K(a, t) \cos(\rho t) dt \\
 &= \cos(\rho a) + K(a, a) \frac{\sin(\rho a)}{\rho} \\
 &\quad + \int_0^a K_t(a, t) \frac{\sin(\rho t)}{\rho} dt \\
 &= \cos(\rho a) K \frac{\sin(\rho a)}{\rho} + o\left(\frac{1}{\rho}\right) \\
 &= \psi_D(\rho) - \frac{1}{\rho} K \psi_{NK}(\rho) + o\left(\frac{1}{\rho}\right),
 \end{aligned}$$

where $K := K(a, a) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^a q$.

Three Key Lemmas

$$\begin{aligned}
 \varphi_{NK}(\rho) &= -\rho \sin(\rho a) + K \cos(\rho a) \\
 &\quad + \int_0^a K_x(a, t) \cos(\rho t) dt \\
 &= \rho \psi_{NK}(\rho) + \frac{1}{\rho} K \psi_D(\rho) + o(1)
 \end{aligned}$$

By induction, we have

Lemma

As $\rho \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \varphi_{NK}(\rho) &= \rho \psi_{NK}(\rho) + \left(\sum K_i\right) \psi_D(\rho) + o(1) \\
 \varphi_D(\rho) &= \psi_D(\rho) - \frac{1}{\rho} \left(\sum K_i\right) \psi_{NK}(\rho) + o\left(\frac{1}{\rho}\right)
 \end{aligned}$$

Three Key Lemmas

$$\begin{aligned}
 \varphi_{NK}(\rho) &= -\rho \sin(\rho a) + K \cos(\rho a) \\
 &\quad + \int_0^a K_x(a, t) \cos(\rho t) dt \\
 &= \rho \psi_{NK}(\rho) + \frac{1}{\rho} K \psi_D(\rho) + o(1)
 \end{aligned}$$

By induction, we have

Lemma

As $\rho \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \varphi_{NK}(\rho) &= \rho \psi_{NK}(\rho) + \left(\sum K_i \right) \psi_D(\rho) + o(1) \\
 \varphi_D(\rho) &= \psi_D(\rho) - \frac{1}{\rho} \left(\sum K_i \right) \psi_{NK}(\rho) + o\left(\frac{1}{\rho}\right)
 \end{aligned}$$

Three Key Lemmas

3.

Lemma

- (a) *There exist infinite sequences of natural numbers $\{m_n\}$ and $\{k_{i,n}\}$ ($i = 1, \dots, I$) such that $m_n \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, and for each n ,*

$$\sum_{i=1}^I k_{i,n} = m_n \quad \text{and} \quad \left| \frac{a_i}{L} - \frac{k_{i,n}}{m_n} \right| < \frac{1}{m_n^{1+1/I}}$$

- (b) *let $\mu_n := 2m_n\pi/L$. Then for any $\rho = \mu_n + O(m_n^{-(1+1/I)})$,*
- (i) $\psi_{NK}(\rho) = O(m_n^{-1/I})$ and $\frac{d\psi_{NK}}{d\rho}(\rho) = -L + O(m_n^{-1/I})$.
 - (ii) $\psi_D(\mu_n) = 1 + O(m_n^{-1/I})$ and $\frac{d\psi_D}{d\rho}(\mu_n) = O(m_n^{-1/I})$.

Three Key Lemmas

3.

Lemma

- (a) *There exist infinite sequences of natural numbers $\{m_n\}$ and $\{k_{i,n}\}$ ($i = 1, \dots, I$) such that $m_n \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, and for each n ,*

$$\sum_{i=1}^I k_{i,n} = m_n \quad \text{and} \quad \left| \frac{a_i}{L} - \frac{k_{i,n}}{m_n} \right| < \frac{1}{m_n^{1+1/I}}$$

- (b) *let $\mu_n := 2m_n\pi/L$. Then for any $\rho = \mu_n + O(m_n^{-(1+1/I)})$,*
- (i) $\psi_{NK}(\rho) = O(m_n^{-1/I})$ and $\frac{d\psi_{NK}}{d\rho}(\rho) = -L + O(m_n^{-1/I})$.
 - (ii) $\psi_D(\mu_n) = 1 + O(m_n^{-1/I})$ and $\frac{d\psi_D}{d\rho}(\mu_n) = O(m_n^{-1/I})$.

Three Key Lemmas

3.

Remark

Hence for sufficiently large n 's, there exist $\rho_n = \mu_n + O\left(\frac{1}{m_n^{1+1/I}}\right)$ such that $\varphi_{NK}(\rho) = 0$.

Proof of Main Theorem

So by Lemma

$$\varphi_{NK}(\rho) = \rho\psi_{NK}(\rho) + \left(\sum K_i\right)\psi_D(\rho) + o(1)$$

Take $\rho = \rho_n$ such that $\varphi_{NK}(\rho_n) = 0 = \psi_{NK}(\rho)$, since $\sigma(Q) = \sigma(\mathbf{0})$, implying

$$\sum K_i = \frac{1}{2} \sum \int_0^{a_i} q_i = 0$$

Also, 0 is the first eigenvalue. So

$$0 = \min \frac{\sum_{i=1}^I \int_0^{a_i} (y_i'^2 + q_i y_i^2) dx}{\sum_{i=1}^I \int_0^{a_i} y_i^2 dx}.$$

Let $y_i = 1$ for all $i = 1, \dots, I$. The minimum value is achieved. So $(1, \dots, 1)$ is the first eigenfunction. Therefore $q_i = 0$ for all i .

Proof of Main Theorem

So by Lemma

$$\varphi_{NK}(\rho) = \rho\psi_{NK}(\rho) + \left(\sum K_i\right)\psi_D(\rho) + o(1)$$

Take $\rho = \rho_n$ such that $\varphi_{NK}(\rho_n) = 0 = \psi_{NK}(\rho)$, since $\sigma(Q) = \sigma(\mathbf{0})$, implying

$$\sum K_i = \frac{1}{2} \sum \int_0^{a_i} q_i = 0$$

Also, 0 is the first eigenvalue. So

$$0 = \min \frac{\sum_{i=1}^I \int_0^{a_i} (y_i'^2 + q_i y_i^2) dx}{\sum_{i=1}^I \int_0^{a_i} y_i^2 dx}.$$

Let $y_i = 1$ for all $i = 1, \dots, I$. The minimum value is achieved. So $(1, \dots, 1)$ is the first eigenfunction. Therefore $q_i = 0$ for all i .

Second theorem

Let \mathbf{v} be a root of a metric tree Γ with complementary subtrees $\Gamma^{(1)}$ and $\Gamma^{(2)}$. For the Schrodinger operator defined on Γ , define ϕ_{NK} and ϕ_D to be the characteristic functions with Neumann-Kirchhoff condition and Dirichlet condition at \mathbf{v} resp. Then

Theorem (L,Pivovarchik)

$$(a) \quad \phi_{NK} = \phi_{NK}^{(1)}\phi_D^{(2)} + \phi_D^{(1)}\phi_{NK}^{(2)}.$$

$$(b) \quad \phi_D = \phi_D^{(1)}\phi_D^{(2)}.$$

Corollary

$$(a) \quad \varphi_{NK}(\rho) = C_1\tilde{\varphi}_{NK}(\rho) + C'_1\tilde{\varphi}_D(\rho).$$

$$(b) \quad \varphi_D(\rho) = S_1\tilde{\varphi}_{NK}(\rho) + S'_1\tilde{\varphi}_D(\rho).$$

Second theorem

Let \mathbf{v} be a root of a metric tree Γ with complementary subtrees $\Gamma^{(1)}$ and $\Gamma^{(2)}$. For the Schrodinger operator defined on Γ , define ϕ_{NK} and ϕ_D to be the characteristic functions with Neumann-Kirchhoff condition and Dirichlet condition at \mathbf{v} resp. Then

Theorem (L,Pivovarchik)

$$(a) \quad \phi_{NK} = \phi_{NK}^{(1)}\phi_D^{(2)} + \phi_D^{(1)}\phi_{NK}^{(2)}.$$

$$(b) \quad \phi_D = \phi_D^{(1)}\phi_D^{(2)}.$$

Corollary

$$(a) \quad \varphi_{NK}(\rho) = C_1\tilde{\varphi}_{NK}(\rho) + C'_1\tilde{\varphi}_D(\rho).$$

$$(b) \quad \varphi_D(\rho) = S_1\tilde{\varphi}_{NK}(\rho) + S'_1\tilde{\varphi}_D(\rho).$$

Illustrative proof

For Neumann Schrodinger operator defined on a caterpillar metric graph, let the solution at each edge γ_i be $A_i C_i(x, \rho) + B_i S_i(x, \rho)$, where $C_i(0) = 1 = S'_i(0)$, $C'_i(0) = 0 = S_i(0)$, $A_i, B_i \in \mathbf{R}$.

Then $B_1 = B_2 = B_4 = B_5 = 0$, and

$$A_1 C_1 = A_2 C_2$$

$$A_2 C_2 = A_3 C_3 + B_3 S_3$$

$$A_3 = A_4 C_4$$

$$A_4 C_4 = A_5 C_5$$

$$A_1 C'_1 + A_2 C'_2 + A_3 C'_3 + B_3 S'_3 = 0$$

$$B_3 + A_4 C'_4 + A_5 C'_5 = 0$$

Illustrative proof

For Neumann Schrodinger operator defined on a caterpillar metric graph, let the solution at each edge γ_i be $A_i C_i(x, \rho) + B_i S_i(x, \rho)$, where $C_i(0) = 1 = S'_i(0)$, $C'_i(0) = 0 = S_i(0)$, $A_i, B_i \in \mathbf{R}$.

Then $B_1 = B_2 = B_4 = B_5 = 0$, and

$$A_1 C_1 = A_2 C_2$$

$$A_2 C_2 = A_3 C_3 + B_3 S_3$$

$$A_3 = A_4 C_4$$

$$A_4 C_4 = A_5 C_5$$

$$A_1 C'_1 + A_2 C'_2 + A_3 C'_3 + B_3 S'_3 = 0$$

$$B_3 + A_4 C'_4 + A_5 C'_5 = 0$$

Illustrative proof

$$\phi(\rho) = \det \left[\begin{array}{cccc|cc} C_1 & -C_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & C_2 & -C_3 & -S_3 & 0 & 0 \\ C'_1 & C'_2 & C'_3 & S'_3 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & C'_4 & C'_5 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & -C_4 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & C_4 & -C_5 \end{array} \right]$$

Illustrative proof

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(\rho) = & \det \begin{bmatrix} C_1 & -C_2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & C_2 & -C_3 & -S_3 \\ C'_1 & C'_2 & C'_3 & S'_3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \det \begin{bmatrix} -C_4 & 0 \\ C_4 & -C_5 \end{bmatrix} \\ & - \det \begin{bmatrix} C_1 & -C_2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & C_2 & -C_3 & -S_3 \\ C'_1 & C'_2 & C'_3 & S'_3 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \det \begin{bmatrix} C'_4 & C'_5 \\ C_4 & -C_5 \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Illustrative proof

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}\phi(\rho) &= \phi_{NK}^{(1)}\phi_D^{(2)} + \phi_D^{(1)}\phi_{NK}^{(2)} \\ &= C_4C_5(C_1C_2C'_3 + C_1C'_2C_3 + C'_1C_2C_3) + \\ &\quad (C_1C_2S'_3 + C'_1C_2S_3 + C_1C'_2S_3)(C_4C'_5 + C_5C'_4)\end{aligned}$$

Remarks

Remark

- Formula works for arbitrary boundary conditions.
- Complementary subgraphs may have loops.
- Formula also works for any δ -type vertex conditions.
- Similar result appeared in Texier (2008) - spectral determinant.

Remarks

Remark

- Formula works for arbitrary boundary conditions.
- Complementary subgraphs may have loops.
- Formula also works for any δ -type vertex conditions.
- Similar result appeared in Texier (2008) - spectral determinant.

Remarks

Remark

- Formula works for arbitrary boundary conditions.
- Complementary subgraphs may have loops.
- Formula also works for any δ -type vertex conditions.
- Similar result appeared in Texier (2008) - spectral determinant.

Remarks

Remark

- Formula works for arbitrary boundary conditions.
- Complementary subgraphs may have loops.
- Formula also works for any δ -type vertex conditions.
- Similar result appeared in Texier (2008) - spectral determinant.

Conclusions

- (1) So we 'completely' solve the Neumann Ambarzumyan Problem.
- (2) The proof does not seem to work for eigenvalues with multiple multiplicity. Hence it does not work for other b.p.
- (3) We develop a reduction formula for the characteristic function of a graph :

$$\phi_{NK} = \phi_{NK}^{(1)} \phi_D^{(2)} + \phi_D^{(1)} \phi_{NK}^{(2)}.$$

Conclusions

- (1) So we 'completely' solve the Neumann Ambarzumyan Problem.
- (2) The proof does not seem to work for eigenvalues with multiple multiplicity. Hence it does not work for other b.p.
- (3) We develop a reduction formula for the characteristic function of a graph :

$$\phi_{NK} = \phi_{NK}^{(1)} \phi_D^{(2)} + \phi_D^{(1)} \phi_{NK}^{(2)}.$$

Conclusions

- (1) So we 'completely' solve the Neumann Ambarzumyan Problem.
- (2) The proof does not seem to work for eigenvalues with multiple multiplicity. Hence it does not work for other b.p.
- (3) We develop a reduction formula for the characteristic function of a graph :

$$\phi_{NK} = \phi_{NK}^{(1)} \phi_D^{(2)} + \phi_D^{(1)} \phi_{NK}^{(2)}.$$

Thank you for your attention

References

- R. Carlson and V. Pivovarchik, Ambarzumian's theorem for trees, *Electronic J. Diff. Eqns.* **2007** (2007), 1-9.
- H.H. Chern, C.K. Law, and H.J. Wang, Extension of Ambarzumyan's theorem to general boundary conditions, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **263** (2001), 333-342 ; Corrigendum, **309** (2005), 764-768.
- H.H. Chern and C.L. Shen, On the n -dimensional Ambarzumyan's theorem, *Inverse Problems* **13** (1997) 15-18.
- C.K. Law and E. Yanagida, A solution to an Ambarzumyan problem on trees, preprint.
- C.K. Law and V. Pivovarchik, Characteristic functions of quantum graphs, *J. Phys. A. Math. Theor.* **42** (2009), 1-11.
- V.N. Pivovarchik, Ambarzumian's Theorem for a Sturm-Liouville boundary value problem on a star-shaped graph, *Funct. Anal. Appli.* **39** (2005), 148-151.