

A scaling limit from Euler to Navier-Stokes equations with random perturbation

Franco Flandoli, Scuola Normale Superiore of Pisa

Newton Institute, October 2018

Subject of the talk

The talk deals with a special scaling limit connecting 2D Euler and Navier-Stokes equations, both with noise, but of different type:

$$\partial_t \omega + u \cdot \nabla \omega = \zeta \circ \nabla \omega \quad \text{Euler, multiplicative noise}$$

$$\partial_t \omega + u \cdot \nabla \omega = \Delta \omega + \eta \quad \text{Navier-Stokes, additive noise}$$

where

$$u = \text{velocity, } \operatorname{div} u = 0$$

$$\omega = \nabla^\perp u = \text{vorticity}$$

$$\zeta, \eta = \text{space-dependent noise.}$$

Overview of some directions in stochastic fluid dynamics

Due to the interdisciplinary character of the meeting, let me spend a few introductory words on stochastic fluid dynamics.

- The most studied equation is the stochastic Navier-Stokes equation with additive noise:

$$\partial_t u + u \cdot \nabla u + \nabla p = \nu \Delta u + \dot{W}$$

- From the time of Kolmogorov it is indicated as a potential model to explain some feature of turbulence.
- For instance, the formal average energy balance

$$\frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E} \int |u_t|^2 dx + \nu \int_0^t \mathbb{E} \int |\nabla u_s|^2 dx ds = \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E} \int |u_0|^2 dx + t \cdot \text{Trace}(Q)$$

put the basis for investigating dissipation for small ν : energy input is under control, and dissipation is constant.

- Much work has been devoted to the attempt to prove well posedness in 3D, outstanding open problem.

Overview of some directions in stochastic fluid dynamics

- For Euler equation, a more natural noise is of transport type

$$\partial_t u + u \cdot \nabla u + \nabla p = \zeta \circ \nabla u.$$

It preserves certain physical quantities (energy, in the form above, enstrophy in the 2D model $\partial_t \omega + u \cdot \nabla \omega = \zeta \circ \nabla \omega$).

- Intuitively, it may correspond to the Lagrangian motion of small scales, acting on larger scales.
- Similarly to additive noise for 3D Navier-Stokes equation, an open problem is whether this noise "regularizes", namely it produces better results of well posedness.
- For instance, it prevents point vortex collision (F.-Gubinelli-Priola '11).

Back to the subject of the talk

Thus the two models

$$\partial_t \omega + u \cdot \nabla \omega = \xi \circ \nabla \omega \quad \text{Euler, multiplicative noise}$$

$$\partial_t \omega + u \cdot \nabla \omega = \Delta \omega + \eta \quad \text{Navier-Stokes, additive noise}$$

have some physical and mathematical motivation.

(Here they are written in vorticity form)

The purpose of this talk is to discuss a special scaling limit which connects the two, based on a computation similar in spirit to a renormalization.

There is an obvious misunderstanding that we have to declare.
In deterministic fluid mechanics, one of the most important open problems is the *vanishing viscosity limit*.

Is it true that the solution ω_ϵ of

$$\partial_t \omega_\epsilon + u_\epsilon \cdot \nabla \omega_\epsilon = \epsilon \Delta \omega_\epsilon \quad \text{Navier-Stokes}$$

converges to a solution ω of

$$\partial_t \omega + u \cdot \nabla \omega = 0 \quad \text{Euler}$$

as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$? *This is not the limit investigated in this talk.*

From Euler to Navier-Stokes

Somewhat opposite, we aim to prove that solutions ω_ϵ of

$$\partial_t \omega_N + u_N \cdot \nabla \omega_N = \xi_N \circ \nabla \omega_N \quad \text{Euler}$$

converge to a solution ω of

$$\partial_t \omega + u \cdot \nabla \omega = \Delta \omega + \eta \quad \text{Navier-Stokes}$$

as $N \rightarrow \infty$. In other words, in a suitable scaling limit, a transport type noise

$$\xi_N \circ \nabla \omega_N$$

gives rise to

$$\Delta \omega + \eta.$$

The stochastic Euler equations

The equations will be considered on the torus $\mathbb{T}^2 = \mathbb{R}^2 / \mathbb{Z}^2$. The noise $\tilde{\zeta}_N$ in

$$\partial_t \omega_N + u_N \cdot \nabla \omega_N = \tilde{\zeta}_N \circ \nabla \omega_N \quad \text{Euler}$$

has the form

$$\tilde{\zeta}_N(x, t) = \epsilon_N \sum_{|k| \leq N} \sigma_k(x) \frac{d\beta_k(t)}{dt}$$

where

$$k \in \mathbb{Z}_*^2 = \mathbb{Z}^2 \setminus \{0\}$$

$\sigma_k(x) : \mathbb{T}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ are divergence free fields

β_k are independent scalar BM's.

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_t \omega_N + u_N \cdot \nabla \omega_N &= \zeta_N \circ \nabla \omega_N \\ \zeta_N &= \epsilon_N \sum_{|k| \leq N} \sigma_k \frac{d\beta_k}{dt}\end{aligned}$$

We assume $\sigma_k(x) : \mathbb{T}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$, divergence free fields, of the form

$$\sigma_k(x) = \frac{k^\perp}{|k|^2} e_k(x)$$

where $(k_1, k_2)^\perp = (k_2, -k_1)$,

$$e_k(x) = \begin{cases} \sin(2\pi k \cdot x) & \text{for } k \in \mathbb{Z}_+^2 \\ \cos(2\pi k \cdot x) & \text{for } k \in \mathbb{Z}_-^2 \end{cases}.$$

The case of infinite dimensional noise

Consider the divergence free noise (infinite series)

$$\xi^{(\gamma)}(x, t) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_*^2} \frac{k^\perp}{|k|^\gamma} e_k(x) \frac{d\beta_k(t)}{dt}$$

parametrized by γ .

- The case $\gamma = 1$ is the divergence free analog of *space-time white noise*. Completely untractable as a transport noise.
- The case $\gamma = 2$ is the divergence free analog of *Gaussian free field noise*. It is our case but with truncated series. The infinite series (as a transport noise) is an open problem.
- Only the case $\gamma > 2$ (infinite series) can be treated by standard methods.

The threshold $\gamma = 2$ appears when rewriting Stratonovich into Itô:

$$\tilde{\zeta}^{(\gamma)} = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_*^2} \frac{k^\perp}{|k|^\gamma} e_k \frac{d\beta_k}{dt}$$

$$\tilde{\zeta}^{(\gamma)} \circ \nabla \omega - \tilde{\zeta}^{(\gamma)} \cdot \nabla \omega = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_*^2} \left(e_k \frac{k^\perp}{|k|^\gamma} \cdot \nabla \right) \left(e_k \frac{k^\perp}{|k|^\gamma} \cdot \nabla \omega \right).$$

and it turns out that the second order differential operator is well defined only for $\gamma > 2$.

Let us see the details.

The Itô-Stratonovich corrector

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_*^2} \left(e_k \frac{k^\perp}{|k|^\gamma} \cdot \nabla \right) \left(e_k \frac{k^\perp}{|k|^\gamma} \cdot \nabla \omega \right) \\ & \stackrel{k^\perp \cdot \nabla e_k = 0}{=} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_*^2} e_k^2 \left(\frac{k^\perp}{|k|^\gamma} \cdot \nabla \right) \left(\frac{k^\perp}{|k|^\gamma} \cdot \nabla \omega \right) \\ & \stackrel{\sin^2 + \cos^2 = 1}{=} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_+^2} \left(\frac{k^\perp}{|k|^\gamma} \cdot \nabla \right) \left(\frac{k^\perp}{|k|^\gamma} \cdot \nabla \omega \right) \\ & = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_+^2} \frac{1}{|k|^{2\gamma}} \sum_{i,j=1}^2 k_i k_j \partial_i \partial_j \omega \\ & = \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_+^2} \frac{1}{|k|^{2\gamma-2}} \right) \Delta \omega \quad \text{finite only for } \gamma > 2. \end{aligned}$$

The Itô-Stratonovich corrector

- The previous computation shows that, for $\gamma > 2$, the Itô-Stratonovich corrector is $\frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_+^2} \frac{1}{|k|^{2\gamma-2}} \right) \Delta \omega$.
- For $\gamma = 2$ the coefficient diverges.
- But, for $\gamma = 2$, the Itô-Stratonovich corrector of the noise

$$\tilde{\zeta}_N(x, t) = \epsilon_N \sum_{|k| \leq N} \sigma_k(x) \frac{d\beta_k(t)}{dt}$$

is $\frac{1}{2} \left(\epsilon_N^2 \sum_{|k| \leq N} \frac{1}{|k|^2} \right) \Delta \omega$ which converges if we take

$$\epsilon_N \sim 1/\sqrt{\log N}.$$

Summary

For the Euler equation

$$\partial_t \omega_N + u_N \cdot \nabla \omega_N = \tilde{\zeta}_N \circ \nabla \omega_N, \quad \tilde{\zeta}_N = \epsilon_N \sum_{|k| \leq N} \sigma_k \frac{d\beta_k}{dt}$$

with $\sigma_k(x) = \frac{k^\perp}{|k|^\gamma} e_k(x)$ and $\epsilon_N \sim 1/\sqrt{\log N}$ we can write

$$\partial_t \omega_N + u_N \cdot \nabla \omega_N = \underbrace{\tilde{\zeta}_N \cdot \nabla \omega_N}_{\text{Itô}} + v_N \Delta \omega_N$$

with $v_N \rightarrow v \in \mathbb{R}^+$.

In the case $\sigma_k(x) = \frac{k^\perp}{|k|^\gamma} e_k(x)$ with $\gamma > 2$ we get the same result without rescaling with ϵ_N .

What about the martingale term?

The martingale term

The martingale

$$M_N(t, x) := \epsilon_N \sum_{|k| \leq N} \int_0^t \sigma_k(x) \cdot \nabla \omega_N(s, x) d\beta_k(s)$$

in general *depends strongly on the solution* $\omega_N(s, x)$ and preserves this dependence in the limit $N \rightarrow \infty$.

This dependence spoils the dissipativity of $\Delta \omega_N$: the sum of the martingale and corrector is the Stratonovich term, which is not dissipative. For $\gamma > 2$, not rescaled by ϵ_N , this remains true in the limit of the infinite dimensional noise: we get the stochastic Euler equation

$$\partial_t \omega + u \cdot \nabla \omega = \zeta \circ \nabla \omega, \quad \zeta = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_*^2} \frac{k^\perp}{|k|^\gamma} e_k \frac{d\beta_k}{dt}.$$

The martingale term

In the case $\gamma = 2$, the martingale

$$M_N(t, x) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{\log N}} \sum_{|k| \leq N} \int_0^t \frac{k^\perp}{|k|^2} e_k(x) \cdot \nabla \omega_N(s, x) d\beta_k(s)$$

behaves in a special way for special solutions ω_N (described below) and converges to a Gaussian process, precisely of the form

$$\nabla^\perp \cdot W(t, x)$$

where $W'(t, x)$ is a divergence free space-time white noise. When true, the limit of the 2D Euler equation will be

$$\partial_t \omega + u \cdot \nabla \omega = \nu \Delta \omega + \nabla^\perp \cdot W'(t, x).$$

It remains to describe the special solutions when this happens.

To avoid misunderstanding, let us insist on the fact that usually the Laplacian in the Itô-Stratonovich reformulation

$$\partial_t \omega_N + u_N \cdot \nabla \omega_N = \underbrace{\tilde{\xi}_N \cdot \nabla \omega_N}_{\text{Itô}} + \nu_N \Delta \omega_N$$

is a *fake* Laplacian, it does not dissipate. The sum of Itô + corrector is just Stratonovich. Thus, in general, both the approximating and the limit equation are of hyperbolic type.

But we have identified a special regime where the Laplacian (the corrector) converges to a Laplacian, and the Itô term converges to a Gaussian process. In this case the limit equation changes nature, becomes parabolic.

White noise solutions to 2D Euler equations

Consider, on $\mathbb{T}^2 = \mathbb{R}^2 / \mathbb{Z}^2$, the equation

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_t \omega + u \cdot \nabla \omega &= \zeta^{(\gamma)} \circ \nabla \omega \\ \omega &= \nabla^\perp u, \quad \operatorname{div} u = 0\end{aligned}$$

where $\zeta^{(\gamma)}(x, t) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_*^2} \frac{k^\perp}{|k|^\gamma} e_k(x) \frac{d\beta_k(t)}{dt}$.

Theorem (F.-Luo '18)

If $\gamma > 2$, there exists a solution, weak in the probabilistic and analytic sense, stationary process with trajectories of class $C([0, T]; H^{-1-})$, such $\omega(t)$ is a white noise in space, for every $t \geq 0$.

In spite of many attempts, we do not know how to extend this theorem to $\gamma = 2$.

- For $\gamma > 3$, solutions in a more classical sense, precisely of class $\omega \in L^\infty$, have been obtained by Brzezniak-F.-Maurelli, ARMA 2016. In the class $\omega \in L^\infty$, also uniqueness is known, as a generalization of the deterministic result of Yudovich.
- Below $\omega \in L^\infty$, deterministic 2D Euler equations lack uniqueness and our hope is that this kind of transport noise may restore it.
- Therefore we investigate all possible ranges of noise, since perhaps those which may regularize are very rough. The range $\gamma > 2$ is covered by the previous result. $\gamma = 2$ is the threshold we do not know how to approach (with infinite dimensional noise).

The approximating system

Consider the equation

$$\partial_t \omega_N + u_N \cdot \nabla \omega_N = \zeta_N \circ \nabla \omega_N$$

with

$$\zeta_N(x, t) = \epsilon_N \sum_{|k| \leq N} \frac{k^\perp}{|k|^2} e_k(x) \frac{d\beta_k(t)}{dt}.$$

Similarly to above,

Lemma (F.-Luo '18)

*There exists a solution (called below **White Noise solution**), weak in the probabilistic and analytic sense, stationary process with trajectories of class $C([0, T]; H^{-1-})$, such $\omega_N(t)$ is a white noise in space, for every $t \geq 0$.*

Main result

Theorem (F.-Luo '18)

For every $N \in \mathbb{N}$, let ω_N be a White Noise solution of

$$\partial_t \omega_N + u_N \cdot \nabla \omega_N = \tilde{\zeta}_N \circ \nabla \omega_N, \quad \tilde{\zeta}_N = \epsilon_N \sum_{|k| \leq N} \frac{k^\perp}{|k|^2} e_k \frac{d\beta_k}{dt}$$

given by the previous lemma. Assume

$$\epsilon_N = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\log N}}.$$

Then ω_N converges weakly to ω , unique solution of the stochastic Navier-Stokes equation with space-time noise

$$\partial_t \omega + u \cdot \nabla \omega = \Delta \omega + \nabla^\perp \cdot \dot{W}.$$

Remark on the Navier-Stokes equations

2D Navier-Stokes equations with space-time white noise

$$\partial_t u + u \cdot \nabla u + \nabla p = \Delta u + \dot{W}$$

have been studied by Da Prato-Debussche, Albeverio-Ferrario and others. It is well posed also in the strong sense (for general initial conditions). The vorticity formulation, $\omega = \nabla^\perp u$, in the equation above:

$$\partial_t \omega + u \cdot \nabla \omega = \Delta \omega + \nabla^\perp \cdot \dot{W}.$$

It has a White Noise solution as a stationary solution. This is the regime considered by the theorem above.

Scheme of the proof

Tightness of the laws of solutions of the approximating Euler equations can be proved in $C([0, T]; H^{-1-})$, taking advantage of their stationarity in time and knowledge of the time-marginals.

The identification of the limit requires standard work for the nonlinear term (based on recent works on White Noise solutions of 2D Euler) and for the Laplacian arising as a corrector.

The difficult part is the convergence of the martingale term

$$M_N(t, x) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{\log N}} \sum_{|k| \leq N} \int_0^t \frac{k^\perp}{|k|^2} e_k(x) \cdot \nabla \omega_N(s, x) d\beta_k(s)$$

to the Gaussian process

$$\nabla^\perp \cdot W(t, x).$$

Quadratic variation

We have to prove, for

$$M_N(t, x) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{\log N}} \sum_{|k| \leq N} \int_0^t \frac{k^\perp}{|k|^2} e_k(x) \cdot \nabla \omega_N(s, x) d\beta_k(s)$$

that the joint variation

$$[\langle M_N, e_l \rangle, \langle M_N, e_m \rangle]_t$$

converges to

$$\left[\langle \nabla^\perp \cdot W, e_l \rangle, \langle \nabla^\perp \cdot W, e_m \rangle \right]_t = \int_0^t \langle \nabla e_l, \nabla e_m \rangle ds = t |l|^2 \delta_{l,m}.$$

Quadratic variation

From

$$M_N(t, x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\log N}} \tilde{M}_N(t, x)$$

$$\tilde{M}_N(t, x) := \sum_{|k| \leq N} \int_0^t \frac{k^\perp}{|k|^2} e_k(x) \cdot \nabla \omega_N(s, x) d\beta_k(s)$$

one can show, similarly to the corrector above, that

$$\left[\langle \tilde{M}_N, e_l \rangle, \langle \tilde{M}_N, e_m \rangle \right]_t \text{ diverges.}$$

Precisely,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[\langle \tilde{M}_N, e_l \rangle, \langle \tilde{M}_N, e_m \rangle \right]_t \\ &= \sum_{|k| \leq N} \frac{1}{|k|^4} (k^\perp \cdot l) (k^\perp \cdot m) \int_0^t \langle \omega, e_k e_{-l} \rangle \langle \omega, e_k e_{-m} \rangle ds \end{aligned}$$

Quadratic variation

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[\langle \tilde{M}_N, e_l \rangle, \langle \tilde{M}_N, e_m \rangle \right]_t \\ &= \sum_{|k| \leq N} \frac{1}{|k|^4} (k^\perp \cdot l) (k^\perp \cdot m) \int_0^t \langle \omega, e_k e_{-l} \rangle \langle \omega, e_k e_{-m} \rangle ds \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \left[\langle \tilde{M}_N, e_l \rangle, \langle \tilde{M}_N, e_m \rangle \right]_t \\ & \stackrel{\omega(t) \text{ WN}}{=} t \cdot \sum_{|k| \leq N} \frac{1}{|k|^4} |k^\perp \cdot l|^2 \delta_{l,m} \\ & \sim \left(\sum_{|k| \leq N} \frac{1}{|k|^2} \right) t |l|^2 \delta_{l,m} \end{aligned}$$

and the coefficient $\sum_{|k| \leq N} \frac{1}{|k|^2}$ diverges.

Analogy: renormalized energy

In the theory of 2D Euler with the enstrophy measure there is a well-known renormalization: the interaction kinetic energy.

The average kinetic energy of the fluid is (u_k = Fourier components of u)

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\frac{1}{2} \int |u(x)|^2 dx \right] = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_*^2} \mathbb{E} \left[|u_k|^2 \right] \stackrel{\omega = \nabla^\perp u = \text{WN}}{=} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_*^2} \frac{1}{|k|^2} = +\infty$$

but the renormalized partial sums (corresponding to interaction energy in the case of point vortices)

$$\sum_{|k| \leq N} |u_k|^2 - \mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{|k| \leq N} |u_k|^2 \right]$$

converge in L^2_μ (μ = law of White Noise on H^{-1-}) to a well defined random variable

: \mathcal{E} :

called *renormalized kinetic energy*.

Renormalizing quadratic variation

- For $[\langle M_N, e_l \rangle, \langle M_N, e_m \rangle]_t$ it is similar.
- *Without* the term $\frac{1}{\log N}$, we have seen above that $\mathbb{E} [\langle \tilde{M}_N, e_l \rangle, \langle \tilde{M}_N, e_m \rangle]_t$ diverges.
- Moreover, it happens that

$$[\langle \tilde{M}_N, e_l \rangle, \langle \tilde{M}_N, e_m \rangle]_t - \mathbb{E} [\langle \tilde{M}_N, e_l \rangle, \langle \tilde{M}_N, e_m \rangle]_t$$

converges in L^2_μ (μ =law of White Noise on H^{-1-}) to a well defined random variable.

Renormalizing quadratic variation

As a consequence, *including* the term $\frac{1}{\log N}$,

$$L^2_{\mu} - \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} (\langle \langle M_N, e_l \rangle, \langle M_N, e_m \rangle \rangle_t - \mathbb{E} [\langle M_N, e_l \rangle, \langle M_N, e_m \rangle]_t) = 0.$$

And

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} [\langle M_N, e_l \rangle, \langle M_N, e_m \rangle]_t &= \left(\frac{1}{\log N} \sum_{|k| \leq N} \frac{1}{|k|^2} \right) t |l|^2 \delta_{l,m} \\ &\rightarrow t |l|^2 \delta_{l,m} \\ &= \left[\langle \nabla^{\perp} \cdot W, e_l \rangle, \langle \nabla^{\perp} \cdot W, e_m \rangle \right]_t. \end{aligned}$$

Discussion: extensions, interpretation, motivations

- There are heuristic reasons to believe that part of the scaling limit discussed above is a more general fact; in particular, the presence of the Laplacian in the limit equation, with a true parabolic character.
- Maybe precisely additive white noise is special, due to the "white noise" solution regime.
- [Private discussions years ago with Weber and Hauray.] A common space-dep noise with very short correlation range acting on particles is similar to independent BMs and should give rise to a Laplacian in the mean field limit.
- With Dejun Luo we spent much time trying to prove that multiplicative noise restores uniqueness in 2D Euler equations and had the heuristic impression that $\gamma = 2$ was relevant (but it is not admissible).
- In a sense we have studied here the case $\gamma = 2$, and the limit equation, stochastic Navier-Stokes, has uniqueness!