



## Introduction

We will work with injections from  $\omega$  to  $\omega$ . The set of all such injections will be denoted by **Inj**. Fix an ideal  $\mathcal{I}$  on  $\omega$  and let  $f \in \mathbf{Inj}$ . We say that  $f$  is  $\mathcal{I}$ -invariant if  $f[A] \in \mathcal{I}$  for all  $A \in \mathcal{I}$ . We say that  $f^{-1}$  is  $\mathcal{I}$ -invariant if  $f^{-1}[A] \in \mathcal{I}$  for all  $A \in \mathcal{I}$ . If  $f$  and  $f^{-1}$  are  $\mathcal{I}$ -invariant, then  $f$  is called *bi- $\mathcal{I}$ -invariant*. Note that every  $f \in \mathbf{Inj}$  is bi-Fin-invariant.

## Basic observations

Let  $\mathcal{I}$  be an ideal on  $\omega$  and let  $f \in \mathbf{Inj}$ .

- (i)  $f^{-1}$  is  $\mathcal{I}$ -invariant if and only if  $f[A] \notin \mathcal{I}$  for every  $A \notin \mathcal{I}$ .
- (ii) If  $f[\omega] \in \mathcal{I}$ , then  $f$  is  $\mathcal{I}$ -invariant and it is not bi- $\mathcal{I}$ -invariant.
- (iii) If  $\text{Fix}(f) \in \mathcal{I}^*$ , then  $f$  is bi- $\mathcal{I}$ -invariant.
- (iv) **Inj** is a  $G_\delta$  subset of  $\omega^\omega$ , hence it is a Polish space.
- (v) The set  $\{f \in \mathbf{Inj} : \omega \setminus \text{Fix}(f) \in \text{Fin}\}$  is dense in **Inj**. In particular, the set  $\{f \in \mathbf{Inj} : f \text{ is bi-}\mathcal{I}\text{-invariant}\}$  is dense in **Inj** for every ideal  $\mathcal{I}$  containing all singletons. Moreover, if  $\mathcal{I}$  contains infinite sets and all singletons, the set  $\{f \in \mathbf{Inj} : f \text{ is not } \mathcal{I}\text{-invariant}\}$  is dense in **Inj** as well.

## Easy examples

- (i) Let  $\mathcal{I}_d$  stand for classical density zero ideal. Note that every increasing injection is  $\mathcal{I}_d$ -invariant. In particular,  $f(n) := n^2$  is  $\mathcal{I}_d$ -invariant. Moreover, in this case  $f[\omega] \in \mathcal{I}_d$ , hence  $f$  is not bi- $\mathcal{I}_d$ -invariant.
- (ii) Let  $f: \omega \rightarrow \omega$  be given by the formulas:  $f(2n) := 4n$ ,  $f(4n+1) = 4n+2$ ,  $f(4n+3) := 2n+1$  for  $n \in \omega$ . Then  $f$  is a bijection. Consider the ideal  $\mathcal{I}$  defined as follows

$$\mathcal{I} := \{A \cup B : A \in \text{Fin}, B \subseteq 2\omega\}.$$

Clearly,  $f$  is  $\mathcal{I}$ -invariant bijection which is not bi- $\mathcal{I}$ -invariant.

## Basic fact

There are three types of countably generated ideals:  $\text{Fin}$ ,  $\text{Fin} \oplus \mathcal{P}(\omega)$  and  $\text{Fin} \times \emptyset$ .

## Invariance with respect to countably generated ideals

- (i) If  $\mathcal{I} = \text{Fin}$ , then each injection is bi- $\mathcal{I}$ -invariant.
- (ii) If  $\mathcal{I} = \text{Fin} \oplus \mathcal{P}(\omega)$ , then the sets  $\mathcal{I}\text{-Inv}$ , of all  $\mathcal{I}$ -invariant injections, and **bi- $\mathcal{I}$ -Inv**, of all bi- $\mathcal{I}$ -invariant injections, are  $F_\sigma$  but not  $G_\delta$  subsets of **Inj**.
- (iii) If  $\mathcal{I} = \text{Fin} \times \emptyset$ , then  $\mathcal{I}\text{-Inv}$  and **bi- $\mathcal{I}$ -Inv** are meager of type  $F_{\sigma\delta}$  in **Inj**  $\subseteq (\omega \times \omega)^{\omega \times \omega}$ . Moreover, **bi- $\mathcal{I}$ -Inv** is  $F_{\sigma\delta}$ -complete.

## Invariance with respect to maximal ideals - main Theorem

Let  $\mathcal{I}$  be a maximal ideal on  $\omega$  and let  $f \in \mathbf{Inj}$  be such that  $\text{Fix}(f) \notin \mathcal{I}^*$ . Then  $f$  is  $\mathcal{I}$ -invariant if and only if  $f[\omega] \in \mathcal{I}$ .

## Invariance with respect to maximal ideals - Corollary

Let  $\mathcal{I}$  be a maximal ideal on  $\omega$  and  $f \in \mathbf{Inj}$ . Then:

- (i)  $f$  is  $\mathcal{I}$ -invariant if and only if either  $\text{Fix}(f) \in \mathcal{I}^*$  or  $f[\omega] \in \mathcal{I}$ .
- (ii) Condition  $\text{Fix}(f) \in \mathcal{I}^*$  is equivalent to the bi- $\mathcal{I}$ -invariance of  $f$ .

## Invariance with respect to maximal ideals - Example

Let  $\mathcal{I}$  and  $\mathcal{J}$  be non-isomorphic maximal ideals on  $\omega$ . Let us define  $\mathcal{A} := \mathcal{I} \oplus \mathcal{J}$ . Take any  $f \in \mathbf{Inj}$ . Then  $f$  is bi- $\mathcal{A}$ -invariant iff  $\text{Fix}(f) \in \mathcal{A}^*$ .

## Invariance with respect to maximal ideals - Question

What are (reasonable) characterizations of two classes that consist of:

- ▶ ideals  $\mathcal{I}$  such that every bi- $\mathcal{I}$ -invariant injection  $f$  satisfies condition  $\text{Fix}(f) \in \mathcal{I}^*$ ,
- ▶ ideals  $\mathcal{I}$  such that every  $\mathcal{I}$ -invariant injection  $f$  satisfies either  $f[\omega] \in \mathcal{I}$  or  $\text{Fix}(f) \in \mathcal{I}^*$ ?

## Bi-invariance with respect to the ideals $\mathcal{I}_d$ and $\mathcal{I}_{(1/n)}$

Let  $\mathcal{I}_{(1/n)}$  stand for classical summable ideal. Let  $f: \omega \rightarrow \omega$  be an increasing injection. The following conditions are equivalent:

- (i)  $f$  is bi- $\mathcal{I}_d$ -invariant;
- (ii)  $\underline{d}(f[\omega]) > 0$ ;
- (iii) there is  $C \in \omega$  such that  $f(n) \leq Cn$  for every  $n \geq 1$ ;
- (iv)  $f$  is bi- $\mathcal{I}_{(1/n)}$ -invariant.

## Generalized density ideals [2]

Denote by  $G$  the set of all functions  $g: \omega \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  satisfying conditions  $g(n) \rightarrow \infty$  and  $n/g(n) \rightarrow 0$ . Then we define the *upper density of weight  $g \in G$*  by the formula

$$\bar{d}_g(A) = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|A \cap \{0, \dots, n-1\}|}{g(n)} \quad \text{for } A \subseteq \omega.$$

Then consider the following ideal:

$$\mathcal{Z}_g := \{A \subseteq \omega : \bar{d}_g(A) = 0\}.$$

In particular,  $\mathcal{I}_d = \mathcal{Z}_g$  for  $g(n) := n$ . Note also that  $\mathcal{Z}_g$  is of the form  $\text{Exh}(\varphi)$  where  $\varphi(A) = \sup_{n \in \omega} (|A \cap \{0, \dots, n-1\}|/g(n))$  for  $A \subseteq \omega$ . Every increasing injection is  $\mathcal{Z}_g$ -invariant.

## Bibliography

- [1] H. Albayrak, S. Pehlivan, *On ideal convergence of subsequences and rearrangements of a real sequence*, Appl. Math. Lett. **23** (2010), 1203–1207.
- [2] M. Balcerzak, P. Das, M. Filipczak, J. Swaczyna, *Generalized kinds of density and the associated ideals*, Acta Math. Hungar., (in press) DOI: 10.1007/s10474-015-0510-0.
- [3] M. Balcerzak, Sz. Głąb, J. Swaczyna, *Ideal invariant injections*, Preprint.
- [4] I. Farah, *Analytic quotients. Theory of lifting for quotients over analytic ideals on integers*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **148** (2000).
- [5] H. Fast, *Sur la convergence statistique*, Colloq. Math. **2** (1951), 241–244.
- [6] R. Filipów, N. Mrozek, I. Reclaw, P. Szuca, *Ideal convergence of bounded sequences*, J. Symb. Logic **72** (2007), 501–512.
- [7] P. Kostyrko, T. Śalát, W. Wilczyński,  *$\mathcal{I}$ -convergence*, Real Anal. Exchange **26** (2000-2001), 669–685.
- [8] C. Laffamme, *Filter games and combinatorial properties of strategies*, Contemporary Math. **192** (1996) (Boise Extravaganza in Set Theory Conference; T. Bartoszyński, M. Scheepers, eds), 51–67.
- [9] D. Meza Alcantara, *Ideals and filters on countable sets*, PhD thesis, Univ. Nacional Autonoma de Mexico (June 2009).
- [10] S. Solecki, *Analytic ideals*, Bull. Symbolic Logic **2** (1996), 339–348.

## Question

Take any  $g \in G$ . Let  $f$  be an increasing injection. Then  $f$  is  $\mathcal{Z}_g$ -invariant. Is it true that  $f$  is bi- $\mathcal{Z}_g$ -invariant iff it is bi- $\mathcal{I}_d$ -invariant? Is it true that  $f$  is bi- $\mathcal{Z}_g$ -invariant iff it is bi- $\mathcal{I}_{(1/g(n))}$ -invariant (where  $\mathcal{I}_{(1/g(n))}$  is the respective summable ideal)?

## Observation

By **Inj**<sup>†</sup> we denote the space of all increasing injections in **Inj**. **Inj**<sup>†</sup> is a  $G_\delta$  subset of **Inj** and consequently, **Inj**<sup>†</sup> is a Polish space.

## Proposition

Let  $\mathcal{I} \in \{\mathcal{I}_d, \mathcal{I}_{(1/n)}\}$ . The set  $B_{\mathcal{I}}^\dagger$  of all increasing bi- $\mathcal{I}$ -invariant injections is a true  $F_\sigma$  meager subset of **Inj**<sup>†</sup>.

## Definition

Given an ideal  $\mathcal{I}$  on  $\omega$ , we say that a sequence  $(x_n)_{n \in \omega}$  in a metric space  $(X, \rho)$  is  $\mathcal{I}$ -convergent to  $x \in X$  (see e.g. [7]) if  $\{n \in \omega : \rho(x_n, x) \geq \varepsilon\} \in \mathcal{I}$  for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ . We then write  $\mathcal{I}\text{-}\lim_{n \in \omega} x_n = x$  or simply  $\mathcal{I}\text{-}\lim_n x_n = x$ . Note that  $\text{Fin}\text{-}\lim_n x_n = x$  means the usual convergence  $\lim_n x_n = x$ .

## Applications to ideal convergence

Consider the following question. Let  $\mathcal{I}$  be an ideal on  $\omega$  and let  $\mathcal{I}\text{-}\lim_n x_n = x$ . Does there exist a bi- $\mathcal{I}$ -invariant injection  $f$  such that  $\lim_n x_{f(n)} = x$ ?

## Applications to ideal convergence - Theorem

Let  $\mathcal{I}$  be a P-ideal on  $\omega$  which is not isomorphic to  $\text{Fin} \oplus \mathcal{P}(\omega)$ . Then for any sequence  $(x_n)_{n \in \omega}$  of real numbers which is  $\mathcal{I}$ -convergent to some  $x$ , there exists a bi- $\mathcal{I}$ -invariant injection  $f$  such that  $(x_{f(n)})_{n \in \omega}$  is convergent to  $x$ .

## Definition

We say that an ideal  $\mathcal{I}$  on  $\omega$  is a *weak P-ideal* if for any sequence  $(A_n)$  of sets in  $\mathcal{I}$  there exists a set  $A \notin \mathcal{I}^*$  such that for any  $n \in \omega$  we have  $A_n \subseteq^* A$  (cf. [8] where weak P-filters were considered). Clearly, every P-ideal is a weak P-ideal. The ideal  $\text{Fin} \times \emptyset$  shows that the converse is false.

## Applications to ideal convergence - Theorem

Assume that  $\mathcal{I}$  is not a weak P-ideal. Then there exists an  $\mathcal{I}$ -convergent sequence  $(x_n)$  such that, for any bi- $\mathcal{I}$ -invariant injection  $f$ , the sequence  $(x_{f(n)})_{n \in \omega}$  is not convergent.

## Applications to ideal convergence - Question

What is an exact characterization of ideals  $\mathcal{I}$  such that, for any sequence  $(x_n)$  of reals, the convergence  $\mathcal{I}\text{-}\lim_n x_n = x$  implies  $\lim_n x_{f(n)} = x$ , for some bi- $\mathcal{I}$ -invariant injection  $f$ ?

## Applications to ideal convergence - another problem

How to characterize the  $\mathcal{I}$ -convergence of a sequence  $(x_n)_{n \in \omega}$  in terms of the  $\mathcal{I}$ -convergence of  $(x_{f(n)})_{n \in \omega}$  for the respectively chosen injections  $f$ ?

## Definition

A family  $\{f_i : i \in K\} \subseteq \mathbf{Inj}$  ( $m \in \omega$ ) will be called  $\mathcal{I}$ -good if:

- (i) every  $f_i$  is bi- $\mathcal{I}$ -invariant;
- (ii)  $\bigcup_{i \in K} f_i[\omega] \in \mathcal{I}^*$ .

Clearly,  $\{\text{id}\}$  is an  $\mathcal{I}$ -good family for any ideal  $\mathcal{I}$  on  $\omega$ .

## Observation

Let  $\mathcal{I}$  be an ideal on  $\omega$ , and let  $(x_n)$  be a sequence of reals. Let  $f \in \mathbf{Inj}$  be a bi- $\mathcal{I}$ -invariant injection such that  $(x_{f(n)})$  is  $\mathcal{I}$ -convergent to some  $x$ . Assume that  $\text{card}(\omega \setminus f[\omega]) \geq 2$ . Take any distinct  $n, k \in \omega \setminus f[\omega]$ . There exists bi- $\mathcal{I}$ -invariant injection  $f' \in \mathbf{Inj}$  such that  $(x_{f'(n)})$  is  $\mathcal{I}$ -convergent to  $x$  and  $f'[\omega] = f[\omega] \cup \{n, k\}$ .

## Applications to ideal convergence - Theorem

Let  $\mathcal{I}$  be an ideal on  $\omega$  and consider real numbers  $x$  and  $x_n$  for  $n \in \omega$ . The following conditions are equivalent:

- (i)  $\mathcal{I}\text{-}\lim_n x_n = x$ ;
- (ii)  $\mathcal{I}\text{-}\lim_n x_{f(n)} = x$  for every  $f \in \mathbf{Inj}$  with  $\mathcal{I}$ -invariant  $f^{-1}$ ;
- (iii)  $\mathcal{I}\text{-}\lim_n x_{f(n)} = x$  for every bi- $\mathcal{I}$ -invariant  $f \in \mathbf{Inj}$ ;
- (iv)  $\mathcal{I}\text{-}\lim_n x_{f(n)} = x$  for every finite  $\mathcal{I}$ -good family  $\{f_i : i \in K\} \subseteq \mathbf{Inj}$ ;
- (v)  $\mathcal{I}\text{-}\lim_n x_{f(n)} = x$  for some finite  $\mathcal{I}$ -good family  $\{f_i : i \in K\} \subseteq \mathbf{Inj}$ .

## Applications to ideal convergence - Theorem

Let  $(x_n)$  be a sequence of real numbers and  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . Let  $\varphi$  be an lsc submeasure on  $\omega$  and  $\mathcal{I} := \text{Exh}(\varphi)$ . Assume that  $\{f_i : i \in \omega\}$  is an  $\mathcal{I}$ -good family such that  $f_0[\omega], f_1[\omega], \dots$  are pairwise disjoint and  $\sum_{i \in \omega} \varphi(f_i[\omega]) < \infty$ . If  $\mathcal{I}\text{-}\lim_n x_{f(n)} = x$  for every  $i \in \omega$ , then  $\mathcal{I}\text{-}\lim_n x_n = x$ .

## Author

Jarosław Swaczyna  
Lódz University of Technology  
Wólczajska 215  
90-924 Lodz, Poland  
jswaczyna@wp.pl