

Fundamental Lemma and Hitchin Fibration

G rard Laumon

CNRS and Universit  Paris-Sud

May 13, 2009

Introduction

In this talk I shall mainly report on Ngô Bao Châu's proof of the Langlands-Shelstad Fundamental Lemma.

Introduction

In this talk I shall mainly report on Ngô Bao Châu's proof of the Langlands-Shelstad Fundamental Lemma.

With Pierre-Henri Chaudouard, we have extended Ngô's proof in order to get Arthur's weighted Fundamental Lemma.

If time permits, I shall say a few words about our work at the end of the talk.

Arthur-Selberg trace formula

The **Arthur-Selberg trace formula** is a powerful tool for proving Langlands functorialities for automorphic representations:

- the cyclic base change,
- the transfer between inner forms,
- the transfer from classical groups to $GL(n)$,
- and more generally all the Langlands functorialities of **endoscopic** type.

Arthur-Selberg trace formula

The **Arthur-Selberg trace formula** is a powerful tool for proving Langlands functorialities for automorphic representations:

- the cyclic base change,
- the transfer between inner forms,
- the transfer from classical groups to $GL(n)$,
- and more generally all the Langlands functorialities of **endoscopic** type.

Unfortunately, as it was first remarked by Langlands himself, in order to use that tool one first needs rather obscure and technical combinatorial identities between orbital integrals. These conjectural identities form the so-called **Fundamental Lemma**.

Fundamental Lemma

The Fundamental Lemma has been formulated by:

- Langlands and Shelstad (ordinary Fundamental Lemma),
- Kottwitz and Shelstad (twisted Fundamental Lemma),
- Arthur (weighted and twisted weighted Fundamental Lemmas).

Fundamental Lemma

The Fundamental Lemma has been formulated by:

- Langlands and Shelstad (ordinary Fundamental Lemma),
- Kottwitz and Shelstad (twisted Fundamental Lemma),
- Arthur (weighted and twisted weighted Fundamental Lemmas).

Several cases of the ordinary Fundamental Lemma have been proved by direct computations:

- $SL(2)$ by Labesse-Langlands (1979),
- $U(3)$ by Kottwitz (1992) and Rogawski (1990),
- $SL(n)$ by Waldspurger (1991),
- $Sp(4)$ by Hales (1997) and Weissauer (1993).

Reduction

Waldspurger has made several crucial reductions:

- It is enough to consider a variant of the Fundamental Lemma for Lie algebras which is easier to formulate.

Reduction

Waldspurger has made several crucial reductions:

- It is enough to consider a variant of the Fundamental Lemma for Lie algebras which is easier to formulate.
- The unequal characteristic case (over \mathbb{Q}_p) which is the most interesting, follows from the equal characteristic case (over $\mathbb{F}_p((t))$).

Reduction

Waldspurger has made several crucial reductions:

- It is enough to consider a variant of the Fundamental Lemma for Lie algebras which is easier to formulate.
- The unequal characteristic case (over \mathbb{Q}_p) which is the most interesting, follows from the equal characteristic case (over $\mathbb{F}_p((t))$).
- The twisted versions of the Fundamental Lemma follow from the untwisted ones.

Geometric approaches

Using equivariant cohomology of affine Springer fibers, Goresky, Kottwitz and MacPherson have proved the Langlands-Shelstad Fundamental Lemma in the **equivaluated unramified case**.

Geometric approaches

Using equivariant cohomology of affine Springer fibers, Goresky, Kottwitz and MacPherson have proved the Langlands-Shelstad Fundamental Lemma in the **equivaluated unramified case**.

Two years ago, the **general case** of the Langlands-Shelstad Fundamental Lemma has been proved by Ngô as a consequence of his cohomological study of the elliptic part of the Hitchin fibration.

Geometric approaches

Using equivariant cohomology of affine Springer fibers, Goresky, Kottwitz and MacPherson have proved the Langlands-Shelstad Fundamental Lemma in the **equivaluated unramified case**.

Two years ago, the **general case** of the Langlands-Shelstad Fundamental Lemma has been proved by Ngô as a consequence of his cohomological study of the elliptic part of the Hitchin fibration.

With Chaudouard, we have extended the work of Goresky, Kottwitz and MacPherson to the weighed Fundamental Lemma and we have proved it in the equivaluated unramified case.

Geometric approaches

Using equivariant cohomology of affine Springer fibers, Goresky, Kottwitz and MacPherson have proved the Langlands-Shelstad Fundamental Lemma in the **equivaluated unramified case**.

Two years ago, the **general case** of the Langlands-Shelstad Fundamental Lemma has been proved by Ngô as a consequence of his cohomological study of the elliptic part of the Hitchin fibration.

With Chaudouard, we have extended the work of Goresky, Kottwitz and MacPherson to the weighed Fundamental Lemma and we have proved it in the equivaluated unramified case.

More recently, we have obtained the general case of the weighted Fundamental Lemma by extending Ngô's cohomological study to the hyperbolic part of the Hitchin fibration.

Notation

- k an algebraically closed field,
- G a semisimple algebraic group of adjoint type over k ,
- $T \subset G$ a maximal torus,
- W the Weyl group of (G, T) ; we assume that $|W|$ is invertible in k ,

Notation

- k an algebraically closed field,
- G a semisimple algebraic group of adjoint type over k ,
- $T \subset G$ a maximal torus,
- W the Weyl group of (G, T) ; we assume that $|W|$ is invertible in k ,
- $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{t}$ the corresponding Lie algebras,
- n the rank of \mathfrak{g} , i.e. the dimension of \mathfrak{t} ,
- $\mathfrak{t}^{G\text{-reg}} \subset \mathfrak{t}$ the open subset of G -regular elements,
- $\text{Ad} : G \rightarrow \text{End}(\mathfrak{g})$ the adjoint representation,

Notation

- k an algebraically closed field,
- G a semisimple algebraic group of adjoint type over k ,
- $T \subset G$ a maximal torus,
- W the Weyl group of (G, T) ; we assume that $|W|$ is invertible in k ,
- $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{t}$ the corresponding Lie algebras,
- n the rank of \mathfrak{g} , i.e. the dimension of \mathfrak{t} ,
- $\mathfrak{t}^{G\text{-reg}} \subset \mathfrak{t}$ the open subset of G -regular elements,
- $\text{Ad} : G \rightarrow \text{End}(\mathfrak{g})$ the adjoint representation,
- C a smooth, connected, projective curve over k of genus g ,
- $D = 2D' \subset C$ an even effective divisor of degree $> 2g$,
- ∞ a closed point of C which is not in the support of D .

Chevalley theorem

Chevalley has proved that

$$\mathfrak{car} := \mathfrak{t} // W = \text{Spec}(k[\mathfrak{t}]^W) \cong \mathfrak{g} // G = \text{Spec}(k[\mathfrak{g}]^G)$$

is a standard affine space of dimension n over k . Let $\chi : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{car}$ be the quotient morphism.

Chevalley theorem

Chevalley has proved that

$$\mathfrak{car} := \mathfrak{t} // W = \mathrm{Spec}(k[\mathfrak{t}]^W) \cong \mathfrak{g} // G = \mathrm{Spec}(k[\mathfrak{g}]^G)$$

is a standard affine space of dimension n over k . Let $\chi : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{car}$ be the quotient morphism.

Over C , we have:

- $\mathfrak{t}_D = \mathfrak{t} \otimes_k \mathcal{O}_C(D)$ and $\mathfrak{g}_D = \mathfrak{g} \otimes_k \mathcal{O}_C(D)$ viewed as vector bundles.
- W and G act in an obvious way on these vector bundles.
- The quotients are isomorphic affine bundles

$$\mathfrak{car}_D := \mathfrak{g}_D // G \cong \mathfrak{t}_D // W \rightarrow C.$$

- $\chi_D : \mathfrak{g}_D \rightarrow \mathfrak{car}_D$ the quotient morphism.
- χ_D makes sense when one replaces \mathfrak{g}_D by $\mathrm{Ad}(\mathcal{E})(D)$ where \mathcal{E} is any G -torsor on C .

Hitchin Fibration

Let \mathcal{M}_G be the stack of triples $(\mathcal{E}, \theta, t_\infty)$ where:

- \mathcal{E} is a G -torsor over C ,
- $\theta \in H^0(C, \text{Ad}(\mathcal{E})(D))$,
- $t_\infty \in \mathfrak{t}^{G\text{-reg}}$ such that $\chi(t_\infty) = \chi(\theta_\infty) \in \text{cat}$, where $\theta_\infty \in \text{Ad}(\mathcal{E}_\infty) \cong \mathfrak{g}$ is the fiber of θ at ∞ .

Hitchin Fibration

Let \mathcal{M}_G be the stack of triples $(\mathcal{E}, \theta, t_\infty)$ where:

- \mathcal{E} is a G -torsor over C ,
- $\theta \in H^0(C, \text{Ad}(\mathcal{E})(D))$,
- $t_\infty \in \mathfrak{t}^{G\text{-reg}}$ such that $\chi(t_\infty) = \chi(\theta_\infty) \in \text{car}$, where $\theta_\infty \in \text{Ad}(\mathcal{E}_\infty) \cong \mathfrak{g}$ is the fiber of θ at ∞ .

Let \mathcal{A}_G be the variety of pairs $a = (h, t_\infty)$ with $h \in \Gamma(\text{car}_D/C)$ and $t_\infty \in \mathfrak{t}^{G\text{-reg}}$ such that $h(\infty) = \chi(t_\infty) \in \text{car}_{D,\infty} = \text{car}$.

Hitchin Fibration

Let \mathcal{M}_G be the stack of triples $(\mathcal{E}, \theta, t_\infty)$ where:

- \mathcal{E} is a G -torsor over C ,
- $\theta \in H^0(C, \text{Ad}(\mathcal{E})(D))$,
- $t_\infty \in \mathfrak{t}^{G\text{-reg}}$ such that $\chi(t_\infty) = \chi(\theta_\infty) \in \text{car}$, where $\theta_\infty \in \text{Ad}(\mathcal{E}_\infty) \cong \mathfrak{g}$ is the fiber of θ at ∞ .

Let \mathcal{A}_G be the variety of pairs $a = (h, t_\infty)$ with $h \in \Gamma(\text{car}_D/C)$ and $t_\infty \in \mathfrak{t}^{G\text{-reg}}$ such that $h(\infty) = \chi(t_\infty) \in \text{car}_{D,\infty} = \text{car}$.

Definition

The Hitchin fibration is the stack morphism

$$f_G : \mathcal{M}_G \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_G$$

which maps $(\mathcal{E}, \theta, t_\infty)$ to $a = (h, t_\infty)$ where $h = \chi_D(\theta)$.

Basic properties of the Hitchin fibration

The stack \mathcal{M}_G is algebraic in the sense of Artin, but it is not of Deligne-Mumford type.

Basic properties of the Hitchin fibration

The stack \mathcal{M}_G is algebraic in the sense of Artin, but it is not of Deligne-Mumford type.

In fact, \mathcal{M}_G is highly non separated (the automorphism groups are affine but not finite).

Basic properties of the Hitchin fibration

The stack \mathcal{M}_G is algebraic in the sense of Artin, but it is not of Deligne-Mumford type.

In fact, \mathcal{M}_G is highly non separated (the automorphism groups are affine but not finite).

The algebraic stack \mathcal{M}_G is locally of finite type but it is not quasi-compact.

Basic properties of the Hitchin fibration

The stack \mathcal{M}_G is algebraic in the sense of Artin, but it is not of Deligne-Mumford type.

In fact, \mathcal{M}_G is highly non separated (the automorphism groups are affine but not finite).

The algebraic stack \mathcal{M}_G is locally of finite type but it is not quasi-compact.

By deformation theory it is easy to prove:

Proposition

The algebraic stack \mathcal{M}_G is smooth over k .

Levi strata

Let $\mathcal{L}(T)$ the set of the Levi subgroups M of G containing T .

Levi strata

Let $\mathcal{L}(T)$ the set of the Levi subgroups M of G containing T .

For each $M \in \mathcal{L}(T)$ we may consider the base \mathcal{A}_M of the Hitchin fibration of M and its open subset

$$\mathcal{A}_M^{G\text{-reg}} \subset \mathcal{A}_M$$

where it is required that t_∞ is in $\mathfrak{t}^{G\text{-reg}} \subset \mathfrak{t}^{M\text{-reg}}$.

Levi strata

Let $\mathcal{L}(T)$ the set of the Levi subgroups M of G containing T .

For each $M \in \mathcal{L}(T)$ we may consider the base \mathcal{A}_M of the Hitchin fibration of M and its open subset

$$\mathcal{A}_M^{G\text{-reg}} \subset \mathcal{A}_M$$

where it is required that t_∞ is in $\mathfrak{t}^{G\text{-reg}} \subset \mathfrak{t}^{M\text{-reg}}$.

We have a closed embedding

$$i_M : \mathcal{A}_M^{G\text{-reg}} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{A}_G$$

which maps (h_M, t_∞) to (h, t_∞) where

$$h : \mathcal{C} \xrightarrow{h_M} \text{car}_{M,D} = \mathfrak{t}_D // W_M \rightarrow \mathfrak{t}_D // W_G = \text{car}_D.$$

The elliptic part

The elliptic part is the open subset

$$\mathcal{A}_G^{\text{ell}} = \mathcal{A}_G - \bigcup_{\substack{M \in \mathcal{L}(T) \\ M \neq G}} \mathcal{A}_M^{G\text{-reg.}}$$

Let $f_G^{\text{ell}} = \mathcal{M}_G^{\text{ell}} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_G^{\text{ell}}$ be the restriction of the Hitchin fibration to the elliptic open subset.

The elliptic part

The elliptic part is the open subset

$$\mathcal{A}_G^{\text{ell}} = \mathcal{A}_G - \bigcup_{\substack{M \in \mathcal{L}(T) \\ M \neq G}} \mathcal{A}_M^{G-\text{reg}}.$$

Let $f_G^{\text{ell}} = \mathcal{M}_G^{\text{ell}} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_G^{\text{ell}}$ be the restriction of the Hitchin fibration to the elliptic open subset.

The main geometric property of f_G^{ell} is:

Proposition (Faltings)

The algebraic stack $\mathcal{M}_G^{\text{ell}}$ is a scheme (smooth over k) and the morphism f_G^{ell} is proper.

Adeles

Assume for a while that k is an algebraic closure of a finite field \mathbb{F}_q and that C , D and ∞ are defined over \mathbb{F}_q . Then the set of \mathbb{F}_q -rational points of the Hitchin fibration has a nice adelic description.

Adeles

Assume for a while that k is an algebraic closure of a finite field \mathbb{F}_q and that C , D and ∞ are defined over \mathbb{F}_q . Then the set of \mathbb{F}_q -rational points of the Hitchin fibration has a nice adelic description.

We shall use the standard notation:

- F the function field of C over \mathbb{F}_q ,
- for each closed point c of C , \mathcal{O}_c is the completed local ring of C at c and F_c its fraction field,
- \mathbb{A} the ring of adèles of F ,
- $\mathcal{O} = \prod_c \mathcal{O}_c \subset \mathbb{A}$ its maximal compact subring,
- for each closed point c in C , ϖ_c is a uniformizer of F_c ,
- if $D = \sum_c d_c [c]$, $\varpi^{eD} = \prod_c \varpi_c^{ed_c}$ for any $e \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Adelic description of the Hitchin fibration

For simplicity, let us forget the extra component t_∞ and the hypothesis of generic semi-simplicity of θ_∞ .

Adelic description of the Hitchin fibration

For simplicity, let us forget the extra component t_∞ and the hypothesis of generic semi-simplicity of θ_∞ .

Then at the level of \mathbb{F}_q -rational points, the Hitchin fibration is given by the map

$$\begin{array}{c} G(F) \setminus \{(g, \gamma) \in G(\mathbb{A})/G(\mathcal{O}) \times \mathfrak{g}(F) \mid \text{Ad}(g)^{-1}(\gamma) \in \varpi^{-D}\mathfrak{g}(\mathcal{O})\} \\ \downarrow \\ \{a \in F^r \mid \varpi^{e_i D} a_i \in \mathcal{O}\} \end{array}$$

which maps (g, γ) to $\chi(a) = (\chi_1(\gamma), \dots, \chi_r(\gamma))$.

Adelic description of the Hitchin fibration

For simplicity, let us forget the extra component t_∞ and the hypothesis of generic semi-simplicity of θ_∞ .

Then at the level of \mathbb{F}_q -rational points, the Hitchin fibration is given by the map

$$\begin{array}{c} G(F) \backslash \{(g, \gamma) \in G(\mathbb{A})/G(\mathcal{O}) \times \mathfrak{g}(F) \mid \text{Ad}(g)^{-1}(\gamma) \in \varpi^{-D}\mathfrak{g}(\mathcal{O})\} \\ \downarrow \\ \{a \in F^r \mid \varpi^{e_i D} a_i \in \mathcal{O}\} \end{array}$$

which maps (g, γ) to $\chi(a) = (\chi_1(\gamma), \dots, \chi_r(\gamma))$.

Here (χ_1, \dots, χ_r) is a basis of the \mathbb{F}_q -algebras of G -invariant polynomials on \mathfrak{g} and the e_i 's are the degrees of the χ_i 's.

Orbital integrals

Lemma

The number of \mathbb{F}_q -rational points of the Hitchin fiber $f_G^{-1}(a)$ at some point $a \in \mathcal{A}_G^{\text{ell}}(\mathbb{F}_q)$ is the sum of the orbital integrals

$$J^G(\gamma_a, 1_{\varpi^{-D}\mathfrak{g}(\mathcal{O})}) = \int_{G_{\gamma_a}(F) \backslash G(\mathbb{A})} 1_{\varpi^{-D}\mathfrak{g}(\mathcal{O})}(\text{Ad}(g)^{-1}(\gamma_a)) dg$$

where $\gamma_a \in \mathfrak{g}(F)$ runs through a set of representatives of the $G(F)$ -conjugacy classes in $\chi^{-1}(a) \subset \mathfrak{g}(F)$.

Orbital integrals

Lemma

The number of \mathbb{F}_q -rational points of the Hitchin fiber $f_G^{-1}(a)$ at some point $a \in \mathcal{A}_G^{\text{ell}}(\mathbb{F}_q)$ is the sum of the orbital integrals

$$J^G(\gamma_a, 1_{\varpi^{-D}\mathfrak{g}(\mathcal{O})}) = \int_{G_{\gamma_a}(F) \backslash G(\mathbb{A})} 1_{\varpi^{-D}\mathfrak{g}(\mathcal{O})}(\text{Ad}(\mathfrak{g})^{-1}(\gamma_a)) d\mathfrak{g}$$

where $\gamma_a \in \mathfrak{g}(F)$ runs through a set of representatives of the $G(F)$ -conjugacy classes in $\chi^{-1}(a) \subset \mathfrak{g}(F)$.

Here:

- $G_{\gamma_a} \subset G$ is the centralizer of γ_a ,
- $1_{\varpi^{-D}\mathfrak{g}(\mathcal{O})}$ is the characteristic function of $\varpi^{-D}\mathfrak{g}(\mathcal{O})$ in $\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{A})$,
- the Haar measure $d\mathfrak{g}$ is normalized by $\text{vol}(G(\mathcal{O}), d\mathfrak{g}) = 1$.

Langlands duality

Let us work again over an arbitrary algebraically closed field k .
Fix a prime number ℓ invertible in k and an algebraic closure $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$ of the field of ℓ -adic numbers.

Langlands duality

Let us work again over an arbitrary algebraically closed field k .
Fix a prime number ℓ invertible in k and an algebraic closure $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$ of the field of ℓ -adic numbers.

Let $\hat{T} = \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell^\times \otimes X^*(T)$ be the dual torus of T .

Let (\hat{G}, \hat{T}) be the Langlands dual of (G, T) : \hat{G} is a reductive group over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$ which admits \hat{T} as a maximal torus and the roots of \hat{T} in \hat{G} are the coroots of T in G and vice versa.

Langlands duality

Let us work again over an arbitrary algebraically closed field k .
Fix a prime number ℓ invertible in k and an algebraic closure $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$ of the field of ℓ -adic numbers.

Let $\hat{T} = \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell^\times \otimes X^*(T)$ be the dual torus of T .

Let (\hat{G}, \hat{T}) be the Langlands dual of (G, T) : \hat{G} is a reductive group over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$ which admits \hat{T} as a maximal torus and the roots of \hat{T} in \hat{G} are the coroots of T in G and vice versa.

For example:

G	\hat{G}
$\mathrm{PSL}(n)$	$\mathrm{SL}(n)$
$\mathrm{PSp}(2n)$	$\mathrm{Spin}(2n+1)$
$\mathrm{SO}(2n+1)$	$\mathrm{Sp}(2n)$
$\mathrm{PO}(2n)$	$\mathrm{Spin}(2n)$

Endoscopic groups

For any $s \in \hat{T}$ we may consider the centralizer $Z_s(\hat{G})$ of s in \hat{G} :

- $Z_s(\hat{G})$ is a connected reductive group over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$ since \hat{G} is simply connected (recall that G is adjoint).
- $Z_s(\hat{G})$ also admits \hat{T} as a maximal torus.

Endoscopic groups

For any $s \in \hat{T}$ we may consider the centralizer $Z_s(\hat{G})$ of s in \hat{G} :

- $Z_s(\hat{G})$ is a connected reductive group over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}$ since \hat{G} is simply connected (recall that G is adjoint).
- $Z_s(\hat{G})$ also admits \hat{T} as a maximal torus.

Definition (Langlands)

For each $s \in \hat{T}$, the **endoscopic group** H_s of G is “the” reductive group over k containing T , whose dual is $Z_s(\hat{G})$.

Endoscopic groups

For any $s \in \hat{T}$ we may consider the centralizer $Z_s(\hat{G})$ of s in \hat{G} :

- $Z_s(\hat{G})$ is a connected reductive group over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}$ since \hat{G} is simply connected (recall that G is adjoint).
- $Z_s(\hat{G})$ also admits \hat{T} as a maximal torus.

Definition (Langlands)

For each $s \in \hat{T}$, the **endoscopic group** H_s of G is “the” reductive group over k containing T , whose dual is $Z_s(\hat{G})$.

The endoscopic group H_s is said **elliptic** if $Z_s(\hat{G})$ is not contained in any proper Levi subgroup of \hat{G} .

Endoscopic strata

If $H = H_s$ is an endoscopic group, the Weyl group W^H of (H, T) is naturally embedded in W since it is equal to the Weyl group of $(Z_s(\hat{G}), \hat{T}) \subset (\hat{G}, \hat{T})$.

Endoscopic strata

If $H = H_s$ is an endoscopic group, the Weyl group W^H of (H, T) is naturally embedded in W since it is equal to the Weyl group of $(Z_s(\hat{G}), \hat{T}) \subset (\hat{G}, \hat{T})$.

Therefore, as for a Levi subgroup, we have a closed embedding

$$i_H : \mathcal{A}_H^{G\text{-reg}} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{A}_G$$

which maps (h_H, t_∞) to (h, t_∞) where

$$h : C \xrightarrow{h_H} \text{car}_{H,D} = \mathfrak{t}_D // W_H \twoheadrightarrow \mathfrak{t}_D // W_G = \text{car}_D.$$

Endoscopic strata

If $H = H_s$ is an endoscopic group, the Weyl group W^H of (H, T) is naturally embedded in W since it is equal to the Weyl group of $(Z_s(\hat{G}), \hat{T}) \subset (\hat{G}, \hat{T})$.

Therefore, as for a Levi subgroup, we have a closed embedding

$$i_H : \mathcal{A}_H^{G\text{-reg}} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{A}_G$$

which maps (h_H, t_∞) to (h, t_∞) where

$$h : C \xrightarrow{h_H} \text{cat}_{H,D} = \mathfrak{t}_D // W_H \twoheadrightarrow \mathfrak{t}_D // W_G = \text{cat}_D.$$

The endoscopic group H is elliptic if and only if

$$i_H^{-1}(\mathcal{A}_G^{\text{ell}}) \neq \emptyset.$$

We denote by i_H^{ell} the restriction of i_H to the elliptic open subset.

Ngô's support theorem

We may consider the complex of ℓ -adic sheaves

$$Rf_{G,*}^{\text{ell}} \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}$$

over \mathcal{A}_G and the direct sum of its perverse cohomology sheaves

$$\bigoplus_i {}^p\mathcal{H}^i(Rf_{G,*}^{\text{ell}} \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell})$$

Ngô's support theorem

We may consider the complex of ℓ -adic sheaves

$$Rf_{G,*}^{\text{ell}} \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}$$

over \mathcal{A}_G and the direct sum of its perverse cohomology sheaves

$$\bigoplus_i {}^p\mathcal{H}^i(Rf_{G,*}^{\text{ell}} \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell})$$

By Deligne's theorem, these perverse cohomology sheaves are all pure and their direct sum is thus semisimple.

Ngô's support theorem

We may consider the complex of ℓ -adic sheaves

$$Rf_{G,*}^{\text{ell}} \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}$$

over \mathcal{A}_G and the direct sum of its perverse cohomology sheaves

$$\bigoplus_i {}^p\mathcal{H}^i(Rf_{G,*}^{\text{ell}} \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell})$$

By Deligne's theorem, these perverse cohomology sheaves are all pure and their direct sum is thus semisimple.

Theorem (Ngô Bao Châu)

The support of any irreducible constituent of $\bigoplus_i {}^p\mathcal{H}^i(Rf_{G,}^{\text{ell}} \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell})$ is equal to $A_G^{\text{ell}} \cap i_H(\mathcal{A}_H^{G-\text{reg}})$ for some elliptic endoscopic group H .*

The good open subset

I have neglected a **technical difficulty** which is related to the theory of singularities of curves in characteristic p :

The good open subset

I have neglected a **technical difficulty** which is related to the theory of singularities of curves in characteristic p :

- As it is formulated, the above theorem is only proved if k is of characteristic 0.

The good open subset

I have neglected a **technical difficulty** which is related to the theory of singularities of curves in characteristic p :

- As it is formulated, the above theorem is only proved if k is of characteristic 0.
- In positive characteristic, it might be also true in general but for the moment it is only proved over a dense open subset of \mathcal{A}_G , the so-called **good open subset**.

The good open subset

I have neglected a **technical difficulty** which is related to the theory of singularities of curves in characteristic p :

- As it is formulated, the above theorem is only proved if k is of characteristic 0.
- In positive characteristic, it might be also true in general but for the moment it is only proved over a dense open subset of \mathcal{A}_G , the so-called **good open subset**.
- Fortunately, the good open subset is large enough for proving the (local) Fundamental Lemma.

Symmetries

The Hitchin fibration has a lot of symmetries. In particular, it is equipped with an action of the discrete group $X_*(T)$ which can be described as follows:

Symmetries

The Hitchin fibration has a lot of symmetries. In particular, it is equipped with an action of the discrete group $X_*(T)$ which can be described as follows:

- A Hitchin pair (\mathcal{E}, θ) on C can be obtained by gluing a Hitchin pair on $C - \{\infty\}$ and a Hitchin pair on the formal completion $\mathrm{Spf}(\mathcal{O}_\infty)$ of C at ∞ .

Symmetries

The Hitchin fibration has a lot of symmetries. In particular, it is equipped with an action of the discrete group $X_*(T)$ which can be described as follows:

- A Hitchin pair (\mathcal{E}, θ) on C can be obtained by gluing a Hitchin pair on $C - \{\infty\}$ and a Hitchin pair on the formal completion $\mathrm{Spf}(\mathcal{O}_\infty)$ of C at ∞ .
- As θ_∞ is semisimple, the restriction of (\mathcal{E}, θ) to $\mathrm{Spf}(\mathcal{O}_\infty)$ admits a reduction to T .

Symmetries

The Hitchin fibration has a lot of symmetries. In particular, it is equipped with an action of the discrete group $X_*(T)$ which can be described as follows:

- A Hitchin pair (\mathcal{E}, θ) on C can be obtained by gluing a Hitchin pair on $C - \{\infty\}$ and a Hitchin pair on the formal completion $\mathrm{Spf}(\mathcal{O}_\infty)$ of C at ∞ .
- As θ_∞ is semisimple, the restriction of (\mathcal{E}, θ) to $\mathrm{Spf}(\mathcal{O}_\infty)$ admits a reduction to T .
- This reduction is canonical if one takes into account the extra data t_∞ .

Symmetries

The Hitchin fibration has a lot of symmetries. In particular, it is equipped with an action of the discrete group $X_*(T)$ which can be described as follows:

- A Hitchin pair (\mathcal{E}, θ) on C can be obtained by gluing a Hitchin pair on $C - \{\infty\}$ and a Hitchin pair on the formal completion $\mathrm{Spf}(\mathcal{O}_\infty)$ of C at ∞ .
- As θ_∞ is semisimple, the restriction of (\mathcal{E}, θ) to $\mathrm{Spf}(\mathcal{O}_\infty)$ admits a reduction to T .
- This reduction is canonical if one takes into account the extra data t_∞ .
- Therefore, the set of glueing data can be identified with

$$X_*(T) \cong T(F_\infty)/T(\mathcal{O}_\infty).$$

Symmetries

The Hitchin fibration has a lot of symmetries. In particular, it is equipped with an action of the discrete group $X_*(T)$ which can be described as follows:

- A Hitchin pair (\mathcal{E}, θ) on C can be obtained by gluing a Hitchin pair on $C - \{\infty\}$ and a Hitchin pair on the formal completion $\mathrm{Spf}(\mathcal{O}_\infty)$ of C at ∞ .
- As θ_∞ is semisimple, the restriction of (\mathcal{E}, θ) to $\mathrm{Spf}(\mathcal{O}_\infty)$ admits a reduction to T .
- This reduction is canonical if one takes into account the extra data t_∞ .
- Therefore, the set of gluing data can be identified with

$$X_*(T) \cong T(F_\infty)/T(\mathcal{O}_\infty).$$

- Hence we have an action by translation of $X_*(T)$ on the set of gluing data.

Ngô's main theorem

Over $\mathcal{A}_G^{\text{ell}}$ the action of $X_*(T)$ is of finite order and thus we have a canonical decomposition into isotypical components

$${}^p\mathcal{H}^\bullet(Rf_{G,*}^{\text{ell}}\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell) = \bigoplus_{s \in \hat{T}^\mu} {}^p\mathcal{H}^\bullet(Rf_{G,*}^{\text{ell}}\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)_s$$

where $\hat{T}^\mu \subset \hat{T} = \text{Hom}(X_*(T), \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell^\times)$ is the torsion subgroup.

Ngô's main theorem

Over $\mathcal{A}_G^{\text{ell}}$ the action of $X_*(T)$ is of finite order and thus we have a canonical decomposition into isotypical components

$${}^p\mathcal{H}^\bullet(Rf_{G,*}^{\text{ell}}\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell) = \bigoplus_{s \in \hat{T}^\mu} {}^p\mathcal{H}^\bullet(Rf_{G,*}^{\text{ell}}\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)_s$$

where $\hat{T}^\mu \subset \hat{T} = \text{Hom}(X_*(T), \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell^\times)$ is the torsion subgroup.

Theorem (Ngô)

Let $s \in \hat{T}^\mu$. If H_s is elliptic, we have

$${}^p\mathcal{H}^\bullet(Rf_{G,*}^{\text{ell}}\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)_s = (i_{H_s}^{\text{ell}})_* {}^p\mathcal{H}^{\bullet-2r_{H_s}}(Rf_{H_s,*}^{\text{ell}}\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)_1(-r_{H_s})$$

where r_{H_s} is the codimension of $\mathcal{A}_{H_s}^{G\text{-reg}}$ in \mathcal{A}_G . Otherwise, we have

$${}^p\mathcal{H}^\bullet(Rf_{G,*}^{\text{ell}}\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)_s = (0).$$

Fundamental Lemma

If we assume again that k is an algebraic closure of a finite field \mathbb{F}_q and that C , D and ∞ are defined over \mathbb{F}_q , we can take the traces of Frobenius on both sides of Ngô's main theorem.

Fundamental Lemma

If we assume again that k is an algebraic closure of a finite field \mathbb{F}_q and that C , D and ∞ are defined over \mathbb{F}_q , we can take the traces of Frobenius on both sides of Ngô's main theorem.

Then we immediately get a global version of the Langlands-Shelstad Fundamental Lemma for Lie algebras over function fields.

Fundamental Lemma

If we assume again that k is an algebraic closure of a finite field \mathbb{F}_q and that C , D and ∞ are defined over \mathbb{F}_q , we can take the traces of Frobenius on both sides of Ngô's main theorem.

Then we immediately get a global version of the Langlands-Shelstad Fundamental Lemma for Lie algebras over function fields.

Finally, a standard global-to-local argument gives the Langlands-Shelstad Fundamental Lemma for Lie algebras in the equal characteristic case.

Chaudouard-L.'s extension of Ngô's work

Outside the elliptic locus, the Hitchin fibration is neither of finite type nor separated. One first needs to **truncate it**. We do it by using Mumford stability.

Chaudouard-L.'s extension of Ngô's work

Outside the elliptic locus, the Hitchin fibration is neither of finite type nor separated. One first needs to **truncate it**. We do it by using Mumford stability.

Our truncated Hitchin fibration is again proper with a smooth total space, so that we can use again Deligne's purity theorem.

Chaudouard-L.'s extension of Ngô's work

Outside the elliptic locus, the Hitchin fibration is neither of finite type nor separated. One first needs to **truncate it**. We do it by using Mumford stability.

Our truncated Hitchin fibration is again proper with a smooth total space, so that we can use again Deligne's purity theorem.

The key result for us is that Ngô's support theorem extends to the whole \mathcal{A}_G : *the support of any irreducible constituent of the relative cohomology of the truncated Hitchin fibration meets $\mathcal{A}_G^{\text{ell}}$.*

Chaudouard-L.'s extension of Ngô's work

Outside the elliptic locus, the Hitchin fibration is neither of finite type nor separated. One first needs to **truncate it**. We do it by using Mumford stability.

Our truncated Hitchin fibration is again proper with a smooth total space, so that we can use again Deligne's purity theorem.

The key result for us is that Ngô's support theorem extends to the whole \mathcal{A}_G : *the support of any irreducible constituent of the relative cohomology of the truncated Hitchin fibration meets $\mathcal{A}_G^{\text{ell}}$.*

In some sense the weighted Fundamental Lemma follows from the ordinary Fundamental Lemma **by middle extension**.