

# Asymptotic results for a class of stochastic RDEs with fast transport term and noise acting on the boundary

Sandra Cerrai,  
joint work with Mark Freidlin

Stochastic Partial Differential Equations (SPDEs):  
Approximation, Asymptotics and Computation,  
28th June, 2010

# The problem

Consider the equation

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{\partial u_\epsilon}{\partial t}(t, x) = \frac{1}{\epsilon} \mathcal{A}u_\epsilon(t, x) + f(t, x, u_\epsilon(t, x)) \\ \quad + g(t, x, u_\epsilon(t, x)) \frac{\partial w^Q}{\partial t}(t, x), \quad t \geq 0, \quad x \in D, \\ \\ \frac{1}{\epsilon} \frac{\partial u_\epsilon}{\partial \nu}(t, x) = \sigma(t, x) \frac{\partial w^B}{\partial t}(t, x), \quad t \geq 0, \quad x \in \partial D, \\ \\ u_\epsilon(0, x) = u^0(x), \quad x \in D, \end{array} \right. \quad (1)$$

depending on a small parameter  $\epsilon > 0$ .

- $D$  is a "nice" bounded domain of  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , with  $d \geq 1$ , having a regular boundary  $\partial D$ .

- $D$  is a "nice" bounded domain of  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , with  $d \geq 1$ , having a regular boundary  $\partial D$ .
- $\mathcal{A}$  is a uniformly elliptic second order operator (in divergence form) and  $\partial/\partial\nu$  is the corresponding co-normal derivative.

- $D$  is a "nice" bounded domain of  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , with  $d \geq 1$ , having a regular boundary  $\partial D$ .
- $\mathcal{A}$  is a uniformly elliptic second order operator (in divergence form) and  $\partial/\partial\nu$  is the corresponding co-normal derivative.
- The coefficients  $f, g, \sigma$  are measurable and satisfy few other conditions.

- $D$  is a "nice" bounded domain of  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , with  $d \geq 1$ , having a regular boundary  $\partial D$ .
- $A$  is a uniformly elliptic second order operator (in divergence form) and  $\partial/\partial\nu$  is the corresponding co-normal derivative.
- The coefficients  $f, g, \sigma$  are measurable and satisfy few other conditions.
- The noisy perturbations are given by two cylindrical Wiener processes  $w^Q$  and  $w^B$  which are defined respectively on  $L^2(D)$  and  $L^2(\partial D)$  and have respectively covariance operators  $Q$  and  $B$ .

In space dimension  $d = 1$ , we have  $B \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^2)$  and we can take  $Q = \text{Id}$ .

This class of equations describes typically the evolution of concentrations of various components in chemical reactions where

- the **concentration is not constant in space**, due to spatial transport,
- **randomness in the rates** of reaction has to be taken into account.

In these systems the rates of chemical reactions and the diffusion coefficients may have, and as a rule have, **different orders**: some of them are much smaller than others.

This class of equations describes typically the evolution of concentrations of various components in chemical reactions where

- the **concentration is not constant in space**, due to spatial transport,
- **randomness in the rates** of reaction has to be taken into account.

In these systems the rates of chemical reactions and the diffusion coefficients may have, and as a rule have, **different orders**: some of them are much smaller than others.

In our work we consider a **diffusion rate much larger than the reaction rate** and a **noisy reaction occurring also on the boundary**.

We prove that in this case an averaging-type result holds, so that the SPDE's can be replaced by a suitable one-dimensional SDE. We also study fluctuations.



# The averaging result

Assume that the diffusion associated with  $\mathcal{A}$  has a **unique invariant measure**  $\mu$ , with exponential convergence to equilibrium.

Then for any  $T, \delta > 0$  and  $p \geq 1$

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \mathbb{E} \sup_{t \in [\delta, T]} \left( \int_D |u_\epsilon(t, x) - v(t)|^2 \mu(dx) \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} = 0,$$

where  $v(t)$  is the solution of the problem

$$\begin{cases} dv(t) = \hat{F}(t, v(t)) dt + \hat{G}(t, v(t)) dw^Q(t) + \hat{\Sigma}(t) dw^B(t) \\ v(0) = \int_D u^0(x) \mu(dx), \end{cases}$$

whose coefficients and noisy perturbations are obtained **by averaging** the coefficients and the noises in equation (1) with respect to  $\mu$ .

The limit  $v$  does not depend on the space variable  $x$  and it coincides in law with the solution of the **one-dimensional SDE**

$$\begin{cases} dv(t) = \Phi(t, v(t)) dt + \Psi(t, v(t)) dw_t \\ v(0) = \int_D u^0(x) \mu(dx) \end{cases}$$

where  $w_t$  is a standard Brownian motion and

$$\Phi, \Psi : [0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

are suitable mappings explicitly given.

The limit  $v$  does not depend on the space variable  $x$  and it coincides in law with the solution of the **one-dimensional SDE**

$$\begin{cases} dv(t) = \Phi(t, v(t)) dt + \Psi(t, v(t)) dw_t \\ v(0) = \int_D u^0(x) \mu(dx) \end{cases}$$

where  $w_t$  is a standard Brownian motion and

$$\Phi, \Psi : [0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

are suitable mappings explicitly given.

For the drift we have

$$\Phi(t, v) := \int_D f(t, x, v) \mu(dx), \quad (t, v) \in [0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}$$

The expression of the diffusion coefficient is more complicate.  
In the simpler case

$$\mu(dx) = \frac{1}{|D|} dx \quad (\mathcal{A} \text{ self-adjoint}),$$

we have

$$\Psi(t, \nu) = \frac{1}{|D|} \left( \int_D |[Qg(t, \cdot, \nu)](x)|^2 dx + \int_{\partial D} |[B\sigma(t, \cdot)](\eta)|^2 d\eta \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

The expression of the diffusion coefficient is more complicated.  
In the simpler case

$$\mu(dx) = \frac{1}{|D|} dx \quad (\mathcal{A} \text{ self-adjoint}),$$

we have

$$\Psi(t, \nu) = \frac{1}{|D|} \left( \int_D |[Qg(t, \cdot, \nu)](x)|^2 dx + \int_{\partial D} |[B\sigma(t, \cdot)](\eta)|^2 d\eta \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

In the case  $d = 1$ , we get

$$\Psi(t, \nu) = \frac{1}{|D|} \left( \int_D g^2(t, x, \nu) dx + \int_{\partial D} \sigma^2(t, \eta) d\eta \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

# Fluctuations of $u_\epsilon$ from $v$

Then, we study the weak limit of

$$z_\epsilon(t) := \frac{u_\epsilon(t) - v(t)}{\sqrt{\epsilon}}, \quad t \geq 0,$$

as  $\epsilon \downarrow 0$ .

# Fluctuations of $u_\epsilon$ from $v$

Then, we study the weak limit of

$$z_\epsilon(t) := \frac{u_\epsilon(t) - v(t)}{\sqrt{\epsilon}}, \quad t \geq 0,$$

as  $\epsilon \downarrow 0$ .

We assume that  $g$  does not depend on the state (additive noise) and we show that for any  $t > 0$

$$z_\epsilon(t) \rightharpoonup l_0(t), \quad \text{in } L^2(D, \mu), \quad \epsilon \downarrow 0,$$

where  $l_0(t, x)$  is a Gaussian random field taking values in  $L^2(D, \mu)$ , which is explicitly given.

In the case there is no noise acting on the boundary

$$\begin{aligned} l_0(t, x) &:= \int_0^\infty e^{sA} \Pi[g(t, \cdot) dw^Q(s, \cdot)](x) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^\infty \int_0^\infty e^{sA} \Pi[g(t, \cdot) Q e_k](x) d\beta_k(s), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\Pi h(x) = h(x) - \int_D h(y) \mu(dy).$$

In the general case, another term appears in  $l_0(t, x)$ , involving the boundary noise and the boundary coefficients.



In the case there is no noise acting on the boundary

$$\begin{aligned} l_0(t, x) &:= \int_0^\infty e^{sA} \Pi[g(t, \cdot) dw^Q(s, \cdot)](x) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_0^\infty e^{sA} \Pi[g(t, \cdot) Qe_k](x) d\beta_k(s), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\Pi h(x) = h(x) - \int_D h(y) \mu(dy).$$

In the general case, another term appears in  $l_0(t, x)$ , involving the boundary noise and the boundary coefficients.

Notice that if  $g$  does not depend on  $t$ , the weak limit of  $z_\epsilon(t)$  does not depend on  $t$ .

# The operator $\mathcal{A}$

$D \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ , with  $d \geq 1$ , is a *nice* bounded domain.

# The operator $\mathcal{A}$

$D \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ , with  $d \geq 1$ , is a *nice* bounded domain.

We assume

$$\mathcal{A}(x) = \sum_{i,j=1}^d \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left( a_{ij}(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \right) + \sum_{i=1}^d b_i(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i},$$

with

$$\inf_{x \in D} \sum_{i,j=1}^d a_{ij}(x) \xi_i \xi_j \geq a_0 \sum_{i=1}^d \xi_i^2, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^d,$$

for some  $a_0 > 0$ . The coefficients  $a_{ij}$  and  $b_i$  are smooth.

# The operator $\mathcal{A}$

$D \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ , with  $d \geq 1$ , is a *nice* bounded domain.

We assume

$$\mathcal{A}(x) = \sum_{i,j=1}^d \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left( a_{ij}(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \right) + \sum_{i=1}^d b_i(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i},$$

with

$$\inf_{x \in D} \sum_{i,j=1}^d a_{ij}(x) \xi_i \xi_j \geq a_0 \sum_{i=1}^d \xi_i^2, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^d,$$

for some  $a_0 > 0$ . The coefficients  $a_{ij}$  and  $b_i$  are smooth.

In what follows we shall set

$$H := L^2(D), \quad Z := L^2(\partial D).$$

We shall denote by  $A$  the realization in  $H$  of the operator  $\mathcal{A}$ , endowed with the boundary condition

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \nu}(x) := \langle a(x)\nu(x), \nabla \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^d} = 0, \quad x \in \partial D. \quad (2)$$

We shall denote by  $A$  the realization in  $H$  of the operator  $\mathcal{A}$ , endowed with the boundary condition

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \nu}(x) := \langle a(x)\nu(x), \nabla \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^d} = 0, \quad x \in \partial D. \quad (2)$$

It is known that  $A$  generates an analytic  $C_0$ -semigroup  $e^{tA}$  in  $H$ .

We shall denote by  $A$  the realization in  $H$  of the operator  $\mathcal{A}$ , endowed with the boundary condition

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \nu}(x) := \langle a(x)\nu(x), \nabla \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^d} = 0, \quad x \in \partial D. \quad (2)$$

It is known that  $A$  generates an analytic  $C_0$ -semigroup  $e^{tA}$  in  $H$ .

### Hypothesis

*The semigroup  $e^{tA}$  admits a unique invariant measure  $\mu$  and there exists some  $\gamma > 0$  such that for any  $h \in L^2(D, \mu)$  and  $t \geq 0$*

$$\int_D |e^{tA}h(x) - \int_D h(y)\mu(dy)|^2 \mu(dx) \leq c e^{-\gamma t} \int_D |h(x)|^2 \mu(dx).$$

In what follows we shall set  $H_\mu := L^2(D, \mu)$  and

$$\langle h, \mu \rangle := \int_D h(x) \mu(dx).$$



In what follows we shall set  $H_\mu := L^2(D, \mu)$  and

$$\langle h, \mu \rangle := \int_D h(x) \mu(dx).$$

Due to the invariance of  $\mu$  and the kernel representation of  $e^{tA}$ , it is possible to check that  $H \subset H_\mu$ , with continuous embedding.

In fact, it is possible to prove that

$$\mu(dx) = m(x) dx, \quad x \in D,$$

for some smooth function (bounded)  $m \geq 0$ .

Clearly, if  $b \equiv 0$ , then  $A$  is self-adjoint in  $H$ . This implies that for a complete orthonormal system  $\{e_k\}_{k \geq 0}$  in  $H$  and an increasing sequence  $\{\alpha_k\}_{k \geq 0} \subset [0, +\infty)$

$$Ae_k = -\alpha_k e_k, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Clearly, if  $b \equiv 0$ , then  $A$  is self-adjoint in  $H$ . This implies that for a complete orthonormal system  $\{e_k\}_{k \geq 0}$  in  $H$  and an increasing sequence  $\{\alpha_k\}_{k \geq 0} \subset [0, +\infty)$

$$Ae_k = -\alpha_k e_k, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Let  $e_0$  be the constant eigenfunction corresponding to  $\alpha_0 = 0$  and let  $\alpha_1$  be the first positive eigenvalue. Then

$$\mu(dx) = e_0^2 dx = |D|^{-1} dx,$$

and  $H = H_\mu$ . Moreover,  $\gamma = \alpha_1$ .

# The coefficients

Concerning the coefficients  $f$ ,  $g$  and  $\sigma$  we have the following conditions.

# The coefficients

Concerning the coefficients  $f$ ,  $g$  and  $\sigma$  we have the following conditions.

## Hypothesis

- 1 The mappings  $f, g : [0, \infty) \times D \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  are measurable and the mappings

$$f(t, x, \cdot), g(t, x, \cdot) : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

are *Lipschitz continuous*, uniformly w.r.t.  $t \in [0, T]$  and  $x \in D$ .

# The coefficients

Concerning the coefficients  $f$ ,  $g$  and  $\sigma$  we have the following conditions.

## Hypothesis

- 1 The mappings  $f, g : [0, \infty) \times D \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  are measurable and the mappings

$$f(t, x, \cdot), g(t, x, \cdot) : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

are *Lipschitz continuous*, uniformly w.r.t.  $t \in [0, T]$  and  $x \in D$ .

- 2 The mapping  $\sigma : [0, \infty) \times \partial D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is measurable and for any  $T > 0$

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T]} |\sigma(t, \cdot)|_{L^\infty(\partial D)} < \infty.$$

In what follows, for any  $t \geq 0$ ,  $h_1, h_2 \in H$  and  $x \in D$  we shall set

$$F(t, h_1)(x) := f(t, x, h_1(x)),$$

and

$$[G(t, h_1)h_2](x) := g(t, x, h_1(x))h_2(x),$$

and for any  $t \geq 0$ ,  $z \in Z$  and  $\eta \in \partial D$  we shall set

$$[\Sigma(t)z](\eta) := \sigma(t, \eta)z(\eta).$$

# The noisy terms

We assume that  $w^Q(t)$  and  $w^B(t)$  are both cylindrical Wiener processes, on  $H$  and  $Z$ , with covariance operators  $Q \in \mathcal{L}^+(H)$  and  $B \in \mathcal{L}^+(Z)$ , respectively.



# The noisy terms

We assume that  $w^Q(t)$  and  $w^B(t)$  are both cylindrical Wiener processes, on  $H$  and  $Z$ , with covariance operators  $Q \in \mathcal{L}^+(H)$  and  $B \in \mathcal{L}^+(Z)$ , respectively.

Namely

$$w^Q(t) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \lambda_k e_k \beta_k(t), \quad w^B(t) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \theta_k f_k \hat{\beta}_k(t).$$

Here  $\{e_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  and  $\{f_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  are orthonormal bases of  $H$  and  $Z$  with

$$Qe_k = \lambda_k e_k, \quad Bf_k = \theta_k f_k.$$

$\{\beta_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  and  $\{\hat{\beta}_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  are sequences of independent standard Brownian motions, defined on the stochastic basis  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}_t, \mathbb{P})$ .

## Hypothesis

① If  $d \geq 2$ , there exists  $\rho < 2d/(d - 2)$  such that

$$\sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \lambda_k^\rho |e_k|_\infty^2 =: \kappa_Q < \infty.$$

## Hypothesis

- ① If  $d \geq 2$ , there exists  $\rho < 2d/(d - 2)$  such that

$$\sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \lambda_k^\rho |e_k|_\infty^2 =: \kappa_Q < \infty.$$

- ② If  $d \geq 2$ , there exists  $\beta < 2d/(d - 1)$  such that

$$\sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \theta_k^\beta |f_k|_\infty^2 =: \kappa_B < \infty.$$

## Hypothesis

- ① If  $d \geq 2$ , there exists  $\rho < 2d/(d-2)$  such that

$$\sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \lambda_k^\rho |e_k|_\infty^2 =: \kappa_Q < \infty.$$

- ② If  $d \geq 2$ , there exists  $\beta < 2d/(d-1)$  such that

$$\sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \theta_k^\beta |f_k|_\infty^2 =: \kappa_B < \infty.$$

As both  $d/(d-2) > 1$  and  $d/(d-1) > 1$ , neither  $Q$  nor  $B$  are required to be Hilbert-Schmidt operators.

Moreover, if  $d = 1$  we have no conditions on  $\{\lambda_k\}$ . This means that we can deal with **space-time** white noise.

# The boundary stochastic convolution

For any  $\delta \geq \delta_0$  and  $h \in Z$ , let  $N_\delta h$  denote the solution of

$$\begin{cases} (\delta - \mathcal{A})N_\delta h(x) = 0, & x \in D, \\ \langle a(x)\nu(x), \nabla N_\delta h(x) \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^d} = h(x), & x \in \partial D. \end{cases}$$

$N_\delta$  is the *Neumann map* associated with  $\mathcal{A}$ .

# The boundary stochastic convolution

For any  $\delta \geq \delta_0$  and  $h \in Z$ , let  $N_\delta h$  denote the solution of

$$\begin{cases} (\delta - \mathcal{A})N_\delta h(x) = 0, & x \in D, \\ \langle a(x)\nu(x), \nabla N_\delta h(x) \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^d} = h(x), & x \in \partial D. \end{cases}$$

$N_\delta$  is the *Neumann map* associated with  $\mathcal{A}$ .

It is known that

$$N_\delta \in \mathcal{L}(Z^\alpha, H^{\alpha+\frac{3}{2}}), \quad \alpha \geq 0,$$

(here  $H^\alpha := H^\alpha(D)$  and  $Z^\alpha := H^\alpha(\partial D)$ ).

Assume that  $v$  is a  $Z$ -valued function such that  $v(\cdot)$  is twice continuously differentiable and  $N_\delta v(0) \in D(A)$ . Then, the solution of the problem

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial y}{\partial t}(t, x) = Ay(t, x), & t \geq 0, \quad x \in D, \\ \langle a(x)v(x), \nabla y(t, x) \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^d} = v(t, x), & t \geq 0, \quad x \in \partial D, \\ y(0, x) = 0, & x \in D, \end{cases}$$

is given by

$$y(t) = (\delta - A) \int_0^t e^{(t-s)A} N_\delta v(s) ds.$$

Assume that  $v$  is a  $Z$ -valued function such that  $v(\cdot)$  is twice continuously differentiable and  $N_\delta v(0) \in D(A)$ . Then, the solution of the problem

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial y}{\partial t}(t, x) = Ay(t, x), & t \geq 0, \quad x \in D, \\ \langle a(x)v(x), \nabla y(t, x) \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^d} = v(t, x), & t \geq 0, \quad x \in \partial D, \\ y(0, x) = 0, & x \in D, \end{cases}$$

is given by

$$y(t) = (\delta - A) \int_0^t e^{(t-s)A} N_\delta v(s) ds.$$

Notice that the formula above can be extended to less regular functions  $v(t, x)$ .



For any  $\epsilon > 0$ , consider the problem

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{\partial y}{\partial t}(t, x) = \frac{1}{\epsilon} \mathcal{A}y(t, x), \quad t \geq 0, \quad x \in D \\ \frac{1}{\epsilon} \langle a(x)\nu(x), \nabla y(t, x) \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^d} = \sigma(t, x) \frac{\partial w^B}{\partial t}(t, x), \quad x \in \partial D, \\ y(0, x) = 0, \quad x \in D. \end{array} \right.$$

For any  $\epsilon > 0$ , consider the problem

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial y}{\partial t}(t, x) = \frac{1}{\epsilon} \mathcal{A}y(t, x), & t \geq 0, \quad x \in D \\ \frac{1}{\epsilon} \langle a(x)\nu(x), \nabla y(t, x) \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^d} = \sigma(t, x) \frac{\partial w^B}{\partial t}(t, x), & x \in \partial D, \\ y(0, x) = 0, & x \in D. \end{cases}$$

In analogy with the formula above, by taking

$$\frac{A}{\epsilon}, \quad \delta = \frac{\delta_0}{\epsilon}, \quad v(t) = \epsilon \Sigma(t) \frac{\partial w^B}{\partial t}(t),$$

we say that the process

$$w_{A,B}^\epsilon(t) := (\delta_0 - A) \int_0^t e^{(t-s)\frac{A}{\epsilon}} N_{\delta_0} \left[ \Sigma(s) dw^B(s) \right], \quad t \geq 0,$$

is a **mild solution**.

## Theorem

Fix any  $u^0 \in H$ . Then, for any  $T > 0$ ,  $p \geq 1$  and  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists a unique adapted process  $u_\epsilon$  in  $L^p(\Omega; C([0, T]; H))$  s.t.

$$u_\epsilon(t) = e^{t\frac{A}{\epsilon}} u^0 + \int_0^t e^{(t-s)\frac{A}{\epsilon}} F(s, u_\epsilon(s)) ds + w_{A,Q}^\epsilon(u_\epsilon)(t) + w_{A,B}^\epsilon(t),$$

where, for any  $u \in L^p(\Omega; C([0, T]; H))$ , we denote

$$w_{A,Q}^\epsilon(u)(t) := \int_0^t e^{(t-s)\frac{A}{\epsilon}} G(s, u(s)) dw^Q(s), \quad t \geq 0.$$

Moreover

$$\sup_{\epsilon \in (0,1]} \mathbb{E} |u_\epsilon|_{C([0,T];H)}^p \leq c_{T,p} (1 + |u^0|_H^p).$$

We have to proceed in several steps:

We have to proceed in several steps:

- 1 For each  $\epsilon > 0$ , the process  $w_{A,B}^\epsilon$  is well defined in  $L^p(\Omega; C([0, T]; H))$  and a uniform bound with respect to  $\epsilon \in (0, 1]$  holds.

We have to proceed in several steps:

- 1 For each  $\epsilon > 0$ , the process  $w_{A,B}^\epsilon$  is well defined in  $L^p(\Omega; C([0, T]; H))$  and a uniform bound with respect to  $\epsilon \in (0, 1]$  holds.
- 2 For each  $\epsilon > 0$  the mapping

$$u \in L^p(\Omega; C([0, T]; H)) \mapsto w_{A,Q}^\epsilon(u) \in L^p(\Omega; C([0, T]; H)),$$

is Lipschitz-continuous and a uniform bound with respect to  $\epsilon \in (0, 1]$  holds.

We have to proceed in several steps:

① For each  $\epsilon > 0$ , the process  $w_{A,B}^\epsilon$  is well defined in  $L^p(\Omega; C([0, T]; H))$  and a uniform bound with respect to  $\epsilon \in (0, 1]$  holds.

② For each  $\epsilon > 0$  the mapping

$$u \in L^p(\Omega; C([0, T]; H)) \mapsto w_{A,Q}^\epsilon(u) \in L^p(\Omega; C([0, T]; H)),$$

is Lipschitz-continuous and a uniform bound with respect to  $\epsilon \in (0, 1]$  holds.

③ Conclusion. As  $F : H \rightarrow H$  is Lipschitz-continuous we get existence and uniqueness and due to the previous two steps we get the uniform bound with respect to  $\epsilon \in (0, 1]$ .

# The averaged drift coefficient

For each  $t \geq 0$  and  $h \in H$  we define

$$\hat{F}(t, h) := \int_D f(t, x, h(x)) \mu(dx).$$



# The averaged drift coefficient

For each  $t \geq 0$  and  $h \in H$  we define

$$\hat{F}(t, h) := \int_D f(t, x, h(x)) \mu(dx).$$

We have that

$$\hat{F}(t, \cdot) : H_\mu \rightarrow \mathbb{R},$$

is Lipschitz-continuous, uniformly w.r.t.  $t \in [0, T]$ , for any  $T > 0$ .

# The averaged drift coefficient

For each  $t \geq 0$  and  $h \in H$  we define

$$\hat{F}(t, h) := \int_D f(t, x, h(x)) \mu(dx).$$

We have that

$$\hat{F}(t, \cdot) : H_\mu \rightarrow \mathbb{R},$$

is Lipschitz-continuous, uniformly w.r.t.  $t \in [0, T]$ , for any  $T > 0$ .

Due to the asymptotic behavior of  $e^{tA}$ , for any  $T > 0$  and  $p \geq 1$  and for any  $\epsilon \in (0, 1]$

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \left| \int_0^t \left( e^{(t-s)A} F(s, u_\epsilon(s)) - \hat{F}(s, u_\epsilon(s)) \right) ds \right|_{H_\mu}^p \\ & \leq c_{T,p} \left( 1 + |u^0|_H^p \right) \epsilon^p. \end{aligned}$$

# The averaged diffusion coefficient

For any  $t \geq 0$  and  $h, z \in H_\mu$ , we define

$$\hat{G}(t, h)z := \int_D g(t, x, h(x))z(x) \mu(dx).$$

# The averaged diffusion coefficient

For any  $t \geq 0$  and  $h, z \in H_\mu$ , we define

$$\hat{G}(t, h)z := \int_D g(t, x, h(x))z(x) \mu(dx).$$

It is immediate to check that the mapping

$$\hat{G}(t, \cdot) : H_\mu \rightarrow H,$$

is well defined and Lipschitz-continuous, uniformly with respect to  $t \in [0, T]$ , for any  $T > 0$ .

We prove that for any  $T > 0$  and  $p \geq 1$  and for any  $\epsilon \in (0, 1]$

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \left| w_{A, Q}^\epsilon(u_\epsilon)(t) - \int_0^t \hat{G}(s, u_\epsilon(s)) dw^Q(s) \right|_{H_\mu}^p \\ & \leq c_{T, p} \epsilon^{\lambda_p} (1 + |u^0|_H^p), \end{aligned}$$

for some constant  $\lambda_p = \lambda_p(d) > 0$ .

We prove that for any  $T > 0$  and  $p \geq 1$  and for any  $\epsilon \in (0, 1]$

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \left| w_{A, Q}^\epsilon(u_\epsilon)(t) - \int_0^t \hat{G}(s, u_\epsilon(s)) dw^Q(s) \right|_{H_\mu}^p \\ & \leq c_{T, p} \epsilon^{\lambda_p} (1 + |u^0|_H^p), \end{aligned}$$

for some constant  $\lambda_p = \lambda_p(d) > 0$ .

This result is proved again by using the invariance of  $\mu$  and the heat kernel representation, together with factorization.

We prove that for any  $T > 0$  and  $p \geq 1$  and for any  $\epsilon \in (0, 1]$

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \left| w_{A, Q}^\epsilon(u_\epsilon)(t) - \int_0^t \hat{G}(s, u_\epsilon(s)) dw^Q(s) \right|_{H_\mu}^p \\ & \leq c_{T, p} \epsilon^{\lambda_p} (1 + |u^0|_H^p), \end{aligned}$$

for some constant  $\lambda_p = \lambda_p(d) > 0$ .

This result is proved again by using the invariance of  $\mu$  and the heat kernel representation, together with factorization.

In what follows, for any  $u \in L^p(\Omega; C([0, T]; H))$  we set

$$\hat{w}_{A, Q}(u)(t) := \int_0^t \hat{G}(s, u(s)) dw^Q(s).$$

# The averaged boundary coefficient

For each  $t \geq 0$  and  $h \in Z$ , we define

$$\hat{\Sigma}(t)h := \delta_0 \int_D N_{\delta_0}[\sigma(t, \cdot)h](x) \mu(dx).$$



# The averaged boundary coefficient

For each  $t \geq 0$  and  $h \in Z$ , we define

$$\hat{\Sigma}(t)h := \delta_0 \int_D N_{\delta_0}[\sigma(t, \cdot)h](x) \mu(dx).$$

As  $N_{\delta_0} \in \mathcal{L}(Z, H)$ ,  $\Sigma(t) \in \mathcal{L}(Z)$  and  $H$  is continuously embedded in  $H_\mu$ , we have that for any  $t \geq 0$

$$\hat{\Sigma}(t) : Z \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

is well defined and is a bounded linear operator.

We prove that for any  $T > 0$  and  $p \geq 1$  and for any  $\epsilon \in (0, 1]$

$$\mathbb{E} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \left| w_{A, B}^\epsilon(t) - \int_0^t \hat{\Sigma}(s) dw^B(s) \right|_{H_\mu}^p \leq c_{T, p} \epsilon^{\lambda_p},$$

for some constant  $\lambda_p = \lambda_p(d) > 0$ .

We prove that for any  $T > 0$  and  $p \geq 1$  and for any  $\epsilon \in (0, 1]$

$$\mathbb{E} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \left| w_{A,B}^\epsilon(t) - \int_0^t \hat{\Sigma}(s) dw^B(s) \right|_{H_\mu}^p \leq c_{T,p} \epsilon^{\lambda_p},$$

for some constant  $\lambda_p = \lambda_p(d) > 0$ .

In what follows, we set

$$\hat{w}_{A,B}(t) := \int_0^t \hat{\Sigma}(s) dw^B(s).$$

# The averaged equation

We introduce the one-dimensional stochastic equation

$$\begin{cases} dv(t) = \hat{F}(t, v(t)) dt + \hat{G}(t, v(t)) dw^Q(t) + \hat{\Sigma}(t) dw^B(t), \\ v(0) = \int_0 u^0(x) \mu(dx). \end{cases}$$

# The averaged equation

We introduce the one-dimensional stochastic equation

$$\begin{cases} dv(t) = \hat{F}(t, v(t)) dt + \hat{G}(t, v(t)) dw^Q(t) + \hat{\Sigma}(t) dw^B(t), \\ v(0) = \int_0 u^0(x) \mu(dx). \end{cases}$$

The equation above has a unique adapted solution  $v \in L^p(\Omega; C([0, T]; \mathbb{R}))$ , that is

$$\begin{aligned} v(t) = & \int_0 u^0(x) \mu(dx) + \int_0^t \hat{F}(s, v(s)) ds \\ & + \int_0^t \hat{G}(s, v(s)) dw^Q(s) + \int_0^t \hat{\Sigma}(s) dw^B(s). \end{aligned}$$

# The averaging result

## Theorem

For any  $u^0 \in H$ ,  $p \geq 1/2$  and  $T > 0$  and for any  $\delta > 0$  we have

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \mathbb{E} \sup_{t \in [\delta, T]} \left( \int_D |u_\epsilon(t, x) - v(t)|^2 \mu(dx) \right)^p = 0.$$

# The averaging result

## Theorem

For any  $u^0 \in H$ ,  $p \geq 1/2$  and  $T > 0$  and for any  $\delta > 0$  we have

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \mathbb{E} \sup_{t \in [\delta, T]} \left( \int_D |u_\epsilon(t, x) - v(t)|^2 \mu(dx) \right)^p = 0.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} u_\epsilon(t) - v(t) &= \left( e^{t \frac{A}{\epsilon}} u^0 - \langle u^0, \mu \rangle \right) + \int_0^t \left( \hat{F}(s, u_\epsilon(s)) - \hat{F}(s, v(s)) \right) ds \\ &+ \int_0^t \left( \hat{G}(s, u_\epsilon(s)) - \hat{G}(s, v(s)) \right) dW^Q(s) + R_\epsilon(t), \end{aligned}$$

and  $R_\epsilon$  goes to zero in  $L^p(\Omega; C([0, T]; H_\mu))$ .

## Hypothesis

- 1  $f(t, x, \cdot) : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is of class  $C^1$ , with Lipschitz derivative, uniformly w.r.t.  $x \in D$  and  $t \in [0, T]$ .



## Hypothesis

- 1  $f(t, x, \cdot) : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is of class  $C^1$ , with Lipschitz derivative, uniformly w.r.t.  $x \in D$  and  $t \in [0, T]$ .
- 2  $g$  does not depend on the third variable, that is  $g(t, x, \eta) = g(t, x)$ .

## Hypothesis

- 1  $f(t, x, \cdot) : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is of class  $C^1$ , with Lipschitz derivative, uniformly w.r.t.  $x \in D$  and  $t \in [0, T]$ .
- 2  $g$  does not depend on the third variable, that is  $g(t, x, \eta) = g(t, x)$ .
- 3  $g(\cdot, x), \sigma(\cdot, x) : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  are Hölder-continuous, uniformly w.r.t.  $x \in D$ .

## Hypothesis

- 1  $f(t, x, \cdot) : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is of class  $C^1$ , with Lipschitz derivative, uniformly w.r.t.  $x \in D$  and  $t \in [0, T]$ .
- 2  $g$  does not depend on the third variable, that is  $g(t, x, \eta) = g(t, x)$ .
- 3  $g(\cdot, x), \sigma(\cdot, x) : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  are Hölder-continuous, uniformly w.r.t.  $x \in D$ .

We define

$$\Pi h = h - \int_D h(y) \mu(dy), \quad u \in H_\mu.$$

## Theorem

For any  $t > 0$

$$z_\epsilon(t, x) \rightarrow l_0(t, x), \quad \epsilon \downarrow 0,$$

in  $H_\mu$ , where  $l_0(t, x)$  is the Gaussian random field defined by

$$\int_0^t e^{sA} \Pi G(t) dw^Q(s, x) + \int_0^\infty (\delta_0 - A) e^{sA} \Pi N_{\delta_0} \left[ \Sigma(t) dw^B(s) \right] (x).$$

## Theorem

For any  $t > 0$

$$z_\epsilon(t, x) \rightarrow l_0(t, x), \quad \epsilon \downarrow 0,$$

in  $H_\mu$ , where  $l_0(t, x)$  is the Gaussian random field defined by

$$\int_0^t e^{sA} \Pi G(t) dw^Q(s, x) + \int_0^\infty (\delta_0 - A) e^{sA} \Pi N_{\delta_0} \left[ \Sigma(t) dw^B(s) \right] (x).$$

Take  $g(t, x) = \sigma(t, x) \equiv 1$  and  $A$  self-adjoint. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} l_0(t, x) = & \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_k \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-\alpha_k s} e_k(x) d\beta_k(s) \\ & + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \theta_k \int_0^{+\infty} \sum_{h=1}^{\infty} e^{-s\alpha_h} \left\langle f_k, e_{h|\partial D} \right\rangle_Z e_h(x) d\hat{\beta}_k(s). \end{aligned}$$

We define

$$I_G(t) := \int_0^\infty e^{sA} \Pi G(t) dw^Q(s)$$

and

$$I_\Sigma(t) := \int_0^\infty (\delta_0 - A) e^{sA} \Pi N_{\delta_0} \left[ \Sigma(t) dw^B(s) \right].$$

We define

$$I_G(t) := \int_0^\infty e^{sA} \Pi G(t) dw^Q(s)$$

and

$$I_\Sigma(t) := \int_0^\infty (\delta_0 - A) e^{sA} \Pi N_{\delta_0} \left[ \Sigma(t) dw^B(s) \right].$$

We can prove

$$\mathbb{E} \int_D |I_G(t, x)|^2 d\mu(x) < \infty, \quad t \geq 0$$

and

$$\mathbb{E} \int_D |I_\Sigma(t, x)|^2 d\mu(x) < \infty, \quad t \geq 0.$$

# Proof of the central limit theorem

For any  $t > 0$  we have

$$z_\epsilon(t) = \int_0^t D\hat{F}(s, v(s))z_\epsilon(s) ds + I_\epsilon(t) + R_\epsilon(t),$$

where

$$I_\epsilon(t) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{\epsilon}} (w_{A,Q}^\epsilon(t) - \hat{w}_{A,Q}(t)) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\epsilon}} (w_{A,B}^\epsilon(t) - \hat{w}_{A,B}(t)).$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} R_\epsilon(t) &:= \frac{1}{\sqrt{\epsilon}} \left( e^{\frac{t}{\epsilon}A} u^0 - \langle u^0, \mu \rangle \right) \\ &+ \frac{1}{\sqrt{\epsilon}} \int_0^t \left( e^{(t-s)\frac{A}{\epsilon}} F(s, u_\epsilon(s)) - \hat{F}(s, u_\epsilon(s)) \right) ds \\ &+ \int_0^t \int_0^1 \left[ D\hat{F}(s, v(s) + \theta(u_\epsilon(s) - v(s))) - D\hat{F}(s, v(s)) \right] z_\epsilon(s) d\theta ds, \end{aligned}$$



- We prove that for any  $T > 0$ ,  $t \in (0, T]$  and  $\epsilon \in (0, 1]$

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \int_D |R_\epsilon(t, x)|^2 \mu(dx) \\ & \leq c_T \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{\epsilon}} e^{-\frac{\gamma t}{\epsilon}} + \epsilon^\alpha \right) \left( 1 + \int_D |u^0(x)|^2 \mu(dx) \right), \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

for some  $\alpha > 0$ .

- We prove that for any  $T > 0$ ,  $t \in (0, T]$  and  $\epsilon \in (0, 1]$

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \int_D |R_\epsilon(t, x)|^2 \mu(dx) \\ & \leq c_T \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{\epsilon}} e^{-\frac{\gamma t}{\epsilon}} + \epsilon^\alpha \right) \left( 1 + \int_D |u^0(x)|^2 \mu(dx) \right), \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

for some  $\alpha > 0$ .

- We introduce the problem

$$\zeta_\epsilon(t) = \int_0^t D\hat{F}(s, v(s)) \zeta_\epsilon(s) ds + I_\epsilon(t),$$

and, as a consequence of (3), we show that for  $t > 0$

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \mathbb{E} |z_\epsilon(t) - \zeta_\epsilon(t)|_{H_\mu} = 0.$$

## Lemma

For any  $t > 0$ , it holds

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \zeta_{\epsilon}(t) = I_0(t), \quad \text{weakly in } H_{\mu}. \quad (4)$$

## Lemma

For any  $t > 0$ , it holds

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \zeta_\epsilon(t) = I_0(t), \quad \text{weakly in } H_\mu. \quad (4)$$

- For any  $x \in D$  and  $t > 0$  we can write

$$\zeta_\epsilon(z, x) = \int_0^t H(t, s) K_\epsilon(s) ds + I_\epsilon(t, x),$$

where

$$H(t, s) := \exp \left( \int_s^t \int_D f_V(r, x, v(r)) \mu(dx) dr \right)$$

and

$$K_\epsilon(s) := \int_D f_V(s, y, v(s)) I_\epsilon(s, y) \mu(dy).$$

- We show that there exists  $\gamma > 0$  s.t.

$$\mathbb{E} \left| \int_0^t H(t, s) K_\epsilon(s) ds \right|^2 \leq c_t \epsilon^\gamma,$$

for  $\epsilon \in (0, 1]$  and  $t \geq 0$ .

- We show that there exists  $\gamma > 0$  s.t.

$$\mathbb{E} \left| \int_0^t H(t, s) K_\epsilon(s) ds \right|^2 \leq c_t \epsilon^\gamma,$$

for  $\epsilon \in (0, 1]$  and  $t \geq 0$ .

- We prove that

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} I_\epsilon(t) = I_0(t), \quad \text{weakly in } H_\mu. \quad (5)$$

- We show that there exists  $\gamma > 0$  s.t.

$$\mathbb{E} \left| \int_0^t H(t, s) K_\epsilon(s) ds \right|^2 \leq c_t \epsilon^\gamma,$$

for  $\epsilon \in (0, 1]$  and  $t \geq 0$ .

- We prove that

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} I_\epsilon(t) = I_0(t), \quad \text{weakly in } H_\mu. \quad (5)$$

In order to prove (5), with a change of time we have

$$\mathcal{L}(I_\epsilon(t)) = \mathcal{L}(\hat{I}_\epsilon(t)),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{I}_\epsilon(t) &:= \int_0^{\frac{t}{\epsilon}} e^{rA} \Pi \left[ G(t - \epsilon r) dw^Q(r) \right] \\ &+ \int_0^{\frac{t}{\epsilon}} (\delta_0 - A) e^{rA} \Pi \left[ N_{\delta_0} \left( \Sigma(t - \epsilon r) dw^B(r) \right) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, in order to conclude we have to prove

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \mathbb{E} \int_D \left| \hat{l}_\epsilon(t, x) - l_0(t, x) \right|^2 \mu(dx) = 0.$$



## Step 1: the boundary stochastic convolution

We consider the case  $d \geq 2$ . By a factorization argument, for any  $\alpha \in (1/p, 1)$  we have

$$\mathbb{E} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} |w_{A, B}^\epsilon(t)|_H^p \leq c_{T, p, \alpha} \times \int_0^T \left( \int_0^s (s-r)^{-2\alpha} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \theta_k^2 \left| (\delta_0 - A) e^{(s-r) \frac{A}{\epsilon}} N_{\delta_0} [\Sigma(r) f_k] \right|_H^2 dr \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} ds,$$

# Step 1: the boundary stochastic convolution

We consider the case  $d \geq 2$ . By a factorization argument, for any  $\alpha \in (1/p, 1)$  we have

$$\mathbb{E} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} |w_{A, B}^\epsilon(t)|_H^p \leq c_{T, p, \alpha} \times \int_0^T \left( \int_0^s (s-r)^{-2\alpha} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \theta_k^2 \left| (\delta_0 - A) e^{(s-r) \frac{A}{\epsilon}} N_{\delta_0} [\Sigma(r) f_k] \right|_H^2 dr \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} ds,$$

If we define

$$I_k^\epsilon(r) := \left| (\delta_0 - A) e^{(s-r) \frac{A}{\epsilon}} N_{\delta_0} [\Sigma(r) f_k] \right|_H^2,$$

and set  $\zeta := \beta/(\beta - 2)$ , we have

$$\sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \theta_k^2 I_k^\epsilon(r) \leq \kappa_B^{\frac{2}{\beta}} \left( \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} I_k^\epsilon(r) \right)^{\frac{1}{\zeta}} \sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} |I_k^\epsilon(r)|^{\frac{\zeta-1}{\zeta}}.$$

For any  $\rho > 0$  we have

$$S_\rho := (\delta_0 - A)^{\frac{3-\rho}{4}} N_{\delta_0} \in \mathcal{L}(Z, H).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} I_k^\epsilon(r) &= \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \left| e^{\frac{(s-r)A}{2\epsilon}} (\delta_0 - A)^{\frac{1+\rho}{4}} e^{\frac{(s-r)A}{2\epsilon}} S_\rho \Sigma(r) f_k \right|_H^2 \\ &= \sum_{h \in \mathbb{N}} \left| \Sigma(r) S_\rho^* \left[ (\delta_0 - A)^{\frac{1+\rho}{4}} e^{\frac{(s-r)A}{2\epsilon}} \right]^* e^{\frac{(s-r)A^*}{2\epsilon}} e_h \right|_Z^2 \\ &\leq c_{T,\delta} (s-r)^{-\frac{1+\rho}{2}} \sum_{h \in \mathbb{N}} \left| e^{\frac{(s-r)A^*}{2\epsilon}} e_h \right|_H^2. \end{aligned}$$

Due to the heat kernel representation of  $e^{tA}$ , we have

$$\sum_{h \in \mathbb{N}} \left| e^{\frac{(s-r)A^*}{2\epsilon}} e_h \right|_H^2 = \int_D \left| k_{\frac{t-s}{2\epsilon}}(\cdot, y) \right|_H^2 dy \leq c|D| \left[ (s-r)^{-\frac{d}{2}} \vee 1 \right],$$

so that

$$\left( \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} I_k^\epsilon(r) \right)^{\frac{1}{\zeta}} \leq c_{T,\delta} \left[ (s-r)^{-\frac{d+1+p}{2\zeta}} \vee 1 \right].$$

Due to the heat kernel representation of  $e^{tA}$ , we have

$$\sum_{h \in \mathbb{N}} \left| e^{\frac{(s-r)A^*}{2\epsilon}} e_h \right|_H^2 = \int_D \left| k_{\frac{t-s}{2\epsilon}}(\cdot, y) \right|_H^2 dy \leq c|D| \left[ (s-r)^{-\frac{d}{2}} \vee 1 \right],$$

so that

$$\left( \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} I_k^\epsilon(r) \right)^{\frac{1}{\zeta}} \leq c_{T,\delta} \left[ (s-r)^{-\frac{d+1+\rho}{2\zeta}} \vee 1 \right].$$

Next

$$\sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} I_k^\epsilon(r)^{\frac{\zeta-1}{\zeta}} \leq c_{T,\rho} (s-r)^{-\frac{(1+\rho)(\zeta-1)}{2\zeta}}.$$

Due to the heat kernel representation of  $e^{tA}$ , we have

$$\sum_{h \in \mathbb{N}} \left| e^{\frac{(s-r)A^*}{2\epsilon}} e_h \right|_H^2 = \int_D \left| k_{\frac{t-s}{2\epsilon}}(\cdot, y) \right|_H^2 dy \leq c|D| \left[ (s-r)^{-\frac{d}{2}} \vee 1 \right],$$

so that

$$\left( \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} I_k^\epsilon(r) \right)^{\frac{1}{\zeta}} \leq c_{T,\delta} \left[ (s-r)^{-\frac{d+1+\rho}{2\zeta}} \vee 1 \right].$$

Next

$$\sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} I_k^\epsilon(r)^{\frac{\zeta-1}{\zeta}} \leq c_{T,\rho} (s-r)^{-\frac{(1+\rho)(\zeta-1)}{2\zeta}}.$$

Therefore, for any  $\epsilon \in (0, 1]$

$$\mathbb{E} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} |w_{A,B}^\epsilon(t)|_H^p \leq c_{T,p,\alpha,\rho} \left( \int_0^T \left[ s^{-(2\alpha + \frac{d+\zeta}{2\zeta} + \frac{\rho}{2})} \vee 1 \right] ds \right)^{\frac{p}{2}}.$$

## Step 2: the stochastic convolution

For any  $u \in L^p(\Omega; C([0, T]; H))$ , we denote

$$w_{A,Q}^\epsilon(u)(t) := \int_0^t e^{(t-s)\frac{A}{\epsilon}} G(s, u(s)) dw^Q(s), \quad t \geq 0.$$

## Step 2: the stochastic convolution

For any  $u \in L^p(\Omega; C([0, T]; H))$ , we denote

$$w_{A,Q}^\epsilon(u)(t) := \int_0^t e^{(t-s)\frac{A}{\epsilon}} G(s, u(s)) dw^Q(s), \quad t \geq 0.$$

By using arguments analogous to those used for  $w_{A,B}^\epsilon$ , we have that  $w_{A,Q}^\epsilon$  is Lipschitz-continuous from  $L^p(\Omega; C([0, T]; H))$  into itself, for any  $T > 0$  and  $p \geq 1$ , and

$$\sup_{\epsilon \in (0,1]} \mathbb{E} |w_{A,Q}^\epsilon(u)|_{C([0,T];H)}^p \leq c_{T,p} \left( 1 + \mathbb{E} \int_0^T |u(s)|_H^p ds \right).$$