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Why high-resolution simulation of global ocean?

- **Critical for global climate prediction**
  
  -- IPCC projection of 21st century climate (under global warming) relies on coupled global ocean-atmosphere model simulations

- **Applications in short-term (daily-to-weekly) ocean prediction**
  
  -- Now routinely carried out by U.S. Navy, among others

- **Important test-ground for key parameterization schemes for large-scale fluid simulations**
  
  -- Turbulent mixing, subgrid-scale form drag, etc.
  
  -- Model prediction can be verified by observations

- **Numerical laboratory for basic problems in geophysical fluid dynamics**
For large-scale simulation: "High resolution" means "**eddy resolving**"

"Eddies" here refers specifically to "**meso-scale eddies**"

- Quasi-two-dimensional: $L_H \sim O(10-100 \text{ km})$, $L_Z \sim O(0.1-1 \text{ km})$
- Stably stratified; Earth rotation (Coriolis force) important
- Approx. geostrophic and hydrostatic
- Approx. conservation of potential vorticity, $PV = - (\zeta + f) \partial \rho / \partial z$

![Diagram of eddy]

Not to be confused with eddies in classical 3-D turbulence, which still need to be parameterized in ocean models
A conventional cutoff:

\[ L_{\text{Eddy}} \sim L_D, \]

\( L_D \): the 1st baroclinic Rossby radius of deformation

"Eddy resolving" simulation: Grid size < \( L_D \)

\[ L_D = \frac{NH}{f} \]

\( N \): Brunt-Väisälä frequency
\( H \): equivalent depth

\( f = 2\Omega \sin \phi \): Coriolis parameter,
\( \Omega \): Earth rotation rate, \( \phi \): latitude
Atmosphere: \( L_D \sim O(1000 \text{ km}) \)

Mid-latitude eddies (= weather storms) are well-observed and relatively easy to simulate (daily weather forecast)

Weather map (sea level pressure), April 1, 2008, 00Z UTC  
Source: NOAA
**Ocean:** $L_D \sim 50 \text{ km}$: Eddies are small and numerous

Satellite (Nimbus-7) observation of *ocean color* (concentration of phytoplankton), NW North Atlantic
Source: NASA GSFC
Why meso-scale eddies have a distinctive scale?

Meso-scale eddies in the ocean ("synoptic eddies" in the atmosphere) are generated by *buoyancy production through baroclinic instability*.

Classical convection

(whichever way you perturb it)
Baroclinic instability

- Large-scale flow is stably stratified at every location
- But **density surface is tilted** due to differential solar heating
- Positive buoyancy production is possible for large eddies (path P-P'' in figure)
- Small-scale perturbations (P-P' in figure) are damped
- **Cutoff scale: \( L \sim L_D \)**

*(The actual scale of a mature eddy can be somewhat larger)*

(Drazin and Reid 1981)

North-south temperature gradient is important
Observed mean sea surface temperature
Observation of global ocean currents

**Surface:**
Satellite **altimeter** measurement of sea surface height, converted to horizontal velocity by geostrophy,

\[ v \sim -\hat{z} \times f^{-1} \nabla h \]

Resolution \(\sim 0.3^\circ\) lon/lat, weekly, mid-1990s - present

*In situ* observations (buoy, float, tidal gauge) are sparse

**Deep ocean:**
*In situ* measurements only
Sparse in space & time;
Global survey a challenge

The altimeter on-board NASA's Topex Satellite is a radar. (source: NASA JPL)

Altitude of orbit = 1336 km
Required accuracy for \(h\) \(\sim\) a few cm
Numerical simulations

Long-term ($T > 10$ years), hydrostatic, simulations for global ocean or a full basin at $\Delta x \sim 0.1^\circ$ lat/lon have become feasible.
The 3-D ocean model: ROMS

*Regional Ocean Modeling System* (Shchepetkin & McWilliams 2005, Curchitser et al. 2005)

**Horizontal momentum eq.**

\[
\frac{\partial \mathbf{v}}{\partial t} + \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{v} + 2 \Omega \times \mathbf{v} = -\nabla \Phi + \mathbf{F} + \mathbf{D}, \quad \Omega : \text{Earth rotation}
\]

**Advective-diffusive eqs. for temperature & salinity**

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \begin{pmatrix} T \\ S \end{pmatrix} + \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla \begin{pmatrix} T \\ S \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} F_T \\ F_S \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} D_T \\ D_S \end{pmatrix}
\]

**Continuity eq.:**

\[
\frac{\partial w}{\partial z} + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v} = 0
\]

**Equation of state:**

\[
\rho = \rho(T, S, P)
\]

**Hydrostatic in vertical:**

\[
\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial z} = -\frac{\rho g}{\rho_0}, \quad \Phi \text{ is dynamical pressure}
\]

- Flexible horizontal grid (orthogonal curvilinear, staggered Arakawa C grid)
- Flexible geometry (ocean domain, bathymetry); Terrain-following vertical grid
- Flexible boundary condition (Closed basin, prescribed, open, etc.)
- Turbulent mixing within oceanic boundary layer is parameterized (KPP, Large et al. 1994)
The large-scale ocean is primarily forced from above

Example: Precipitation over the ocean provides a negative forcing for salinity

Most important forcing for the model:
Surface wind stress, heat flux

Strategy for a "realistic" large-scale ocean simulation

Use meteorological observations (temperature, surface wind, precipitation, etc.) interpolated onto ocean model grid as the forcing \((F, F_T, F_S)\)

Ocean feedback to the atmosphere (important for long-term climate prediction) is momentarily ignored
Simulation for the Pacific Ocean: 0.18° resolution, 42 levels

NPac 0.18°

Bathymetry (bottom topography)

- **Forcing:** Meteorological observations ("Reanalysis")
- **Lateral boundary:** This simulation is nested within a coarse resolution (1°) global ocean model simulation (NCAR CCSM)
- 20-year integration, output archived as 4-day average

Thanks to Enrique Curchitser
Sea surface temperature, snapshot

Northwest Pacific, Kuroshio region

Eddies grow along tight (N-S) temperature gradient

(recall baroclinic instability / slantwise buoyancy production)
Shown is $\zeta/f$ (∼ Rossby number, $Ro$), $f =$ Coriolis parameter at $35^\circ$N

$Ro \sim O(0.1)$ in midlatitude over the North Pacific
Identify the vortices

Okubo-Weiss parameter (for horizontal velocity): \[ Q = \|Z\|^2 - \|S\|^2 \]

Rotation dominates (coherent vortex): \[ Q > 0 \]
Shear straining/deformation dominates: \[ Q < 0 \]

\[ Q \sim \zeta^2/2 \] (enstrophy) at the core of a coherent vortex

Rotation tensor: \[ Z = \frac{1}{2}[(\nabla V) - (\nabla V)^T] \]

(rate of) Strain tensor: \[ S = \frac{1}{2}[(\nabla V) + (\nabla V)^T] \]

\( \nabla V \) is velocity gradient tensor
Frobenius norm: \[ ||S|| = \left[ \text{tr}(SS^T) \right]^{1/2}, \quad ||Z|| = \sqrt{\zeta^2/2} \]
Red: Rotation dominates, $Q > 0$

Green: Straining/deformation dominates, $Q < 0$
Map of $\text{sgn}(\zeta) Q$ (snapshot)
Negative $Q$ area suppressed

In the N.H.: Red: cyclone ($\zeta > 0$) Blue: anticyclone

Northeast Pacific has relatively fewer eddies -- consistent with observation (Chelton et al. 2007) and with local baroclinic instability calculation for observed mean flow (Smith 2008)
Vertical extent of the vortices

Iso-surface of Okubo-Weiss parameter

\[ Q = \pm 1.5 \times 10^{-11} \text{ s}^{-2} \]

Surface

Box 1

500 m

Box 2, anticyclones only
(cyclones are similar)

Mid-latitude eddies are deeper
**One-year average** of vorticity field

Surface  

500 m  

Multiple zonal-jet like structures emerge with long-term average
Zonal velocity: Model simulation vs. satellite observation (1-year average)

- a. Satellite observation (Altimeter)
- b. Model at surface
- c. Model at 1000 m

Color levels: ± 1, 2, 4, 8 cm/s for surface, half those for 1000 m
Red: positive (eastward) Blue: negative (After Huang et al. 2007)

Zonal stripes in model & observations have comparable strength and meridional scale (~ a few hundred km)
Instantaneous velocity field is more isotropic
Long-term averaged field becomes zonally elongated

To quantify this behavior: Use the "degree of anisotropy"

\[ \alpha = \frac{\langle u^2 \rangle - \langle v^2 \rangle}{\langle u^2 \rangle + \langle v^2 \rangle} \]

\(<•••>\) is domain average

\[ \alpha = 0: \text{isotropic} \]
\[ \alpha = 1: \text{purely zonal flow} \quad \alpha = -1: \text{purely meridional flow} \]

- Evaluate \( \alpha \) using \((u,v)\) constructed from 1-week, 5-week, ..., 100-week time average of the weekly maps
- Identical calculation for model & satellite observation
- \( \sim 600 \) weekly \((u,v)\) fields used in both calculations
Degree of anisotropy, model vs. satellite observation (Domain = whole North Pacific north of 12°N)

Agreement is also good for sub-domains of the North Pacific

Weekly velocity field is close to isotropic
Strong zonal anisotropy emerges with $T > a$ season

Robust zonal stripes at $T \sim 1$ yr is consistent with other recent simulations (Treguier et al. 2004, Nakano & Hasumi 2005, Maximenko et al. 2006, Richards et al. 2006)
Processes for the formation of zonal jets

One way to look at it... consider how the eddies die (or mature)

Mesoscale eddies grow by buoyancy production through baroclinic instability

Eddies die/mature by a secondary instability (e.g., Lorenz 1972, Pedlosky 1975, Manfroi & Young 1999, Berloff et al. 2009) that provides mechanical production (Reynolds stressing) for the mean zonal velocity --> Zonal jets

- The process is subtle; detail of baroclinic wave "life cycle" depends on the background shear/PV (Simmons & Hoskins 1980, Thorncroft et al. 1993)

- The secondary instability might give rise to a specific length scale for zonal jets (Berloff et al. 2009)

Life cycle of baroclinic eddies - atmosphere

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Eddies</th>
<th>Zonal mean flow</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Grow by positive buoyancy production</td>
<td>Before Mean state with high available potential energy (temperature gradient)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vertical propagation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Die by negative mechanical production</td>
<td>After Mean state with localized high kinetic energy (zonal jets)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Qualitatively ...

"PV staircase", Dritschel and McIntyre (2008)

(i) Suppose that an initial stirring leads to local steepening of a stable PV gradient
   → increased stability; resistance to further PV mixing
(ii) Consequence: Well-mixed regions segregated by a self-steepening PV gradient
   → a PV staircase
(iii) PV inversion: "PV step" → eastward zonal jet

• The "self-steepening" process is related to the secondary instability (see previous slide)
  of the wavy structure maintained by the stirring force; Zonal jets are the "unstable modes"
  (Lorenz 1972, Manfroi & Young 1999, Berloff et al. 2009)
Finite amplitude Rossby wave → Instability → Lorenz (1972)
The separation scale of jets reflects how far the meridional PV mixing can go: The meridional excursion would go deep if

1. Stirring is vigorous (large eddy kinetic energy, $E = \langle |v'|^2 \rangle$)
2. $\beta$ is weak. ($\beta = d(PV)/dy$, PV gradient)

Unique length scale by (1) & (2): $L_\beta \sim \beta^{-1/2} E^{1/4}$ (A version of Rhines scale)

$L_\beta \sim$ a few hundred km for the ocean, close to $L_{jet}$ in obs and simulations

$L_{jet} \sim L_\beta$ works over a certain parameter range but is not universal.

*It is not due to "upscale energy cascade" and its "arrest" by $\beta$ effect; Hard evidences are generally against that interpretation (e.g., Thompson & Young 2007, Huang and Robinson 1998)*

- In general, the stirring does not have to be due to baroclinic eddies

  *Zonal jets with $L \sim L_\beta$ form on Jupiter, where baroclinic instability is very weak (stirring is supported by internal convection)*

Photo of Jupiter by Cassini
(Source: NASA)
Testing higher resolution

**A hierarchy of nested simulations**

- **NPac** 0.18° North Pacific (20 years)  
  *Eddy permitting*

- **CCS** 3 km California Coastal Region  
  *Eddy resolving*

- **MBR** 300m Monterey Bay  
  *Sub-mesoscale*

*Forcing = CORE/Reanalysis for NPac, CCS, COAMPS for MBR*

**MBR** Run has not only small grid size but also high-resolution forcing

Thanks to Enrique Curchitser
Model domain & bathymetry

Output from low-resolution run is used as the lateral boundary condition for medium resolution run, and so on.
Sea surface temperature (snapshot)
Horizontal temperature gradient $|\nabla T|$ (snapshot)

Color in log scale
Probability distribution of $\log|\nabla T|$  

- PDF of $\log|\nabla T|$ is approx. Gaussian $\rightarrow$ PDF of $|\nabla T|$ is log-normal  
  (Note added: We don't have enough samples to detect an exponential tail, yet)  

- Continued increase in horizontal temperature gradient with refinement in the grid size and atmospheric forcing  

_Intense coherent structures remain abundant as model marches toward smaller scales_
Cyclone-anticyclone asymmetry

Vorticity/f snapshot at surface

Probability distribution of $\zeta/f$

Skewness = 0.61  STD = 0.33
Consistent with Rudnick (2001): Obs w/ 3 km resolution

Anticyclones weak and broad
Cyclones concentrated and intense

Structures with $Ro \sim O(1)$ are overwhelmingly cyclonic
Anticyclones with $\zeta + f < 0$ ($Ro < -1$) are inertially unstable

*Long filaments become more prominent at this fine resolution*
Analogy to the atmosphere

Recall the weather map

Strong concentrated cyclone / weak broad anticyclone / fronts are pervasive not only in the atmosphere - see weather maps - but also in the ocean in the mesoscale-submesoscale range
Norm of strain tensor, snapshot at surface
(Shown is $\|S\|/f$)

Fronts look even sharper in $\|S\|$ than in vorticity or $|\nabla T|$
Tight temperature gradient coincides with long filaments of large negative Okubo-weiss parameter, where straining/deformation dominates.
There is evidence that the sub-mesoscale range is dominated by long filaments or fronts

*A serendipitous observation*

Photograph taken on board Space Shuttle *Challenger* over Mediterranean Sea (Source: NASA)
Highlights of results

• High-resolution ($\Delta x < L_D$) simulations of large-scale ocean produced ubiquitous coherent structures - coherent vortices, zonal jets, and fronts - that were absent in non-eddy permitting simulations.

• In the eddy-permitting simulation, horizontal velocity field is nearly isotropic on weekly time scale, but become strongly zonal after time averaging with $T > a season$. This behavior agrees well with satellite observation.

• Fronts or stretched filaments become more prominent as model resolution is further increased. Coherent structures are abundant even at $\Delta x = 300$ m; *The "solution" has not yet converged* at the state-of-the-art eddy-permitting resolution of $\Delta x \sim 0.1°$ for global ocean simulations.
Implications

• Current generation of medium-resolution ($\Delta x \sim 1^\circ$) ocean model used for long-term climate prediction (IPCC ARx) do not resolve the rich coherent structures in our eddy-permitting simulations.

• The presence of multiple zonal jets can critically affect the transport of heat, biota, and chemical constituents that are important for climate and life on Earth.

  Eddy diffusivities along and across zonal jets are dramatically different (e.g., Smith 2005)

• Clarification of the interaction between eddies/zonal jets and ocean gyre may lead to revision of the classical view of ocean gyre (eddy-free models of Stommel et al.)
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