

Integral norm discretization and related problems

Feng Dai

Univ. of Alberta, Edmonton, Canada.

Isaac Newton Institute, U. of Cambridge, June 17-21, 2019

Joint work [DPTT 19]: F. Dai, A. Prymak, V.N. Temlyakov, & S. Tikhonov, “Integral norm discretization and related problems”.

Notations

- (Ω, μ) : Probability space. For simplicity, assume Ω is a compact topological space and μ is Borel.
- $L_q(\Omega)$ or $L_q(d\mu)$: the Lebesgue L_q -space on (Ω, μ) with norm

$$\|f\|_q := \begin{cases} (\int_{\Omega} |f|^q d\mu)^{1/q}, & q < \infty, \\ \text{ess sup}_{x \in \Omega} |f(x)|, & q = \infty. \end{cases}$$

- X_N : N -dimensional subspace of functions in L_q .

General assumptions

- We will always assume every function in X_N is defined everywhere on Ω !

General assumptions

- We will always assume every function in X_N is defined everywhere on Ω ! Indeed, we may first fix a basis $\{\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_N\}$ of X_N , and assume that each φ_i is defined EVERYWHERE on Ω .

Cubature formulas (C.F.s)

- **Question:** Look for good ways to Approximate an integral $\int_{\Omega} f(\mathbf{x})d\mu(\mathbf{x})$ via

$$\Lambda_m(f, \xi) := \sum_{j=1}^m \lambda_j f(\xi^j), \quad \xi = (\xi^1, \dots, \xi^m) \in \Omega^m. \quad (1)$$

- $\Lambda_m(\cdot, \xi)$ is called a *cubature formula* (C.F.) with nodes $\xi = (\xi^1, \dots, \xi^m)$ and weights $\Lambda := (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m) \in \mathbb{R}^m$.
- A C.F. is called positive if $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m \geq 0$.
- Quasi-Monte Carlo C.F.s: $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \dots = \lambda_m = \frac{1}{m}$.

Error of CFs

- Typically, one assumes $f \in \mathbf{W} \subset L_1(\Omega) \cap C(\Omega)$ in the approximation

$$\int_{\Omega} f(\mathbf{x}) d\mu(\mathbf{x}) \approx \Lambda_m(f, \boldsymbol{\xi}) := \sum_{j=1}^m \lambda_j f(\xi^j),$$

and look for good C.F.s for all functions in \mathbf{W} .

Error of CFs

- Typically, one assumes $f \in \mathbf{W} \subset L_1(\Omega) \cap C(\Omega)$ in the approximation

$$\int_{\Omega} f(\mathbf{x}) d\mu(\mathbf{x}) \approx \Lambda_m(f, \boldsymbol{\xi}) := \sum_{j=1}^m \lambda_j f(\boldsymbol{\xi}^j),$$

and look for good C.F.s for all functions in \mathbf{W} .

- Error of approximation:

$$\Lambda_m(\mathbf{W}, \boldsymbol{\xi}) := \sup_{f \in \mathbf{W}} \left| \int_{\Omega} f d\mu - \Lambda_m(f, \boldsymbol{\xi}) \right|.$$

Error of CFs

- Typically, one assumes $f \in \mathbf{W} \subset L_1(\Omega) \cap C(\Omega)$ in the approximation

$$\int_{\Omega} f(\mathbf{x}) d\mu(\mathbf{x}) \approx \Lambda_m(f, \xi) := \sum_{j=1}^m \lambda_j f(\xi^j),$$

and look for good C.F.s for all functions in \mathbf{W} .

- Error of approximation:

$$\Lambda_m(\mathbf{W}, \xi) := \sup_{f \in \mathbf{W}} \left| \int_{\Omega} f d\mu - \Lambda_m(f, \xi) \right|.$$

- How to estimate $\inf_{\Lambda_m} \Lambda_m(\mathbf{W}, \xi)$?

Tchakaloff's theorem

- Typical idea:
 - ① Reduce the dimension: find a “good” $X_N \subset L_1(\Omega)$ to approximation functions from \mathbf{W} with $N \approx m$.

Tchakaloff's theorem

- Typical idea:
 - ① Reduce the dimension: find a “good” $X_N \subset L_1(\Omega)$ to approximation functions from \mathbf{W} with $N \approx m$.
 - ② Find a good CF Λ_m to approximation integrals of functions on X_N :

$$\int_{\Omega} f(x) d\mu(x) = \Lambda_m(f) \approx \sum_{j=1}^m \lambda_j f(\xi^j), \quad \forall f \in X_N.$$

Tchakaloff's theorem

- Typical idea:
 - ① Reduce the dimension: find a “good” $X_N \subset L_1(\Omega)$ to approximation functions from \mathbf{W} with $N \approx m$.
 - ② Find a good CF Λ_m to approximation integrals of functions on X_N :

$$\int_{\Omega} f(x) d\mu(x) = \Lambda_m(f) \approx \sum_{j=1}^m \lambda_j f(\xi^j), \quad \forall f \in X_N.$$

Tchakaloff's theorem. If Ω is sequentially compact, then there exist exactly N pts $\xi^j \in \Omega$ and numbers $\lambda_j \geq 0$, $1 \leq j \leq N$ such that

$$\int_{\Omega} f(x) d\mu(x) = \sum_{j=1}^N \lambda_j f(\xi^j), \quad \forall f \in X_N. \quad (2)$$

Integral norm discretization on $X_N \subset L_q, 1 \leq q < \infty$

- We say $X_N \in \mathcal{M}^w(m, q)$ if $\exists \{\xi^\nu\}_{\nu=1}^m \subset \Omega$ and $\{\lambda_j\}_{j=1}^m \subset \mathbb{R}$ s.t. $\forall f \in X_N$,

$$C_1(q) \|f\|_{L_q(\Omega, \mu)} \leq \left(\sum_{\nu=1}^m \lambda_\nu |f(\xi^\nu)|^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq C_2(q) \|f\|_{L_q(\Omega, \mu)}, \quad (3)$$

where $C_1(q), C_2(q) > 0$ are independent of f and N .

Integral norm discretization on $X_N \subset L_q, 1 \leq q < \infty$

- We say $X_N \in \mathcal{M}^w(m, q)$ if $\exists \{\xi^\nu\}_{\nu=1}^m \subset \Omega$ and $\{\lambda_j\}_{j=1}^m \subset \mathbb{R}$ s.t. $\forall f \in X_N$,

$$C_1(q) \|f\|_{L_q(\Omega, \mu)} \leq \left(\sum_{\nu=1}^m \lambda_\nu |f(\xi^\nu)|^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq C_2(q) \|f\|_{L_q(\Omega, \mu)}, \quad (3)$$

where $C_1(q), C_2(q) > 0$ are independent of f and N .

- Write $X_N \in \mathcal{M}_+^w(m, q)$ for $X_N \in \mathcal{M}^w(m, q)$ if $\lambda_j \geq 0$, $j = 1, \dots, m$.

Integral norm discretization on $X_N \subset L_q, 1 \leq q < \infty$

- We say $X_N \in \mathcal{M}^w(m, q)$ if $\exists \{\xi^\nu\}_{\nu=1}^m \subset \Omega$ and $\{\lambda_j\}_{j=1}^m \subset \mathbb{R}$ s.t. $\forall f \in X_N$,

$$C_1(q) \|f\|_{L_q(\Omega, \mu)} \leq \left(\sum_{\nu=1}^m \lambda_\nu |f(\xi^\nu)|^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq C_2(q) \|f\|_{L_q(\Omega, \mu)}, \quad (3)$$

where $C_1(q), C_2(q) > 0$ are independent of f and N .

- Write $X_N \in \mathcal{M}_+^w(m, q)$ for $X_N \in \mathcal{M}^w(m, q)$ if $\lambda_j \geq 0$, $j = 1, \dots, m$.
- Write $X_N \in \mathcal{M}(m, q)$ for $X_N \in \mathcal{M}^w(m, q)$ if $\lambda_1 = \dots = \lambda_m = \frac{1}{m}$.

Marcinkiewicz problem with $\varepsilon \in [0, 1)$.

- We write $X_N \in \mathcal{M}^w(m, q, \varepsilon)$ if

$$(1 - \varepsilon)\|f\|_q^q \leq \sum_{\nu=1}^m \lambda_\nu |f(\xi^\nu)|^q \leq (1 + \varepsilon)\|f\|_q^q, \quad \forall f \in X_N$$

- The notations $\mathcal{M}_+^w(m, q, \varepsilon)$ and $\mathcal{M}(m, q, \varepsilon)$ can be defined similarly.
- Exact discretization $\mathcal{M}^w(m, q, 0)$:

$$\|f\|_q^q = \sum_{\nu=1}^m \lambda_\nu |f(\xi^\nu)|^q, \quad \forall f \in X_N.$$

Question

- For $0 \leq \varepsilon < 1$ and $1 \leq q < \infty$,

$$\mathcal{N}^w(X_N; q, \varepsilon) := \min \left\{ m \in \mathbb{N} : X_N \in \mathcal{M}^w(m, q, \varepsilon) \right\}.$$

- One can define $\mathcal{N}_+^w(X_N; q, \varepsilon)$ and $\mathcal{N}(X_N; q, \varepsilon)$ in a similar way.

Question

- For $0 \leq \varepsilon < 1$ and $1 \leq q < \infty$,

$$\mathcal{N}^w(X_N; q, \varepsilon) := \min \left\{ m \in \mathbb{N} : X_N \in \mathcal{M}^w(m, q, \varepsilon) \right\}.$$

- One can define $\mathcal{N}_+^w(X_N; q, \varepsilon)$ and $\mathcal{N}(X_N; q, \varepsilon)$ in a similar way.
- Trivial lower estimate: $\mathcal{N}^w(X_N; q, \varepsilon) \geq N$.

Question

- For $0 \leq \varepsilon < 1$ and $1 \leq q < \infty$,

$$\mathcal{N}^w(X_N; q, \varepsilon) := \min \left\{ m \in \mathbb{N} : X_N \in \mathcal{M}^w(m, q, \varepsilon) \right\}.$$

- One can define $\mathcal{N}_+^w(X_N; q, \varepsilon)$ and $\mathcal{N}(X_N; q, \varepsilon)$ in a similar way.
- Trivial lower estimate: $\mathcal{N}^w(X_N; q, \varepsilon) \geq N$.
- **Question.** Find conditions on X_N for which $\mathcal{N}(X_N; q, \varepsilon) \leq CN \log^\alpha N$ for some $\alpha \geq 0$.

Exact discretization: $\varepsilon = 0$

Question. Find the minimal number $m = \mathcal{N}^w(X_N; q, 0)$ of nodes required for the discretization:

$$\int_{\Omega} |f(x)|^q d\mu(x) = \sum_{j=1}^m \lambda_j |f(x_j)|^q, \quad \forall f \in X_N. \quad (4)$$

Exact discretization: $\varepsilon = 0$

Question. Find the minimal number $m = \mathcal{N}^w(X_N; q, 0)$ of nodes required for the discretization:

$$\int_{\Omega} |f(x)|^q d\mu(x) = \sum_{j=1}^m \lambda_j |f(x_j)|^q, \quad \forall f \in X_N. \quad (4)$$

- For $q = 2$, setting $Y_N := \text{span}\{f_1 f_2 : f_1, f_2 \in X_N\}$, we have

$$(4) \iff \int_{\Omega} g d\mu = \sum_{j=1}^m \lambda_j g(\xi_j), \quad \forall g \in Y_N. \quad (5)$$

Exact discretization: $\varepsilon = 0$

Question. Find the minimal number $m = \mathcal{N}^w(X_N; q, 0)$ of nodes required for the discretization:

$$\int_{\Omega} |f(x)|^q d\mu(x) = \sum_{j=1}^m \lambda_j |f(x_j)|^q, \quad \forall f \in X_N. \quad (4)$$

- For $q = 2$, setting $Y_N := \text{span}\{f_1 f_2 : f_1, f_2 \in X_N\}$, we have

$$(4) \iff \int_{\Omega} g d\mu = \sum_{j=1}^m \lambda_j g(x_j), \quad \forall g \in Y_N. \quad (5)$$

- Note: $\dim Y_N \leq \frac{N(N+1)}{2}$, and by Tchakaloff's theorem,

$$\mathcal{N}_+^w(X_N; 2, 0) \leq \frac{N(N+1)}{2}.$$

A condition on m for $X_N \in \mathcal{M}^w(m, 2\ell, 0)$.

- A similar argument works equally well for every positive even integer $q = 2\ell$:

$$\mathcal{N}_+^w(X_N; q, 0) \leq \binom{N+q-1}{q} \asymp N^q.$$

A condition on m for $X_N \in \mathcal{M}^w(m, 2\ell, 0)$.

- A similar argument works equally well for every positive even integer $q = 2\ell$:

$$\mathcal{N}_+^w(X_N; q, 0) \leq \binom{N+q-1}{q} \asymp N^q.$$

Questions.

1. Is this last estimate optimal for even q ?

A condition on m for $X_N \in \mathcal{M}^w(m, 2\ell, 0)$.

- A similar argument works equally well for every positive even integer $q = 2\ell$:

$$\mathcal{N}_+^w(X_N; q, 0) \leq \binom{N+q-1}{q} \asymp N^q.$$

Questions.

1. Is this last estimate optimal for even q ?
2. What happens if q is NOT even?

A condition on m for $X_N \in \mathcal{M}^w(m, 2\ell, 0)$.

- A similar argument works equally well for every positive even integer $q = 2\ell$:

$$\mathcal{N}_+^w(X_N; q, 0) \leq \binom{N+q-1}{q} \asymp N^q.$$

Questions.

1. Is this last estimate optimal for even q ?
2. What happens if q is NOT even?

Define

$$\mathcal{M}^w(q) := \sup_{(\Omega, d\mu)} \sup_{X_N \subset L_q(\Omega)} \mathcal{N}^w(X_N; q, 0).$$

Similar definition for $\mathcal{M}_+^w(q)$.

Optimality of the estimates

- **Theorem.** [DPTT19] For $1 \leq q < \infty$,

$$\mathcal{M}^w(q) = \mathcal{M}_+^w(q) = \begin{cases} \binom{N+q-1}{q}, & \text{if } q \text{ is an even integer,} \\ \infty, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

- In general, exact discretization of the L_q -norm is not possible unless q is even.

Optimality of the estimates

- **Theorem.** [DPTT19] For $1 \leq q < \infty$,

$$\mathcal{M}^w(q) = \mathcal{M}_+^w(q) = \begin{cases} \binom{N+q-1}{q}, & \text{if } q \text{ is an even integer,} \\ \infty, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

- In general, exact discretization of the L_q -norm is not possible unless q is even.

Discretization in L_2

Theorem. (Rudelson 1999, Temlyakov 2018) Let X_N be an N -dimensional real subspace of $L_2(\Omega)$ satisfying

$$\sup_{f \in X_N} \frac{\|f\|_\infty}{\|f\|_2} \leq (tN)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (8)$$

Then $\forall \epsilon > 0, \exists \{x_1, \dots, x_m\} \subset \Omega$ with

$$m \leq C \frac{t}{\epsilon^2} N \log N$$

such that

$$(1 - \epsilon) \|f\|_2^2 \leq \frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=1}^m f(x_j)^2 \leq (1 + \epsilon) \|f\|_2^2, \quad \forall f \in X_N.$$

Discretization in L_1 : conditional result

- Entropy numbers of a compact $A \subset Y$ of $(Y, \|\cdot\|)$:

$$\varepsilon_n(A, Y) := \inf \left\{ \varepsilon : \exists y_1, \dots, y_{2^n} \in A, A \subseteq \bigcup_{j=1}^{2^n} B(y_j, \varepsilon) \right\},$$

where $B(y, \varepsilon) := \{x \in Y : \|x - y\| \leq \varepsilon\}$.

Discretization in L_1 : conditional result

- Entropy numbers of a compact $A \subset Y$ of $(Y, \|\cdot\|)$:

$$\varepsilon_n(A, Y) := \inf \left\{ \varepsilon : \exists y_1, \dots, y_{2^n} \in A, A \subseteq \cup_{j=1}^{2^n} B(y_j, \varepsilon) \right\},$$

where $B(y, \varepsilon) := \{x \in Y : \|x - y\| \leq \varepsilon\}$.

- **Theorem.** (Temlyakov 2018) Let $X_N^1 := \{f \in X_N : \|f\|_1 \leq 1\}$.
If

$$\varepsilon_k(X_N^1, L_\infty) \leq KN/k, \quad 1 \leq k \leq N,$$

then there exist pts $x_1, \dots, x_m \in \Omega$ with

$$m \leq CKN \left(\log^2 N + \log^2 K \right)$$

such that

$$\|f\|_1 \sim \frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=1}^m |f(\xi^j)|, \quad \forall f \in X_N.$$

More recent progress by Dai, Prymak, Shadrin, Temlyakov, & Tikhonov

Theorem. Let X_N be an N -dimensional subspace of $L_\infty(\Omega, \mu)$ satisfying

$$\|f\|_\infty \leq (KN)^{\frac{1}{2}} \|f\|_2, \quad \forall f \in X_N. \quad (9)$$

Let $1 \leq p \leq 2$ be fixed and let $N^{-1} < \varepsilon < 1$. Then there exist a constant $C_{\varepsilon, K}$ depending on K and ε and points $z_1, \dots, z_m \in \Omega$ with

$$m \leq C_{\varepsilon, K} N \log^4 N,$$

such that

$$\sup_{f \in X_N, \|f\|_p \leq 1} \left| \|f\|_p - \left(\frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=1}^m |f(z_j)|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \right| \leq \varepsilon. \quad (10)$$

Comments for $p > 2$

- The probabilistic technique used for the case $1 \leq p \leq 2$ normally does not give good estimates for L_p as $p \rightarrow \infty$.
- Reason: Let $x_1, \dots, x_m \in \Omega$ be the independent uniformly distributed random pts. Given $f \in X_N$, to apply the large deviation inequalities to estimate the probability of

$$\left| \int_{\Omega} |f|^p d\mu - \frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=1}^m |f(x_j)|^p \right| > \varepsilon \|f\|_p^p$$

one need to estimate the following constant for some $\alpha > 0$,

$$L_N := N^{-\alpha} \sup_{f \in X_N} \left(\frac{\|f\|_{\infty}}{\|f\|_p} \right)^p,$$

which normally goes to ∞ exponentially fast as $p \rightarrow \infty$.

Example: Hyperbolic cross polynomials

- Consider the space of hyperbolic polynomials:

$$X := \mathcal{T}(N) := \left\{ f : f = \sum_{\mathbf{k} \in \Gamma(N)} c_{\mathbf{k}} e^{i(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{x})}, \quad c_{\mathbf{k}} \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$$

$$\text{with } \Gamma(N) := \left\{ \mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^d : \prod_{j=1}^d \max\{|k_j|, 1\} \leq N \right\}.$$

- $\dim \mathcal{T}(N) \sim N \log^{d-1} N$.
- Denote by $\mathcal{N}_d(N)$ the minimal number m of pts $\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_m \in [0, 2\pi)^d$ required for the inequality

$$\|f\|_{\infty} \leq C(d) \max_{1 \leq j \leq m} |f(\mathbf{x}_j)|, \quad \forall f \in \mathcal{T}(N).$$

Historical remarks:

- Trivial estimate:

$$\mathcal{N}_d(N) \leq C(d)N^d \sim (\dim \mathcal{T}(N))^d \log^{-d(d-1)} N.$$

Historical remarks:

- Trivial estimate:

$$\mathcal{N}_d(N) \leq C(d)N^d \sim \left(\dim \mathcal{T}(N)\right)^d \log^{-d(d-1)} N.$$

- The Kashin - Temlyakov phenomena (2003): for $d = 2$, there exist absolute constants $\delta > 0$ and $C_1 > 0$ s.t.

$$\mathcal{N}_2(N) \geq C_1 \left(\dim \mathcal{T}(N)\right)^{1+\delta}.$$

Historical remarks:

- Trivial estimate:

$$\mathcal{N}_d(N) \leq C(d)N^d \sim \left(\dim \mathcal{T}(N)\right)^d \log^{-d(d-1)} N.$$

- The Kashin - Temlyakov phenomena (2003): for $d = 2$, there exist absolute constants $\delta > 0$ and $C_1 > 0$ s.t.

$$\mathcal{N}_2(N) \geq C_1 \left(\dim \mathcal{T}(N)\right)^{1+\delta}.$$

Recent progress ([DPTT 2019])

$$\mathcal{N}_d(N) \leq C(d) N^{\alpha_d} (\log N)^{\beta_d},$$

where

$$\alpha_d := \sum_{j=1}^d \frac{1}{j} \sim \log d \quad \text{and} \quad \beta_d := d - \alpha_d \sim d.$$

Example: Exact discretization for trigonometric polynomials

For $Q \subset \mathbb{Z}$, define

$$\mathcal{T}(Q) := \left\{ f : f(\theta) := \sum_{k \in Q} c_k e^{ik\theta}, \quad c_k \in \mathbb{C}, \quad k \in Q \right\}.$$

Theorem. [DPTT19] Given any $N \in \mathbb{N}$, we have





$$\sup_{\substack{Q \subset \mathbb{Z} \\ |Q|=N}} \min \left\{ m \in \mathbb{N} : \mathcal{T}(Q) \in \mathcal{M}^w(m, 2, 0) \right\} \sim N^2.$$

- The situation is different for the $\mathcal{M}(m, 2)$ problem that is NOT exact (Temlyakov 17):





$$\sup_{\substack{Q \subset \mathbb{Z} \\ |Q|=N}} \min \left\{ m \in \mathbb{N} : \mathcal{T}(Q) \in \mathcal{M}(m, 2) \right\} \sim N.$$

Thank you very much !






References I

-  E. S. Belinskii, Interpolation and integral norms of hyperbolic polynomials, *Mat. Zametki* **66** (1999), no.1, 20-29.
-  J. Batson, D.A. Spielman, and N. Srivastava, Twice-Ramanujan Sparsifiers, *SIAM J. Comput.*, **41** (2012), 1704–1721.
-  J. Bourgain, J. Lindenstrauss, and V. Milman, Approximation of zonoids by zonotopes, *Acta Math.*, **162** (1989), 73–141.
-  F. Dai, A. Prymak, V.N. Temlyakov, and S. Tikhonov, Integral norm discretization and related problems, arXiv:1807.01353v1 [math.NA] 3 Jul 2018.






References II

-  B.S. Kashin, On certain properties of the space of trigonometric polynomials with the uniform norm, *Trudy Mat. Inst. Steklov*, **145** (1980), 111–116; English transl. in *Proc. Steklov Inst. Math.*, **145** (1981).
-  B.S. Kashin, Lunin's method for selecting large submatrices with small norm, *Matem. Sb.*, **206** (2015), 95–102.
-  B.S. Kashin and V.N. Temlyakov, On a norm and related applications, *Mat. Zametki* **64** (1998), 637–640.
-  B.S. Kashin and V.N. Temlyakov, On a norm and approximation characteristics of classes of functions of several variables, *Metric theory of functions and related problems in analysis*, *Izd. Nauchno-Issled. Aktuarno-Finans. Tsentra (AFTs)*, Moscow, 1999, 69–99.




References III

-  B.S. Kashin and V.N. Temlyakov, The volume estimates and their applications, *East J. Approx.*, **9** (2003), 469–485.
-  B.S. Kashin and V.N. Temlyakov, A remark on discretization of trigonometric polynomials with given spectrum, *Russian Math. Surveys*, **73** (2018), 197–198 (in Russian).
-  B.S. Kashin and V.N. Temlyakov, Some remarks on discretization of the uniform norm, manuscript, 2018.
-  S.V. Konyagin and V.N. Temlyakov, The entropy in learning theory. Error estimates, *Constr. Approx.*, **25** (2007), 1–27.
-  A. Marcus, D.A. Spielman, and N. Srivastava, Interlacing families II: Mixed characteristic polynomials and the Kadison-Singer problem, *Annals of Math.*, **182** (2015), 327–350.





References IV

-  S. Nitzan, A. Olevskii, and A. Ulanovskii, Exponential frames on unbounded sets, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **144** (2016), 109–118.
-  M. Putinar, A note on Tchakaloff's theorem, Proc. of the AMS **125**, no. 8, 1997, 2409–2414.
-  M. Rudelson, Almost orthogonal submatrices of an orthogonal matrix, Izrael J. Math., **111** (1999), 143–155.
-  M. Talagrand, The Generic Chaining, Berlin: Springer, 2005.
-  V.N. Temlyakov, Approximation of functions with bounded mixed derivative, Trudy MIAN, **178** (1986), 1–112. English transl. in Proc. Steklov Inst. Math., **1** (1989).





References V

-  V.N. Temlyakov, On reconstruction of multivariate periodic functions based on their values at the knots of number-theoretical nets, *Analysis Mathematica*, **12** (1986), 287–305.
-  V.N. Temlyakov, Approximation by elements of a finite-dimensional subspace of functions from various Sobolev or Nikol'skii spaces, *Matem. Zametki*, **43** (1988), 770–786; English transl. in *Math. Notes*, **43** (1988).
-  V.N. Temlyakov, Estimates of the asymptotic characteristics of classes of functions with bounded mixed derivative or difference, *Trudy Matem. Inst. Steklov*, **189** (1989), 138–168; English transl. in *Proceedings of the Steklov Institute of Mathematics*, 1990, Issue 4, 161–197.




References VI

-  V.N. Temlyakov, Bilinear Approximation and Related Questions, *Trudy Mat. Inst. Steklov*, **194** (1992), 229–248; English transl. in *Proc. Steklov Inst. of Math.*, **4** (1993), 245-265.
-  V.N. Temlyakov, *Approximation of Periodic Functions*, Nova Science Publishes, Inc., New York., 1993.
-  V.N. Temlyakov, On approximate recovery of functions with bounded mixed derivative, *J. Complexity*, **9** (1993), 41–59.
-  V.N. Temlyakov, *Greedy Approximation*, Cambridge University Press, 2011.

References VII

-  V.N. Temlyakov, On the entropy numbers of the mixed smoothness function classes, *J. Approx. Theory*, **217** (2017), 26–56; arXiv:1602.08712v1 [math.NA] 28 Feb 2016.
-  V.N. Temlyakov, The Marcinkiewicz-type discretization theorems for the hyperbolic cross polynomials, *Jaen Journal on Approximation*, **9** (2017), No. 1, 37–63.
-  V.N. Temlyakov, The Marcinkiewicz-type discretization theorems, *Constr. Approx.* **48** (2018), 337–369; arXiv:1703.03743v1 [math.NA] 10 Mar 2017.
-  V.N. Temlyakov, Universal discretization, *Journal of Complexity*, **47** (2018), 97–109; arXiv:1708.08544v1 [math.NA] 28 Aug 2017.

References VIII

-  V. Temlyakov, Multivariate approximation, Cambridge University Press, 2018.
-  V.N. Temlyakov and S. Tikhonov, Remez-type and Nikolskii-type inequalities: general relations and the hyperbolic cross polynomials, *Constr. Appr.*, **46** (2017), 593–615.
-  J.A. Tropp, User-friendly tail bounds for sums of random matrices, *Foundations of Comput. Math.*, **12** (2012), 389–434.