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We consider the effect of inertia on the high frequency response of a general linear viscoelastic material to local deformations. We calculate the displacement response and correlation functions for points separated by a distance $r$. The effects of inertia and incompressibility lead to anticorrelations in the correlation/response functions, which become more pronounced for more elastic materials. Furthermore, the stress propagation in viscoelastic media is no longer diffusive, as for simple liquids.

PACS numbers:

The motion of small bodies in simple incompressible liquids is usually characterized by low Reynolds numbers, for which the velocity response at a distance $r$ from a point force varies as $1/r$ [1-3]. Such Stokes flow, for instance, accurately describes the motion of micron-size objects in water on time scales longer than a few microseconds. Over short times, however, the inertia of the liquid prevents the long-range stress propagation implicit for Stokes flow. Any instantaneous disturbance of the fluid must be confined to a small region after a short interval of time. Given that liquids are also incompressible, this means that a point-force disturbance must give rise to back flow on short time scales. In fact, a ring vortex much like a smoke ring occurs. The resulting back-flow has important implications, for both correlations of velocity/stress fluctuations in liquids, as well as for the non-Brownian motion of colloidal particles in liquids. While simulations [4] have demonstrated the presence of this vortex-like flow, experiments have focused on indirect consequences of this flow, e.g., for the motion of colloidal particles in liquids [5]. Here, we show how correlations in the thermal velocity fluctuations of liquids can be used to directly resolve the spatial structure of these vortices, as in the accompanying article by Atakhorrami et al. [6]. We also show how the effects of such vortex-like flow become more pronounced in viscoelastic media such as polymer solutions. In viscoelastic media, the propagation of stress is more rapid, resulting in a faster decay of velocity correlations than in simple liquids.

Newtonian liquids are described by the non-linear Navier-Stokes equation. The non-linearity, however, can be neglected either over small distances or for low velocities [1, 2]. The relative importance of non-linearities is characterized by the Reynolds number $\text{Re} = UL/\eta$, where $U$, $L$, $\rho$, and $\eta$ are, respectively, the characteristic velocity and length scale, the density, and the viscosity. At low Reynolds number, however, no assumptions are made about the flow being stationary [3]. Instead, one has the unsteady Stokes approximation for non-stationary flows:

\[ \rho \frac{\partial \mathbf{v}}{\partial t} = \eta \nabla^2 \mathbf{v} - \nabla P + \mathbf{f}, \]

where $\mathbf{v}$ is the velocity field, $P$ is the pressure that enforces the incompressibility of the liquid and $\mathbf{f}$ is the force density applied to the fluid. By taking the curl of this equation we observe that the vorticity $\mathbf{\Omega} = \nabla \times \mathbf{v}$ satisfies the diffusion equation with diffusion constant $\nu = \eta/\rho$. Thus, since the short-time response of a liquid to a point force involves a vortex, as described above, the propagation of stress away from the point disturbance is characterized by diffusive motion of this vortex. After a time $t$, this vortex expands away from the point force to a size of order $\delta \sim \sqrt{\eta t/\rho}$. In the wake of this moving vortex is the usual Stokes flow that corresponds to a $1/r$ dependence of the velocity field. For an oscillatory disturbance at frequency $\omega$, this defines a penetration depth $\delta \sim \sqrt{\eta/\omega \rho}$ [1] (see Figure 1). On length scales shorter than this, the propagation of stress is effectively instantaneous. In addition to Re one can introduce a dimensionless number $N = L^2/\eta \nu \sim L^2/\delta^2$ where $T$ is the typical time scale associated with the flow. For $N \ll 1$, the fluid response can be considered instantaneous, while for $N \geq 1$ inertia and the corresponding propagation of stress are important [3].

The discussion above generalizes to a homogenous viscoelastic medium characterized by a single, isotropic time-dependent shear modulus that relates the local stress to strain [7]. We also assume that the medium is incompressible, which is a particularly good approximation for polymer solutions such as those considered here, at least at high frequencies [8-10]. The deformation of the medium is characterized by a local displacement field $\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{r}, t)$. Force balance leads to the viscoelastic analogue of Eq. (1):

\[ \rho \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{u}}{\partial t^2} = \nabla \cdot \mathbf{\sigma}(\mathbf{r}, t) - \nabla P + \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{r}, t); \]

\[ \mathbf{\sigma}(\mathbf{r}, t) = 2 \int_{-\infty}^{t} dt' G(t-t') \mathbf{\gamma}(\mathbf{r}, t'); \]
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where \(\mathbf{\sigma}\) is the local stress tensor, \(\mathbf{\gamma} = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \nabla \mathbf{u} + (\nabla \mathbf{u})^T \right]\) is the local deformation tensor and the time dependence of the viscoelastic response is encoded in the memory function, \(G(t)\) [7]. Causality requires that stress at time \(t\) depends only on earlier states of strain, which limits the range of integration above. Incompressibility leads to the constraint \(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0\).

Equations (2,3) can be simplified by a decomposition of the force density and deformation into Fourier components. Taking spatio-temporal Fourier Transforms defined as \(\tilde{u}(\mathbf{k}, \omega) = \int d^3r \int_0^\infty dt e^{i(\omega - \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r})} \tilde{u}(\mathbf{r}, t)\), and defining the complex modulus \(G(\omega) \equiv G'(\omega) + iG''(\omega) = \int_0^\infty dt e^{i\omega t} G(t)\), we can eliminate the pressure by imposing incompressibility in Eqs. (2,3). This leads to

\[
\tilde{u}(\mathbf{k}, \omega) = \left( \frac{1 - \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{k}}{G(\omega)k^2 - \rho \omega^2} \right) \tilde{f}(\mathbf{k}, \omega),
\]

where \(\mathbf{k} = \frac{\mathbf{\tilde{k}}}{|\mathbf{k}|}\). We invert this Fourier transform to obtain the displacement response function due to a point force applied at the origin.

The linear response of the medium at a distance \(\mathbf{r}\) is in general characterized by a tensor, since both force and response (displacement field) are vectors: \(u_i(\mathbf{r}, \omega) = \alpha_{ij}(\mathbf{r}, \omega) f_j(\mathbf{0}, \omega)\), where \(\alpha_{ij} = \alpha_{ij}^r + i\alpha_{ij}^\omega\) is complex. Given our assumptions of homogeneity and isotropy, the displacement field must lie in a plane common to both \(\mathbf{r}\) and the force \(\tilde{f}\). By rotational and translational symmetry there are only two distinct contributions to the response function. These are (1) a parallel response that is given by a displacement field \(\tilde{u}\) parallel to both \(\tilde{f}\) and \(\mathbf{r}\), and (2) a perpendicular response given by \(\tilde{u}\) parallel to \(\tilde{f}\) and perpendicular to \(\mathbf{r}\). (These are illustrated in Figs. 2 and 3.) The parallel response function \(\alpha_{ij}\), for instance, is obtained from the inverse Fourier transform of Eq. 4, where \(\theta\) represents the angle between \(\mathbf{r}\) and \(\hat{\mathbf{k}}\):

\[
\alpha_{ij}(r, \omega) = \int \frac{k^2 dk \sin \theta d\theta}{(2\pi)^2} \frac{1 - \cos^2 \theta}{Gk^2 - \rho \omega^2} e^{ikr \cos \theta}.
\]  

A similar calculation yields \(\alpha_{ij}(r, \omega)\).

The response functions for general \(G(\omega)\) are given by

\[
\alpha_{ij}(r, \omega) = \chi_{ij}(r\sqrt{\kappa})/(4\pi Gr),
\]

\[
\alpha_{ij}(r, \omega) = \chi_{ij}(r\sqrt{\kappa})/(8\pi Gr)
\]

where \(\kappa = \rho \omega^2/G\) is complex and

\[
\chi_{ij}(x) = 2 \left[ (1 - ix) e^{ix} - 1 \right]/x^2,
\]

\[
\chi_{ij}(x) = 2 \left[ 1 + (x^2 - 1 + ix) e^{ix} \right]/x^2.
\]

The magnitude of \(\kappa\) defines the inverse (viscoelastic) penetration depth \(\delta\). We have written these response functions in a form in which the nonintertial limits \((x \to 0)\) are simple: \(\chi_{ij}(\perp) \to 1\). Thus, for instance, for a simple liquid, for which \(G(\omega) = -i\omega \eta\), the limit \(x \to 0\) reduces to a displacement response consistent with the (time-independent) Oseen tensor [2, 11] and for finite \(x\), these response functions give the dynamic Oseen tensor [11, 16]. This is also shown in Fig. 1, where for small \(r/\delta\) the parallel and perpendicular velocity response (i.e., \(-i\omega \alpha_{ij}\)) approach \(1/4\pi \eta r\) and \(1/4\pi \eta r^3\) for a unit force at the origin. These then decay for \(r > \delta\). Here, the region of negative response in the perpendicular case corresponds to the back-flow of the vortex.

![FIG. 1: The velocity response of a Newtonian fluid for parallel and perpendicular motion. The solid lines show the in-phase (real) velocity response, which decays on the scale of the penetration depth. The dashed lines show the out-of-phase velocity response (specifically, \(\omega \alpha\)). In the non-inertial limit of small \(r\), the Oseen tensor is recovered, for which the (velocity) response is real. The decay of the various components of the response illustrates the finite penetration depth for the response. The strong dip in the perpendicular response is a manifestation of the vortex-like flow at short times.](image)
Further simplification of Eq. (10) using the definitions in Eqs. (8,9) leads, e.g., to

$$C_h(r, \omega) = \frac{k_BT}{2\pi\omega G|r|} \left\{ \frac{2}{\beta^2} e^{-\sin(\frac{\omega}{2})\beta} \left[ 1 + \sin\left(\frac{\omega}{2}\right) \beta \right] \sin \left[ \cos \left(\frac{\omega}{2}\right) \beta \right] - \cos \left(\frac{\omega}{2}\right) \beta \cos \left[ \cos \left(\frac{\omega}{2}\right) \beta \right] \right\},$$

where $\beta = r\sqrt{\rho\omega^2/|G|}$.

FIG. 2: The parallel response for viscoelastic media with $G(\omega) = \tilde{g}(-i\omega)^z$, where $z = 1/2$, 3/4, 1. For reference, the response function for a Newtonian liquid ($z = 1$) is shown in gray. In each case, $z = 3/4$ is intermediate between $z = 1$ and $z = 1/2$. Both real (A) and imaginary (B) parts are shown.

FIG. 3: The perpendicular response for viscoelastic media with $z = 1/2$, 3/4, 1. Again, the response for a Newtonian liquid is shown in gray, and the case of $z = 3/4$ is intermediate between $z = 1$ and $z = 1/2$. Both real (A) and imaginary (B) parts are shown.

The displacement field exhibiting the vortex pattern is shown in Fig. 4 for a point force at the origin pointed along the x-axis. We note the strict inversion symmetries of this flow: $v_x$, $v_y$ is symmetric (antisymmetric) for either $x \to -x$ or $y \to -y$, as can be seen by the fact that the (linear) response must everywhere reverse if the direction of the force is reversed. The self-sustaining back-flow represented in Fig. 4 gives rise to long-lived correlations that, for instance, affect the crossover from ballistic to diffusive motion of a particle in a liquid. For a simple liquid, the fluid velocity (auto)correlations $\langle \vec{v}(0,0) \cdot \vec{v}(r,t) \rangle$ decay proportional to $\sim |t|^{-3/2}$. This is known as the long time tail [4, 5, 17]. For a viscoelastic fluid, stress propagation is faster than diffusive, resulting in a more rapid decay of velocity correlations. The decay is, however, still algebraic. The velocity correlation function $\langle \vec{v}(0,0) \cdot \vec{v}(r,t) \rangle$ is given by

$$kT \int \frac{d\omega}{2\pi} (-i\omega) \left[ c_{\parallel}(r,\omega) + 2c_{\perp}(r,\omega) \right] e^{-i\omega|t|}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (12)

By taking the limit $r \to 0$, we find that this correlation function decays as $|t|^{-\nu}$, where $\nu = 3(2-z)/2$ for $G \sim \omega^z$ as above.

The principal effect of inertia in the response of viscoelastic media as well as liquids is the finite propagation of stress. This is more precisely characterized by
We note that at high frequencies the solvent (viscous) contribution to the modulus must be included in order to make accurate comparisons with experiment [6].

[12] We note that at high frequencies the solvent (viscous) contribution to the modulus must be included in order to make accurate comparisons with experiment [6].