Loop Dynamics in DNA Denaturation
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The dynamics of a loop in DNA molecules at the denaturation transition is studied by scaling arguments and numerical simulations. The autocorrelation function of the state of complementary bases (either closed or open) is calculated. The long-time decay of the autocorrelation function is expressed in terms of the loop exponent $c$ both for homopolymers and heteropolymers. This suggests an experimental method for measuring the exponent $c$ using fluorescence correlation spectroscopy.
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The thermodynamic properties of DNA near the thermal denaturation transition have been extensively studied during the last few decades \cite{1,2}. At low temperatures a small fraction of the base pairs are unbound, forming loops of fluctuating lengths. These loops increase in size as the temperature is raised, until the denaturation transition is reached and the two strands separate. Experiments using UV absorption and specific heat measurements have yielded valuable information on equilibrium properties of DNA \cite{2}. Recently, single molecules techniques, most notably Fluorescence Correlation Spectroscopy (FCS) have been used to study dynamical properties such as the temporal behavior of loops \cite{3}.

The main theoretical approach for studying DNA denaturation has been introduced by Poland and Scheraga (PS) \cite{4} and was used to analyze the case of homopolymers. It was found that the dependence of the entropy of a loop on its length plays a dominant role in determining the thermodynamic behavior near the transition. On general grounds one can argue that the entropy of a loop of length $n$ takes the form $S = k_B \log(\Omega(n))$, where $\Omega(n) \sim n^n/n^c$ is the number of loop configurations. Here $s$ is a model-dependent constant and $c$ is a universal exponent whose numerical value has been debated over the years and was found to depend on the degree in which excluded volume interactions are taken into account \cite{4,5,6}. When excluded volume interactions both within a loop and between the loop and the rest of the chain are taken into account one finds $c \approx 2.12$ \cite{1,2,7}. This result, which predicts a first order denaturation transition, has been verified numerically \cite{5,6}. While numerical studies of the model with excluded volume interaction yield a clear first order transition \cite{8}, a direct experimental measurement of $c$ is rather difficult and has not been carried out so far. Theoretical studies of the case of a heteropolymers suggest that disorder makes the transition of order higher than two \cite{5,7}.\cite{10}

In this paper we analyze the loop dynamics at the denaturation transition. The analysis suggests a method for measuring the exponent $c$. We focus on predictions for FCS studies \cite{3}. In these experiments one monitors the state of a base pair (whether it is open or closed) as a function of time. The measured quantity is the base pair autocorrelation function $C_i(t) = \langle u_i(0)u_i(t) \rangle$ where $u_i(t) = 1, 0$ is a variable which indicates if base pair $i$ is open (1) or closed (0) at time $t$. By analyzing the loop dynamics using a scaling approach and by direct modelling we express the temporal behavior of the autocorrelation function at the transition temperature in terms of the exponent $c$.

Previous analyses of the loop dynamics have concentrated mainly on the off critical region \cite{11,12}. In these analyses the loop is assumed to be in thermal equilibrium throughout its evolution. As discussed below in detail, the validity of this assumption is not obvious. In this work we test this crucial assumption and demonstrate that it is valid at the denaturation transition.

To proceed we consider the dynamics of a single isolated loop. In this approach one ignores processes like merging of loops and the splitting of a large loop into two or more smaller ones. This may be justified by the fact that the cooperativity parameter, which controls the statistical weight of opening a new loop, is estimated to be rather small, $\sigma_0 \approx 10^{-4}$ \cite{7}. Thus splitting a loop into two is unfavorable. Also, the average distance between loops, which within the PS model is proportional to $1/\sigma_0$, is large, making the independent loop approximation plausible. A loop may change its size by closing or opening of base pairs at its two ends. It survives as long as its two ends do not meet. Let $G(n, t)$ be the survival probability of a loop of initial length $n$ for time $t$. The equilibrium autocorrelation function, measured in FCS experiments, is given by

$$C(t) \approx \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P_{eq}(n) n G(n, t)$$

where for simplicity of notation we have dropped the site index $i$. Here $P_{eq}(n)$ is the probability of having a loop of length $n$ in equilibrium. The additional $n$ factor accounts for the fact that site $i$ may be in any of the $n$ sites of the initial loop. Note that we assume that site $i$ remains open as long as the loop survives. This assumption does not affect the behavior of the autocorrelation function in the scaling limit. An interesting configuration is created when one end of the loop is forced to be on a particular site. In this case no $n$ factor is needed in Eq. (4) and the
autocorrelation function for the end of the loop reads

\[ C_E(t) \approx \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P_{eq}(n)G(n, t). \]  

(2)

Experimentally, this autocorrelation function may be measured by studying a molecule which is clamped at one end with a mismatch near this end. The autocorrelation function near the mismatch site yields \( C_E(t) \).

In the following we analyze the cases of homogeneous and heterogenous DNA. We show that in the homogeneous case the autocorrelation decays at large \( t \) as \( C(t) \approx t^{1-c/2} \) for \( c > 2 \) while it remains finite, \( C(t) = 1 \), for \( c < 2 \). On the other hand we find \( C_E(t) \sim t^{(1-c)/2} \) for \( c > 1 \). Our analysis of heteropolymers suggests that the disorder average of the autocorrelation function behaves as \( C_E(t) \sim (\ln t)^{2-2c} \) for \( 1 < c < 3/2 \) and as \( C_E \sim (\log t)^{-1} \) for \( c > 3/2 \). Here the overline denotes an average over disorder.

Consider first the case of a homopolymer. In this case it has been shown that \( P_{\text{eq}}(n) \sim n^{-c} e^{-n/\xi} \). The correlation length \( \xi \) diverges at the transition yielding \( P_{\text{eq}}(n) \sim n^{-c} \). In order to estimate the survival probability of a loop of length \( n \) we consider the dynamics of a loop under the assumptions discussed above, where loops are non-interacting and they do not split into a number of smaller loops. Similar to [11,12] we further assume that the loop is in a local thermal equilibrium at any given time during its evolution. The validity of this assumption will be discussed in detail below. The loop free energy is thus given by \( f \propto n/\xi + c \ln n \) where \( n \) is the loop size. Within the framework of the Fokker-Planck equation, the probability distribution of finding a loop of size \( n \) at time \( t \), \( P(n,t) \), is given by

\[ \frac{dP(n,t)}{dt} = D \frac{\partial}{\partial n} \left[ \frac{1}{\xi} + \frac{c}{n} \right] P(n,t), \]  

(3)

where \( D \) is the diffusion constant in base pair units. Here we have taken the continuum limit and assumed the dynamics to be over-damped. This equation has to be solved with the boundary condition \( P(0,t) = 0 \) and initial condition \( P(n,0) = \delta(n-n_0) \). The survival probability of the loop is given by \( G(n_0,t) = \int_0^\infty dn P(n,t) \).

Using standard techniques [13] it can be shown that at the transition temperature \( \xi^{-1} = 0 \) the survival probability obeys the scaling form \( G(n_0,t) = g(Dt/n_0^2) \) with \( z = 2 \). The asymptotic behavior of the scaling function for small and large values of the argument is

\[ g(x) \sim 1 \quad \text{for} \quad x \ll 1; \quad g(x) \sim x^{-\alpha/2} \quad \text{for} \quad x \gg 1. \]  

(4)

Using these properties it is easy to calculate the long-time behavior of the autocorrelation function (Eq. 4)

\[ C(t) \sim \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for} \quad c \leq 2 \\ t^{1-c/2} & \text{for} \quad c > 2 . \end{cases} \]  

(5)

Thus, the asymptotic behavior of \( C(t) \) could in principle be used to measure the exponent \( c \). In particular it can be used to distinguish between the case of a continuous transition \( c \leq 2 \), where \( C(t) = 1 \), and a first order phase transition \( c > 2 \), where \( C(t) \) decays to zero. Similar analysis for the edge autocorrelation function leads to \( C_E(t) \sim t^{(1-c)/2} \) for \( c > 1 \).

A central assumption in the above analysis is that the loop is at local equilibrium at any given time. A priori this is not necessarily a valid assumption. The typical time for the survival of a loop of length \( n \) scales as \( n^2 \). On the other hand the relaxation time of a loop configuration is also expected to scale as \( n^2 \) when hydrodynamic interactions are ignored (to be discussed below). Thus it is not clear that during the evolution of the loop it is in local equilibrium. Away from the transition point the loop size changes linearly in time and therefore the assumption of local equilibrium is clearly not valid. In the following we introduce a simple model for studying the loop dynamics where hydrodynamic interactions are ignored. We find strong evidence that the local equilibrium assumption holds asymptotically even in this case.

\[ \text{FIG. 1: A typical microscopic configuration of the loop in the RSOS model. Dashed lines indicate possible dynamical moves of the interface.} \]

To this end we introduce and analyze a simple model for the loop dynamics. This dynamics is described by a fluctuating interface interacting with an attractive substrate in \( d = 1 + 1 \) dimensions. Here the interface height variable corresponds to the distance between complementary bases. The interface configurations are those of a restricted solid on solid (RSOS) model defined as follows (see Fig. 1): Let \( h_i = 0, 1, 2, \ldots \) be the height of the interface at site \( i \). The heights satisfy \( |h_i - h_{i+1}| = \pm 1 \) and \( h_i \geq 0 \). Consider a loop between sites 0 and \( n \) (where \( n \) is even) as shown in Fig. 1. Outside the loop the interface is bound to the substrate so that \( h_{-2k} = h_{n+2k} = 0 \) for \( k = 0, 1, \ldots \) while for \( 0 \leq k \leq n \) the height \( h_k \) can take any value which is consistent with the RSOS conditions and is non-negative. We consider a dynamics in which the loop is free to fluctuate and one of its ends \( (n \geq 0) \) is free to move with the following rules. For sites \( 2 \leq i \leq n - 1 \) the height is updates according to

\[ h_i \rightarrow h_i \pm 2 \]  

(6)

with rate 1 as long as \( h_i \geq 0 \) and the RSOS condition is satisfied. For \( i = n \) the loop length is changed according to the following rules

\[ n \rightarrow n + 2 \text{ with rate } \frac{\pi}{4} ; \]  

\[ n \rightarrow n - 2 \text{ with rate } \frac{\pi}{2} , \]  

(7)
where $n$ can decrease only if $h_{n-2} = 0$.

In principle one should let both ends fluctuate. However, for simplicity, we consider the case where one of the ends is fixed. It is straightforward to verify that the number of configurations of a loop of size $n$ is given by $2^n/n^c$ with $c = 3/2$ for large $n$. Thus this model corresponds to a particular value of $c$. However we expect similar results to hold for other values of $c$ as well. The ratio between the two length changing processes is chosen such that in the large $n$ limit the loop is not biased to either increase or decrease. This corresponds to the model being at the denaturation transition point. The parameter $\bar{\sigma}$ determines the rate of the length changing processes: $\bar{\sigma} = 0$ corresponds to the dynamics of a loop of fixed length and as $\bar{\sigma}$ is increased the length changing processes become faster. In a realization of this dynamics at any given step one of $n$ possible moves is chosen. Of these, $n - 2$ moves correspond to an attempted update of the height at sites $2, 3, \ldots, n - 1$. The other two moves correspond to an attempt to update the edge by a move either to the right or to the left. One attempted move of the edge defines a Monte Carlo sweep. On average this amounts to updating all sites every two sweeps. The numerical studies described below are done using $\bar{\sigma} = 1$.

In order to test the validity of the Fokker-Planck equation for describing the dynamics of a loop we simulated the dynamics of the model and calculated the survival probability of a loop of initial length $n_0$. To this end an initial configuration of a loop of fixed length $n_0$ is generated with the correct equilibrium weight. Starting from this initial configuration the dynamics is carried out. The results are summarized in Fig. 2 where the survival probability is plotted as a function of the scaling variable $t/n_0^z$ for several values of the loop size $n_0$. A very good agreement with the predicted survival time obtained from the solution of the Fokker-Planck equation is found. However the optimal data collapse takes place at $z \approx 2.2$ rather than $z = 2$. If this value of $z$ remains valid in the limit of large $n$ it would imply that the local equilibrium assumption is not valid.

In the following we argue that the value $z = 2.2$ is due to finite size effects and we expect that for large systems the value $z = 2$ is recovered. To this end we calculate numerically the variance of the loop size

$$w^2(t) = \langle (n(t) - \langle n(t) \rangle)^2 \rangle,$$

where $\langle \cdot \rangle$ denotes an average over realizations of the dynamics. We show that asymptotically it grows linearly with time. This result indicates that the dynamical exponent is in fact $z = 2$ and that the deviations we observe for small $n_0$ are due to finite size effects. We proceed by defining a variable $\sigma_+(t)$ which takes the value 1 if the length of the loop increases at time $t$ and 0 otherwise. Similarly, we define $\sigma_-(t)$ and $\sigma_0(t)$ for steps which decrease the loop size and steps in which the loop size does not change, respectively. Clearly $\sigma_+(t) + \sigma_-(t) + \sigma_0(t) = 1$. The dynamics of the chain implies that in the limit of large $n_0$ one has

$$\langle \sigma_+(t) \rangle = \langle \sigma_-(t) \rangle = \alpha/8 ; \quad \langle \sigma_0(t) \rangle = 1 - \alpha/4 , \quad (9)$$

where $\alpha = \bar{\sigma}/\max\{1, \bar{\sigma}\}$. Denoting $U(t) \equiv \sigma_+(t) - \sigma_-(t)$, it is easy to see that

$$\frac{\Delta w^2(t)}{\Delta t} = w^2(t) - w^2(t - 1) = 4\langle U(t)^2 \rangle + 8 \sum_{\tau=1}^{t-1} \langle U(\tau)U(t) \rangle , \quad (10)$$

where

$$\langle U(\tau)U(t) \rangle = \langle \sigma_+(\tau)\sigma_+(t) \rangle + \langle \sigma_-(\tau)\sigma_-(t) \rangle - \langle \sigma_-(\tau)\sigma_+(t) \rangle - \langle \sigma_+(\tau)\sigma_-(t) \rangle . \quad (11)$$

It is evident that a loop increasing step at time $t$, $\sigma_+(t - 1) = 1$, is uncorrelated with steps which took place at time $\tau < t$. Thus $\langle \sigma_+(\tau)\sigma_+(t) \rangle = \langle \sigma_-(\tau)\sigma_+(t) \rangle = \alpha^2/64$. Numerically we find $\langle \sigma_-(\tau)\sigma_-(t) \rangle = \alpha^2/64$ (see Fig. 3). Using these result we finally obtain

$$\frac{\Delta w^2(t)}{\Delta t} = \alpha - 8 \sum_{\tau=1}^{t-1} \langle [\sigma_+(\tau)\sigma_-(t)]_c \rangle . \quad (12)$$

with $\langle [\sigma_+(\tau)\sigma_-(t)]_c \rangle \equiv \langle \sigma_+(\tau)\sigma_-(t) \rangle - \frac{\alpha^2}{64}$. Numerical simulations of the dynamics show strong correlation between $\sigma_+(\tau)$ and $\sigma_-(t)$ with an algebraic decay in $t - \tau$ (see Fig. 3). It is interesting to note that the dynamics of the chain induces such long range temporal correlations mediated by the loop dynamics.

By extrapolating the sum on the right hand side of Eq. (12) using the asymptotic form $\alpha t^{-\gamma}$ with $A \approx 0.015$
and $\gamma \approx 1.2$, deduced from Fig. 3, we find that the sum converges to a value $\approx 0.84 < \alpha = 1$ indicating that $w^2(t) \approx 0.16t$ at large $t$, which in turn yields $z = 2$ (see Fig. 3). The slow power-law convergence towards the asymptotic value implies that it may require large systems to observe the long time behavior of the autocorrelation function, \( \langle \sigma(t)\sigma(0) \rangle \).

We now turn to the heteropolymer case. To avoid the typical denominator problem in disordered systems we only consider the autocorrelation function $C_E(t)$ and study its disorder average. For a heteropolymer the binding energy of the $i$th base-pair, $\eta(i)$, (and therefore also the length changing rate $\pi_i$) are taken to be uncorrelated quenched random variables. As the edge moves $m$ steps the binding energy changes by $\Delta(m) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \eta(i)$. The rate $\pi_i$ is simply related to the binding energy $\eta(i)$, similar to the dynamics of DNA unzipping \[14\]. Since the variance of $\Delta(m)$ grows linearly with $m$ we can safely neglect the effect of logarithmic correction to the loop entropy on the dynamics. At the transition point the dynamics of the loop length corresponds to that of an unbiased walker on a random forcing energy landscapes. It is known that the probability of a walker (representing the edge of the loop) not to return to the origin on such a disordered energy landscape, $G_d(n, t)$, has the scaling form $G_d(n, t) = g_d (\langle \log t \rangle^{2}/n_0)$ \[15\]. The asymptotic behavior of the scaling function for small and large values of the argument is

\[ g_d(x) \sim 1 \text{ for } x \ll 1 ; \quad g_d(x) \sim x^{-\frac{1}{2}} \text{ for } x \gg 1 . \]

This result is universal and independent of the potential realization. Note that in this case there is a separation of time scales where the typical survival time of the loop is much longer than the loop relaxation time. Thus the use of local equilibrium dynamics is clearly justified.

To complete this analysis one has to evaluate the equilibrium loop size distribution. Extensive numerical studies suggest that the disorder average loop statistics remain of the same form with the same exponent $c$ as in the case of homopolymers \[12\].

Combining this with the universal form of the survival probability we finally reach the asymptotic form of disordered average autocorrelation function:

\[ C_E(t) \sim \begin{cases} (\log t)^{2-2c} & \text{for } 1 < c < 3/2 \\ (\log t)^{-1} & \text{for } c > 3/2 \end{cases} \]

We conclude with a comment on hydrodynamic interactions. In the present study these interactions have not been included. It is well known that relaxation processes of polymers in solutions are faster when hydrodynamic interactions are taken into account. Within the Zimm model \[17\] it scales with the length of the polymer as $n^{3\nu}$. Recent experiments on single-stranded DNA have measures a scaling $n^{3/2}$ \[18\]. This fast relaxation time lends further support for the local equilibrium hypothesis applied in this work.
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