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ABSTRACT. Conformal transformations are of much interest to model-
ers of physical phenomena as they provide many attractive mathematical
properties such as locally preserving the isotropy of scales, invariance of
the structure of the operators such as Laplacian under the transformation.
It is known to atmosphere and ocean modelers as to generate coordinate
transformations on the sphere using the analytic functions belonging to
the class of Mobius transformations which are linear and one-to-one in
the complex plane. This work describe the method to use the analytic
function that belongs to the class other than the Mobius transformations.
Especially the complex power function is used to generate a reparametri-
sation of the sphere so as to provide variable resolution geometry on the
sphere. It is shown how the High resolution Tropical Belt Transforma-
tion is generated from this analytic function. While it is not possible
to generate coordinate transformations on the sphere with this class of
functions, it is indeed possible to achieve reparametrisation of the sphere.
Construction of the Riemann surface is used to achieve this reparametri-
sation.

1. INTRODUCTION

Spherical harmonics based global spectral method under the triangular
truncation provides an uniform resolution discretisation on the sphere. Vari-
able resolution global spectral method is achieved by the spherical har-
monics that have the spatially localised spectrum. The pioneering work
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by [Sch77] devised a conformal transformation of the spherical surface to itself. The standard spherical harmonics are transformed by the conformal transformation to generate a new spherical harmonic basis functions. This transformed spherical harmonic basis functions had spatially-localised spectrum so as to provide an non-uniform spectral discretisation on the sphere. A finer resolution spectral discretisation was achieved over one of the poles with a corresponding defocussing of resolution at the other pole. Specifically the conformal transformation used by [Sch77] belongs to the class of Mobius transformations of the complex plane [CG88]. In the context of global ocean modeling, [BEDJ99] generated a coordinate transformation based on the Mobius transformations. The class of transformations represented by the Mobius transformations provide a one-to-one correspondence for the points on the extended complex plane. These class of transformations are linear in nature. The search for other kinds of conformal transformations on the sphere which are different from the Mobius transformations is an ongoing quest. The work by [JNM12] came up with a variable resolution global spectral method using spherical harmonics. It used a reparametrisation map named 'High resolution Tropical Belt Transformation(HTBT)' to generate finer resolution spectral discretisation of the tropics on the sphere. This article describes the generation of HTBT from a complex power function. This is analytic function is of a different class than the Mobius transformation. By default, it is a many-to-one mapping in the complex plane. So the generation of a coordinate transformation on the sphere using this function is non-trivial. It requires the application of the concept of Riemann surface construction. Section 2 shows why it is not possible to generate a coordinate transformation on the sphere using a many-to-one functions like the complex power function. Section 3
describes the construction of a Riemann surface that induces a one-to-one correspondence with the points of the complex plane for the complex power function. Section 4 describes a generation of an abstract 2-manifold from the Riemann surface. The generation of the Reparametrisation map using the conformal map between the sphere and the Riemann surface is described in section 5. The final section of this article provides the concluding remarks of the work.

2. TRANSFORMATION ON THE SPHERE USING THE COMPLEX POWER FUNCTION

Consider the unit sphere

\[ S^2 := \left\{ (\cos \lambda \cos \phi, \sin \lambda \cos \phi, \sin \phi) \mid \lambda \in [0, 2\pi), \phi \in \left(-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}\right) \right\} \]

A point \( P \) on \( S^2 \) is referred by the \((\lambda, \phi)\) coordinates where \( \lambda \) is the longitude and \( \phi \) is the latitude. The north-pole (NP) and south-pole (SP) are referred by \( \phi = \frac{\pi}{2} \) and \( \phi = -\frac{\pi}{2} \) respectively.

Now we attempt to generate a coordinate transformation \( \tau : S^2 \to S^2 \) through the analytic function \( f(z) = z^\ell, \ell > 1, \ell \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \).

Note that the function \( f : \bar{\mathbb{C}} \to \bar{\mathbb{C}} \) is analytic everywhere except at \( z = \infty \). Here \( \bar{\mathbb{C}} = \mathbb{C} \cup \infty \) is the extended complex plane. Since \( f'(z) \neq 0 \) everywhere in \( \bar{\mathbb{C}} \) except at \( z = 0 \), and \( z = \infty \), it is conformal in \( \bar{\mathbb{C}} \) except at the points \( z = 0 \), and \( z = \infty \).

**Definition 1.** The stereographic projection \( \rho \) can be expressed in terms of the \((\lambda, \phi)\) coordinates as

\[ \rho : S^2 \to \bar{\mathbb{C}} \text{ such that } z = \tan \left( \frac{\pi}{4} + \frac{\phi}{2} \right) \exp(i\lambda) \]

**Definition 2.** The inverse of stereographic projection
\( \rho^{-1} : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow S^2 \) is given by \((\lambda, \phi) = (\text{arg}(z), 2 \arctan(|z|) - \frac{\pi}{2})\).

**Remark 3.** The stereographic projection \( \rho \) defines an homeomorphism between \( S^2 \) and \( \mathbb{C} \) [Ahl78].

Let \( w \) be the image of \( z \) under the mapping \( f(z) = z^\ell, \ell > 1 \).

Since \( w \in \mathbb{C} \), it corresponds to a point \( P' \) on \( S^2 \). We denote \( P' \) by the coordinate pair \((\lambda', \phi')\),

where \( \lambda' = \text{Arg}(w), \phi' = 2 \arctan(|w|) - \frac{\pi}{2} \).

To get the relationship between \( P(\lambda, \phi) \) and \( P'(\lambda', \phi') \), we make use of the functional relation \( w = z^\ell \).

i.e. \( \tan \left( \frac{\pi}{4} + \frac{\phi'}{2} \right) \exp(i\lambda') = \tan \left( \frac{\pi}{4} + \frac{\phi}{2} \right) \exp(i\lambda) \).

Comparing the modulus and argument of the above complex valued expression, we get

\[
(2.1) \quad \tan \left( \frac{\pi}{4} + \frac{\phi'}{2} \right) = \tan \left( \frac{\pi}{4} + \frac{\phi}{2} \right)
\]

\[
(2.2) \quad \lambda' = \ell \lambda + 2k\pi, \quad k = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \ldots
\]

2.1. **Solution of eqn 2.2.** \( \lambda' = \ell \lambda + 2k\pi, \) \( k \) is an integer.

Since \( \lambda \in [0, 2\pi) \) and \( \lambda' \in [0, 2\pi) \),

\[
0 \leq \ell \lambda + 2k\pi < 2\pi
\]

\[
\frac{2(k-1)}{\ell} \pi \leq \lambda < \frac{2k}{\ell} \pi
\]

for \( 0 \leq \lambda < 2\pi \).
Let
\[ I_k := \left[ \frac{2(k-1)}{\ell} \pi, \frac{2k}{\ell} \pi \right) \]
\[ B_k := \{ (\lambda, \phi) | \lambda \in I_k \} \]

If \( \lambda \in I_k \), \( \lambda' = \ell \lambda + \sigma 2\pi \) for some integer \( \sigma \) and \( \lambda' \in [0, 2\pi) \), then \( \sigma = -(k-1) \).

i.e.
\[ \lambda' = \ell \lambda - 2\pi(k-1) \text{ if } \lambda \in I_k, k = 1, 2, \ldots, \ell \]

2.2. **Solution of eqn 2.1.** \( \tan \left( \frac{\pi}{4} + \frac{\phi}{2} \right) = \tan^\ell \left( \frac{\pi}{4} + \frac{\phi}{2} \right), \ell > 1. \)

Let \( \alpha = \frac{\pi}{4} + \frac{\phi'}{2}, \beta = \frac{\pi}{4} + \frac{\phi}{2}, \) for \( \alpha, \beta \in [0, \pi/2]. \)

Then \( \tan \alpha = \tan^\ell \beta. \)

If we set \( \tan \beta = \gamma. \)

This implies \( \alpha = \arctan[\gamma']. \)

\[ \implies \alpha = \psi + m\pi, \quad m = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \ldots \text{ and } 0 \leq \psi \leq \frac{\pi}{2}. \]

Since \( \phi' \) is a latitudinal coordinate and by choosing \( \phi' \in [-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}] \), we get \( \alpha \in [0, \pi/2]. \)

So \( 0 \leq \psi + m\pi \leq \frac{\pi}{2} \implies m = 0. \)

i.e. \( \phi' = 2 \arctan \left( \tan^\ell \left( \frac{\pi}{4} + \frac{\phi}{2} \right) \right) - \frac{\pi}{2}. \)

**Claim 4.** Given a \( \lambda' \) such that \( (\lambda', \phi') \in (S^2)', \) there are exactly \( \ell \) points that map to \( (\lambda', \phi') \), unless it is a pole.

**Proof.** Suppose \( (\lambda, \phi) \mapsto (\lambda', \phi') \) and \( (\lambda', \phi') \in B_k. \)

i.e. For \( \lambda' = \ell \lambda - 2\pi(k-1) \) then \( \rho^{-1} \circ f \circ \rho : (\lambda + \frac{2\pi n}{\ell}, \phi) \mapsto (\lambda', \phi'). \)

where \( n = -(k-1), -(k-1) + 1, -(k-1) + 2, \ldots (\ell-k). \)

This transformation is conformal on the sphere excepting at the poles.

But due to the multi-valued nature, it does not qualify as a coordinate transformation on the sphere. 

\[ \square \]
3. CONSTRUCTION OF THE RIEMANN SURFACE $R_w$

It was shown in the previous section that it was not possible to construct a coordinate transformation $\tau : S^2 \to S^2$ using the complex analytic function $f : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$ defined by $f(z) = z^\ell$, $\ell > 1$. It was due to the fact that this function was not one-to-one in the extended complex plane $\mathbb{C}$. Given a $z \neq 0$, and defining $w := e^{2\pi i/\ell}$, the points $\{z^k : k = 1, 2, \ldots, \ell\}$ all have the same image under this function.

We proceed to construct a new set $R_w$ which will have the property $f : \mathbb{C} \to R_w$ is one-to-one. Here, $R_w$ is the Riemann surface for the $\ell$-th root function, inducing a conformal and one-to-one map $F_\ell \circ f = I$ on $R_w$.

Definition 5. Let us define, for $z \neq 0$, $\text{Arg} z$ to be the angle made by the vector $z$ with respect to the positive real axis, measured counter-clockwise, and so normalized that $0 \leq \text{Arg} z < 2\pi$.

Definition 6. Let $A_k := \left\{z \mid \frac{2(k-1)}{\ell} \pi \leq \text{Arg} z < \frac{2k}{\ell} \pi \right\} \cup \{0\}$ be a subset of $C$.

Definition 7. Now define the set $B_k := \{w \mid w = z^\ell, z \in A_k\}$.

Fact. By definition $B_k$ is the entire complex plane, except that it holds the property $\text{Arg} w < 2\pi$.

Fact. \[ \bigcup_{k=1}^\ell A_k = C. \]

Fact. \[ \bigcap_{k=1}^\ell A_k = \{z \mid z = 0\} \]

Definition 8. Let us define, for $z \neq 0$, $\text{arg} z$ to be the angle made by the vector $z$ with respect to the positive real axis, measured counter-clockwise. It has any one of an infinite number of real values differing by an integral multiples of $2\pi$. 
Fact.

\[ w \in B_k \implies 2(k-1)\pi \leq \arg w < 2k\pi \quad \text{and} \quad 0 \leq \text{Arg} w < 2\pi \]

Remark. The function \( f(z) = z^k \) defines an one-to-one correspondence between the sets \( A_k \) and \( B_k \).

Now we construct a new set \( R_w \) as follows

\[ R_w := B_1 \times \{1\} \cup B_2 \times \{2\} \cup \cdots \cup B_\ell \times \{\ell\} \]

An arbitrary point \( P \) belonging to \( R_w \) be denoted by \( w;k \). The notation \( w;k \) refers to a complex number \( w \) belonging to the set \( B_k \).

i.e. \( w;k \in R_w \Rightarrow w \in B_k \), for some \( k \in \{1,2,\ldots,\ell\} \).

Following properties are imposed on the construction of \( R_w \).

(1) For \( m,n \in \{1,2,\ldots,\ell\} \),

\[ m \neq n \Rightarrow w_1;m \neq w_2;n \quad \text{even if} \quad w_1 = w_2. \]

(2) For given \( w;k \in R_w \),

\[ \lim_{\text{Arg} w \to 2\pi} w;k \to w'(k+1) \mod \ell \]

where \( |w'| = |w| \quad \text{&} \quad \text{Arg} w' = 0. \)

(3) \( 0;1 = 0;2 = 0;3 = \cdots = 0;\ell \). We denote \( 0;k \) as \( 0 \). Then \( \bigcap_{k=1}^\ell B_k = 0. \)

This construction of the set \( R_w \) is the Riemann surface on which the function \( z = w^2 \) is well-defined.

4. CONSTRUCTION OF AN ABSTRACT 2-MANIFOLD \( M \)

We proceed to construct an abstract 2-manifold \( M \) by applying the inverse stereographic projection \( \rho^{-1} \) on the Riemann surface \( R_w \).
For \( w; k \in R_w \) (i.e. \( w \in B_k, k \in \{1, 2, \ldots, \ell\} \)),

\[
\rho^{-1}(w; k) = (\lambda_k, \phi_k)
\]

where \( \lambda_k = \text{Arg}(w) \) and \( \phi_k = 2\arctan(lw) - \frac{\pi}{2} \).

Let

\[
S_k := \left\{ (\cos \lambda_k \cos \phi_k, \sin \lambda_k \cos \phi_k, \sin \phi_k) \mid \lambda_k \in [0, 2\pi), \phi \in (-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}) \right\}
\]

We can define a new map \( \hat{\rho} : B_k \mapsto S_k \) given by

\[
\hat{\rho}(w; k) = (\cos \lambda_k \cos \phi_k, \sin \lambda_k \cos \phi_k, \sin \phi_k)
\]

**Fact.** \( \hat{\rho} \) defines an homeomorphism between \( B_k \) and \( S_k \).

Now we construct a new set \( M \) as follows

\[
M := S_1 \times \{1\} \cup S_2 \times \{2\} \cup \cdots \cup S_\ell \times \{\ell\}
\]

By virtue of the continuity of \( \hat{\rho} \) and the construction of \( R_w, M \) inherits the following properties.

(1) For \( m, n \in \{1, 2, \ldots, \ell\}, m \neq n \implies \)

\[
(\cos \lambda_m \cos \phi_m, \sin \lambda_m \cos \phi_m, \sin \phi_m) \neq (\cos \lambda_n \cos \phi_n, \sin \lambda_n \cos \phi_n, \sin \phi_n)
\]

, even if \( (\lambda_m, \phi_m) = (\lambda_n, \phi_n) \).

(2) For given \((\cos \lambda_k \cos \phi_k, \sin \lambda_k \cos \phi_k, \sin \phi_k) \in M\),

\[
\lim_{\lambda_k \to 2\pi} \left( \cos \lambda_k \cos \phi_k, \sin \lambda_k \cos \phi_k, \sin \phi_k \right) \to \left( \cos \lambda_n \cos \phi_n, \sin \lambda_n \cos \phi_n, \sin \phi_n \right)
\]

where \( n = (k + 1) \mod \ell \) and \( \lambda_n = 0, \phi_n = \phi_k \).

(3) \( \bigcap_{k=1}^\ell S_k = \{(0, 0, 1), (0, 0, -1)\} \).
Remark 9. \((\lambda_k, \phi_k)\) are the local coordinates of \(M\), with \(k\) indicate the points of the set \(S_k \subset M\).

Remark 10. A global coordinate system \((\lambda', \phi')\) can be defined for the points of \(M\).

Let \((\lambda', \phi') = (2(k-1)\pi + \lambda_k, \phi_k)\) for \(k \in \{1, 2, \ldots, \ell\}\).

Clearly, \(\lambda' \in [0, 2\pi\ell)\) and \(\phi' \in (-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2})\).

For a given point \(P'\) referred by the global coordinates \((\lambda', \phi')\), the local coordinate \((\lambda_k, \phi_k)\) is given by the following relations.

\[
k = \lambda' / 2\pi, \quad \lambda_k = \lambda' \mod 2\pi \quad \text{and} \quad \phi_k = \phi'.
\]

Fig. 4.1 illustrates the abstract 2-manifold \(M\). It is a manifold (Riemann surface) with \(\ell\) sheets. Each sheet \(S_k\) is a sphere with local coordinates \((\lambda_k, \phi_k), 1 \leq k \leq \ell\). Each of the spherical sheet \(S_k\) has an infinitesimal cut along the longitude \(\lambda_k = 2\pi\). The longitude \(\lambda_k = 2\pi\) of the sheet \(S_k\) is connected to the sheet \(S_{k+1}\) at the longitude \(\lambda_{k+1} = 0, 1 \leq k < \ell\). The longitude \(\lambda_1 = 2\pi\) is connected to \(\lambda_1 = 0\) of the sheet \(S_1\).

5. A new Reparametrization of the sphere

Notice that there is an one-to-one relation between the points on the sphere \(S^2\) and the points of the 2-manifold \(M\).
The commutative diagram given below illustrates this correspondence.

\[
\begin{array}{c}
S^2 & \xrightarrow{\rho} & \mathcal{C} \\
\tau \downarrow & & \downarrow f \\
M & \xleftarrow{\hat{\rho}} & R_w
\end{array}
\]

The correspondence is given by

\[\tau = \hat{\rho} \circ f \circ \rho\]

The map can be described by \(\tau : S^2 \hookrightarrow M\) with

\[(5.1) \quad \tau(\lambda, \phi) = (\lambda', \phi') = \left(\ell^\lambda, 2 \arctan \left(\tan^\ell \left(\frac{\pi}{4} + \frac{\phi}{2}\right)\right) - \frac{\pi}{2}\right)\]

where \((\lambda', \phi')\) are the global coordinates of \(M\).

The inverse map can be described by \(\eta : M \hookrightarrow S^2\) with

\[(5.2) \quad \eta(\lambda', \phi') = (\lambda, \phi) = \left(\lambda'/\ell, 2 \arctan \left(\tan^{\ell^\lambda} \left(\frac{\pi}{4} + \frac{\phi'}{2}\right)\right) - \frac{\pi}{2}\right)\]

Notice that the one-to-one correspondence between the coordinates of \(S^2\) and those of \(M\) induces a new reparametrisation for \(S^2\).

If we denote the standard longitude-latitude parametrisation on the sphere as

\[\sigma = (\cos \lambda \cos \phi, \sin \lambda \cos \phi, \sin \phi)\]

and if we denote \(\eta(\lambda', \phi') = (\eta_1, \eta_2)\).

Then \(\sigma \circ \eta\) provides a new parametrisation of \(S^2\) with respect to \((\lambda', \phi')\) coordinates.

i.e. the coordinate function

\[(\cos \eta_1(\lambda') \cos \eta_2(\phi'), \sin \eta_1(\lambda') \cos \eta_2(\phi'), \sin \eta_2(\phi'))\]
relate a given pair \((\lambda', \phi')\) to an unique point on the sphere \(S^2\) (the north and south pole will be referred by \(\phi' = -\frac{\pi}{2}\) and \(\phi' = \frac{\pi}{2}\) respectively).

Refer to textbooks such as [Pre01] for a detailed description of Reparametrisation maps.

The eqns. 5.1 and 5.2 both refer to the 'High resolution Tropical Belt Transformation(HTBT)' described in [JNM12].

6. CONCLUDING REMARKS

We have constructed an abstract 2-manifold \(M\) from the Riemann surface \(R_w\). The one-to-one correspondence between the points on the sphere \(S^2\) and the manifold \(M\) is achieved by this construction. \(R_w\) being a Riemann surface, is itself a 2-dimensional \(C^\infty\) manifold [Mir95]. \(M\) being diffeomorphic to \(R_w\) offers some useful mathematical properties. They are

1. \(M\) is a smooth manifold diffeomorphic to the sphere \(S^2\).
2. \(\tau\) is a \(C^\infty\) diffeomorphism between \(S^2\) and \(M\).
3. \(\tau\) is not conformal at the poles of the sphere.

From the previous works ([CG88, BEDJ99]), it is known that it is possible to generate a coordinate transformation on the sphere \(\tau : S^2 \rightarrow S^2\) using the class of Mobius transformations which are linear and one-to-one in the complex plane.

In this work, it is shown that it is not possible to generate a coordinate transformation \(\tau : S^2 \rightarrow S^2\) using a multi-valued complex function such as \(f(z) = z^\ell, \ell > 1\). But it is possible to create diffeomorphism to a manifold \(M\) such that \(\tau : S^2 \rightarrow M\). This is achieved through the construction of a Riemann surface. Noteworthy is the fact that the coordinates \((\lambda', \phi')\) of \(M\) through the coordinate function \(\sigma \circ \eta\), become a new coordinate system for the sphere as well. This aspect has some important implications for
generating variable resolution methods on the sphere. To generate variable resolution methods, one could create numerical discretisation with the uniform distribution of points on $M$. The computed results upon mapping back to the sphere will be of variable resolution. Equivalently, to achieve variable resolution one could do computations on the sphere $S^2$ with the uniform distribution of points in $(\lambda', \phi')$ through the coordinate function $\sigma \circ \eta$. The latter approach was in fact demonstrated in the work of [JNM12].

The future work will be to identify other class of complex analytic functions using which multiple regions of the sphere can be studied with fine resolution.
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