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Perturbation theory, the quasiclassical approximation and Bogomolny’s quantum surface of section method are combined for the first time. With this method, we can quantize the resonances and chaotic regions generically appearing in classical perturbation theory. As compared with existing techniques, our results and calculations are relatively simple and in reduced dimension, and they are readily visualized. We illustrate by applying the method to a class of problems of recent interest.
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Poincaré found that perturbation theory [PT] on an integrable classical system fails in two or more dimensions due to ‘small denominators’. Kolmogorov-Arnol’d-Moser [KAM] theory greatly illuminated the subject and showed that the breakdown of PT signals chaos, and leads to new, small, classical actions dependent on the perturbation parameter \( \epsilon \). Quantizing such a theory has been of interest\(^2\). The comparison of Planck’s constant \( \hbar \) with these actions is then important to the quantization procedure, which is naturally carried out in the quasiclassical approximation, [QCA].

Phase space trajectories of an integrable system lie on invariant tori [IT]. Perturbation destroys all periodic orbits, on ‘rational’ tori, except for one or more stable and unstable orbits. The dense rational tori are labelled by rational numbers \( p/q \). The tori near the rational ones are also destroyed, to a width in action \( \sqrt{\epsilon}S_{pq} \). Topologically new IT are formed around the stable orbits while chaos develops near the unstable orbit and separatrix. The characteristic action \( S_{pq} \) generically drops off rapidly with \( q \). The result is usually pictured, as in Fig.1, on a Poincaré surface of section [SS], a slice through the tori, where the structure of alternating stable and unstable orbits is called an ‘island chain’ or ‘resonances’.

Chaos is conceptually important, but the phase space volume of the chaotic regions is smaller than any power of \( \epsilon \). This allows an order by order transformation of the Hamiltonian to a series in integrable ‘normal form’, which if truncated yields approximate IT, which can be quantized by EBK methods. This and related methods have been extensively used to find approximations to IT even though it is known that a series does not converge. The method can be efficient numerically as well as providing some insight\(^2\).

We here combine for the first time, PT, the QCA, and the powerful QCA-SS method of Bogomolny\(^3\). We achieve quite complete and explicit results. Namely, we are able to find all the energy levels and SS-waves/functions in a WKB approximation for small \( \epsilon \), provided \( \hbar \) is not too small in a sense to be specified. We do not find or need to know the whole IT, but only its intersection with the SS. We also give some numerical checks.

Our SS method has the usual advantages. There is a reduction in dimension, most dramatically at \( d = 2 \), which allows visualisation of the results, and provides a convenient formulation for general considerations. If the Poincaré SS map is simple, the calculations are relatively easy, but if it tedious to obtain the SS map, and numerical results are the main focus, another method may be preferred. Our method can sometimes also be applied when the perturbation is not smooth enough or weak enough to permit a KAM analysis.

Our method based on ref.\(^3\), can also be seen as a “resummation” of the perturbed Berry-Tabor trace formula which yields approximations to the energy levels, rather than just correlations between them\(^4\).

There has been recent interest in a number of problems susceptible to our technique\(^6\), and we have also learned of several ongoing efforts\(^10\). These problems are related to a weakly deformed circular billiard. We adopt the notation of this case. The Helmholtz equation \( (\nabla^2 + \kappa^2) \psi = 0 \) is to be solved for eigenfunctions \( \psi = \psi_a \) and eigenvalues \( \kappa = k_a \) with, say, Dirichlet conditions \( \psi_a(r, \theta) = 0 \) on the boundary, \( \partial B \). The latter is expressed in polar coordinates by \( r(\theta) = R_0 + \epsilon \Delta R(\theta) \). These and similar boundary perturbations have heretofore been treated\(^12\) by methods valid only for \( \epsilon \sqrt{\epsilon} \ll 1 \).

In quantum language, we take units \( R_0 = 1, \hbar = 1 \), particle mass \( = 1/2 \), so \( \kappa \) is the large dimensionless wavenumber, (equivalent to \( 1/\hbar \)). We take \( \partial B \) as SS. Then Bogomolny’s unitary operator is\(^3\)

\[
T(\theta, \theta'; \kappa) = -\left( \frac{k}{2\pi\iota} \frac{\partial^2 L(\theta, \theta')}{\partial \theta \partial \theta'} \right)^{1/2} \exp(ikL(\theta, \theta'))
\]

(1)

where \( L \) is the chord distance between two points on \( \partial B \), specified by polar angles. Expanding,

\[
kL(\theta, \theta') = 2k \left| \sin \frac{\theta - \theta'}{2} \right| \left( 1 + \epsilon \frac{\Delta R(\theta) + \Delta R(\theta')}{2} \right) + \ldots
\]

(2)

The levels \( k_a \) of the system are given in QCA\(^3\) by solution of \( (1 - T(k)) = 0 \), a resummation of the trace formula. Our seemingly more difficult technique studies

\[
\phi(\theta) = \int d\theta' T(\theta, \theta'; k) \psi(\theta'),
\]

(3)

solvable only for \( k = k_a \). [\( \psi \approx \partial \psi / \partial n \) on \( \partial B \).]

A rule of thumb is that only phase space structures of area \( \hbar \) or greater are reflected in the quantized system. Thus, if \( \sqrt{\epsilon} S_{pq} \ll \hbar \) ordinary quantum perturbation theory works well. If \( \sqrt{\epsilon} S_{pq} \gg \hbar \), ordinary perturbation theory breaks down as a number \( \sqrt{\epsilon} S_{pq} / \hbar \) unperturbed quantum states are strongly mixed by the perturbation\(^13\).
We begin with what is usually the largest resonance, \( q = 2 \), by making a WKB Ansatz \( \psi(\theta) = \exp(i\alpha f(\theta)) \) where \( df/d\theta = f' \sim 1 \) and \( k >> \alpha >> \hbar k \). This Ansatz represents a superposition of angular momentum states \( |l| \sim \alpha << l_{\max} = k \), and for \( \alpha > 1 \) conveniently expresses the mix of states of low angular momentum needed to diagonalize the Hamiltonian.

\[
\exp[i(2k + \alpha f(\theta))]/k + i\alpha f(\theta + \pi)]
\]

where \( V(\theta) = \Delta R(\theta) + \Delta R(\theta + \pi) \).

For Eq.(5) to hold, the exponents of order \( \alpha \) must combine to give a constant \( c \), i.e. \( f(\theta + \pi) = f(\theta) + c \).

Now take \( \alpha = k\sqrt{\epsilon} \) so a solution is possible provided \( (f')^2 + V(\theta) \) is a constant, which we call \( E_m \). Thus

\[
f(\theta) = \pm \int_{\theta_1}^{\theta} d\theta' \sqrt{E_m - V(\theta')}
\]

reminiscent of elementary WKB theory. The constant of integration is irrelevant. Notice \( V(\theta) = V(\theta + \pi) \) \( \iff \) \( f(\theta + \pi) = f(\theta) + \epsilon \). We define \( i\hbar k_{\text{WKB}}(\theta, \theta') = \alpha f(\theta) \), which is a simple leading order formula for the intersection of an IT, labelled by \( E_m \), with the SS. The SS procedure on Eq.(3) is classically equivalent to the requirement that \( i\hbar k_{\text{WKB}}(\theta, \theta') \sim (i\hbar k_{\text{WKB}}(\theta, \theta)) \) under the SS map.

Assuming for now that \( E_m > V \), a 'continuum' state, we must choose \( E_m \) such that \( \hbar k \int_0^{2\pi} d\theta' \sqrt{E_m - V(\theta')} = 2\pi m \) where \( m \) is integer, \( b = \sqrt{\epsilon} \) and so \( \epsilon = \pi m/kb \). The condition giving the energy is

\[
\exp[i(2k + \alpha f(\theta)] = 1 = \exp(2\pi m/n)
\]

which has solutions \( k = km/n \) for \( \Delta R < 0 \), this reduces to \( 2k + m^2/k + \pi m = (m - \frac{1}{2})\pi \) equivalent to Debye's approximation to Bessel's function, valid for \( k \) large and \( m/k \) small. Thus, we find states labelled \( m, n \) with \( m \) an integer angular quantum number satisfying \( |m| << kn_m \approx \pi n \). There may be symmetries, for example time reversal which allows real wavefunctions. Then the states are \( \psi = \cos \alpha f(\theta) \), \( \sin \alpha f(\theta) \), which are degenerate at this level of approximation. This result allows an explicit estimate of \( \psi \) [angular momentum representation] which, for \( |l| > k\sqrt{\epsilon} \), decays exponentially for smooth \( V \) and as \( l^{-4} \) for the stadium case.

If \( E_m - V \) changes sign there are 'bound state' regions near the minima of \( V \) where \( E_m > V \), which defines a region \( B : \theta_1 < \theta < \theta_2 \), where \( \theta = \theta_{\text{th}} \) are 'classical turning points'. Take \( \theta_1 \) as the lower limit in Eq.(6). The quantization condition is now, approximately, \( \sin(\alpha f(\theta_1)) = 0 \), or \( f(\theta_1) = \pi n \sqrt{\epsilon} \), and \( \theta \neq B \). This treatment, which can be improved\(^{16}\), for simplicity neglects tunneling into the forbidden regions \( V > E_m \), effects on the amplitude of the wavefunctions and Maslov indices.

The bound states quantize the stable resonance islands and the continuum states quantize the unstable and perturbed KAM regions. A minimum in \( V \) is at a stable periodic orbit, and a maximum at an unstable one. 'Sears' of unstable orbits, Fig. 1c, are states with \( E_m \) just greater than the maximum \( V \). Fig. 2 shows a WKB state and two indistinguishable numerically obtained states, all with the same value of \( k\sqrt{\epsilon} \), one with \( ke = 1.8 \) the other for \( ke = 0.18 \). The state depends dominantly on \( k\sqrt{\epsilon} \) and no qualitative changes occur at \( ke \approx 1 \). Husimi plots of these states are shown in Fig. 1c.

An illustration is the stadium billiard\(^{7,9,10}\), which has two semicircular endcaps of radius \( R_B \) connected by parallel straight sides of length 2a. Then \( \epsilon = a/R_B \) is assumed small and \( R_0 \) is taken as \( R_0 = R_B + 2a/\pi \) while \( \Delta R/R_0 = |\sin\theta| - 2/\pi | \). This 'stadium' choice of \( \Delta R \) has a discontinuous first derivative so KAM does not apply. The classical map deviates from the 'invariant' tori [given approximately by \( i\hbar k_{\text{WKB}}(\theta) \)] after about 1/\( \sqrt{\epsilon} \) iterations, Fig. 1a. We also show results, Fig. 1b, for a 'smoothed stadium', a truncated Fourier series of the 'stadium' \( \Delta R(\theta) \), where the orbit stays on an IT. The localization in this case was first\(^7\) thought to be dynamical localization in the presence of chaos analogous to Anderson localization\(^{11,12} \), but now\(^{9,10} \) for \( ke^2 < 1 \) is attributed to Cantori. Our treatment has no need to invoke Cantori.
Classically the stadium is chaotic with no stable orbits. Orbits **diffuse** in angular momentum at **long times**. Most SS returns follow $\psi_{WKB}$, but a fraction $\approx \sqrt{\epsilon}$ of these returns are near the kink at $\theta = 0, \pi$, and 'randomly' change $E_m$, on an angular momentum scale $\Delta l \sim ke$. This leads to a diffusion constant $D \propto \Delta l^2 \sqrt{\epsilon} \approx k^2 e^{5/2}$. Our 'integrable' results are possible because it is the **short time** behavior, times up to the mean level separation of the mixing states which determines the quantization. That time, measured in SS returns, is, in this case, $e^{-1/2}$, provided $\psi_{WKB}$ is approximately correct.

An average localization width in angular momentum, $I_\varphi$, where, in effect, $I_\varphi^2 = \sum c_\alpha^2 \int d\theta |\psi_\alpha^2|^2$ and $c_\alpha$ is the normalized zero angular momentum component of $\psi_\alpha$ has been numerically obtained. We find the $c_\alpha$'s are small for 'continuum' states, [Fig. 2] and the 'bound' states dominate. Then $I_\varphi \sim \sqrt{\epsilon}$ for these states. Agreement with this result for fixed $k$ and increasing $\epsilon$, until $k^2 \approx 1$ was obtained. We show below that our theory should fail at that point. Ref. uses a different definition of $I_\varphi$ and averages over different states, including high angular momentum states. Since classical phase space in this problem is hardly homogeneous, it is not surprising their results are different. Fig. 2 shows a high angular momentum state, away from a resonant torus, which has a much narrower distribution.

We turn to general angular momenta and higher orders in $\epsilon$. We first look for solutions of the form $\psi = \exp(iG(\theta'))$, where $G = 0' + k(\epsilon f_2 + \epsilon^2 f_3 + \ldots)$. The $f$’s are $2\pi$-periodic and $l < k$ is integer. This, if successful, is a usual PT for $G$. The $S_0^\theta$ angle is $\theta' \approx \theta + \Theta_1$ where $\Theta_1 = -2\text{sign}(l) \cos^{-1}(l/k)$. Expanding as before the order $ke$ condition is

$$f_2(\theta + \Theta_1) - f_2(\theta) = \tilde{L}_2(\theta).$$

We use $\tilde{L}_2$ and $\tilde{L}_2(\theta)$ as the constant and variable parts of $L_2(\theta, \theta + \Theta_1)$. The constant part $ke\tilde{L}_2$ contributes to the phase of Eq.(7). Eq.(8) is solved in terms of $r \neq 0$ Fourier components, i.e. $f_2 = (\exp(i\tau\Theta_1) - 1)^{-1} \tilde{L}_2$. This is a good solution unless the denominator is excessively small. It never strictly vanishes since $\Theta_1/2\pi r$ is an irrational number. However, if $\Theta_1$ is close to $\Theta_{pq} = 2\pi p/q$, where $p/q$ is a rational number, [corresponding to the strongly perturbed rational tori of classical perturbation theory], then the denominator will be small if $r$ is a multiple of $q$. It will still be a good solution if $\tilde{L}_2$ vanishes or is sufficiently small. Generically $\tilde{L}_2$, decreases rapidly for large $r$. If the small denominators are thus compensated by small numerators, this perturbation theory can be carried to higher orders by the methods described below. If not, we need to refine the approach along the lines of our first Ansatz which corresponds to $q = 2$.

We are thus motivated to consider

$$\psi = \exp \left[ i \left( l_\varphi^2 \theta' + \frac{k}{2} (b f_1 + b^2 f_2 + b^3 f_3 + \ldots) \right) \right]$$

(9)

The [non-integer] angular momentum $l_\varphi$ is chosen to make the stationary point $\theta' = \theta + \Theta_{pq}$. Expanding as before, the order $b$ requirement is $f_1(\theta + \Theta_{pq}) - f_1(\theta) + l_\varphi \Theta_{pq}/kb = c \approx \text{constant}$ implying $l_\varphi = q$ is $q$-periodic, i.e. periodic with period $\Theta_{pq}$. At order $b^3$ we have

$$c_{pq}^{-1} \left[ (f_1')^2 + \tilde{L}_2(\theta) + f_2(\theta + \Theta_{pq}) - f_2(\theta) \right] = E_m$$

(10)

where $\tilde{L}_2(\theta)$ is the variable part of $L_2(\theta, \theta + \Theta_{pq})$, $c_{pq} = |\sin \frac{1}{2} \Theta_{pq}|$ and $E_m$ is to be determined. We divide Eq.(10) into $q$-periodic and non-$q$-periodic parts. The nonperiodic terms $f_2$ and $\tilde{L}_2$ must combine to give a $q$-periodic result, thus

$$f_2(\theta + \Theta_{pq}) - f_2(\theta) + \tilde{L}_2(\theta) = V_0(\theta)$$

(11)

where $V_0(\theta)$ is to be determined. We ‘average’ both sides giving $V_0(\theta) = \frac{1}{q} \sum_{j=1}^{q} L_2(\theta + j\Theta_{pq})$. Expressed in Fourier components, $V_0(\theta) = \sum_l \tilde{L}_2 e^{iql}$ and $f_2(\theta) = \sum_l (1 - e^{iql\Theta_{pq}})^{-1} \tilde{L}_2 e^{iql} + f_0(\theta)$. The prime indicates that integers $l$ divisible by $q$ are not included in the sum and $f_2(\theta)$ is an $q$-periodic function not yet determined. Then

$$f_1(\theta) = \pm \frac{2l^{1/2}}{p!} \int_0^q d\theta' \sqrt{E_m - V_0(\theta')}$$

(12)

and considerations like those discussed earlier for $q = 2$ fix the quantization of $E_m$. The size of $V_0$, which decreases rapidly with $q$, determines if powers of $\sqrt{\epsilon}$ rather than $\epsilon$ are needed.

Order $b^3$ is more complicated: $L_2(\theta, \theta')$ and $f_2$ are expanded to $\theta, f_1$ to $b\theta$ and $L_0$ to $b\theta^2$. The integral of Eq.(3) is thus

$$\int d\theta \exp \left[ \frac{-ik}{3!} L_0' b \theta^3 + \frac{ik}{2} (L_0' + b f_1') b \theta^2 + iF' b \theta \right]$$

(13)

where $F' = kb f_1 + kb^2 f_2'$ with $F_0' = f_1' + L_0'$. We denote derivatives evaluated at $\theta' = \theta + \Theta_{pq}$ by primes. [This

---

**FIG. 2.** 'Stadium' potential $|\sin \theta|$ vs angle. States and potential are symmetric about zero angle. Bound and continuum, WKB and exact states are shown, with zeroes at WKB 'energy' parameter $E_m$. $k\epsilon^{1/2} = 42.3$ is fixed. Inset: Angular momentum representation of continuum state $m = 48$ and exact state near angular momentum $m = 168$.**

---

\[\text{Diagram showing potential and states in the stadium system.} \]
integral is done over a region near the original stationary point. The new stationary point coming from \( \theta \theta^3 \) is not meaningful. The width of contributing angles \( \theta \theta \) is of order \( k^{-1/2} \), which is small. However, the shift of the center of the contributing region is expanded by a power series in \( b \), whose leading term is \(-b f^3/L_0^2 \). If \( kb^3 \geq 1 \), the shift cannot be neglected. Thus, to order \( b^3 \) we require

\[
\frac{L_0^4 \left( -\frac{f}{L_0} \right)^3 - \frac{1}{2} \frac{f^2}{L_0^2} \left( \frac{f}{L_0} \right)^2}{3!} - \frac{f^2}{L_0^2} + c_3
\]

\[
= -f_3(\theta + \Theta_{q^2}) + f_3(\theta)
\]

where \( c_3 \) is a constant. Let \( \tilde{f}_2 = \tilde{f}_2 + A(\theta) \), where \( A \) has already been determined by lower order considerations. Eq. (14) can only be satisfied if the \( q \)-average of the left hand side vanishes. This determines \( \tilde{f}_2 \) by

\[
\tilde{f}_2 = -A_q + \frac{L_0^4 c_3}{f_1^3} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{f^2}{L_0^2} \frac{f_1}{f_0} + \frac{L_0^4}{3!} \left( \frac{f}{L_0} \right)^2.
\]

This expression must also have vanishing angular average, since \( \tilde{f}_2 \) is the derivative of a periodic function, which determines \( c_3 \). Thus \( f_2 \) is determined up to an irrelevant integration constant, and, then as before, \( f_3 \) is determined up to an \( q \)-periodic function.

If \( kb^3 << 1 \), we may stop here. If not, we can continue finding higher order corrections, expanding to higher powers of \( \theta \theta \) and keeping the terms \( L_4, L_6, \ldots \) in the expansion of the phase of the \( T \)-operator. The series will be effectively terminated at order \( n \) when \( kb^n << 1 \). However, the method may break down sooner, indicating a change in the fundamental physics.

In the case \( \Delta R = |\sin \theta| \), there are \( \delta \)-function singularities in \( f_1, L_2 \). These large derivatives invalidate the expansion. Thus in the Bunimovich problem we expect our solution to break down when \( ke^2 > 1 \).

In principle, we can use this technique to study perturbations of any two dimensional integrable system. ‘Simply’ use action-angle coordinates \( I_1, I_2, Q_1, Q_2 \), and take as surface of section \( \Omega_1 = 0 \). The \( T \)-operator will have a phase \( k(S_0(\Omega_2 - \Omega_2') + cS_2(\Omega_2, \Omega_2') + \ldots) \) and the rest is pretty much the same as above. Other coordinates may be more convenient in practice, however. The circle is nice because the action-angle coordinates are immediate. On the other hand, harmonic oscillators coupled perturbatively need special treatment.

There are other applications of this technique in non-perturbative settings, in which certain classes of eigenstates can be found. The germ of the method first appeared in the study of the ray splitting billiard\(^{16} \), and it can be used to find the well known ‘bouncing ball’ states in the \( e \)-billiard.

We have thus produced a fairly general theory allowing us to find the effect of perturbations on integrable quantum systems which exploits the quasiclassical approximation and the surface of section technique. If the perturbation classically gives rise to resonances big enough to influence the quantum problem, we must expand in the square root of the small parameter. If the resonances are small, a simpler expansion works.

Supported in part by NSF DMR 9624559 and the U.S.-Israel BSF 95-00067-2. We thank the Newton Institute for support and hospitality. Many valuable discussions with the participants of the Workshop ‘Quantum Chaos and Mesoscopic Systems’ contributed to this work.

---

\(^{a}\) Most of this work was done at the Isaac Newton Institute for Mathematical Sciences, 20 Clarkson Road, Cambridge CB3 0EH, UK.


\(^{9}\) F. Borgonovi, Phys. Rev. Lett. (to be published).

\(^{10}\) G. Casati and T. Prosen, (preprint).

\(^{11}\) J. Keating and G. Tanner, (private communications).


\(^{16}\) R. E. Prange, R. Narevich and Oleg Zaitsev (in preparation).
Recent Newton Institute Preprints

NI97021-NQF JM Figueroa-O'Farrill, C Köhl and B Spence
Supersymmetry and the cohomology of (hyper) Kähler manifolds

NI97022-NQF JP Gauntlett
Duality and supersymmetric monopoles

NI97023-NQF JP Gauntlett
Intersecting branes
hep-th/9705011

NI97024-RAG J Rickard
Triangulated categories in the modular representation theory of finite groups

NI97025-NQF P van Baal
Intermediate volumes and the role of instantons

NI97026-NQF CJ Houghton, NS Manton and PM Sutcliffe
Rational maps, monopoles and skyrmions

NI97027-NQF PS Howe, E Sezgin and PC West
Aspects of superembeddings
hep-th/9705903

NI97028-NQF CM Hull
Gravitational duality, branes and charges

NI97029-NQF M Abou Zeid and CM Hull
Intrinsic geometry of D-branes

NI97030-NQF CP Bachas, MR Douglas and MB Green
Anomalous creation of branes
hep-th/9705074

NI97031-RAG M Broué, G Malle and J Michel
Complex reflection groups, braid groups, Hecke algebras

NI97032-NQF D Zwanziger
Renormalization in the Coulomb gauge and order parameter for confinement in QCD

NI97033-NQF E Shuryak and A Zhitnisky
The gluon/charm content of the η1 meson and instantons

NI97035-RAG K Magaard and G Malle
Irreducibility of alternating and symmetric squares

NI97036-STA N Linden and S Popescu
On multi-particle entanglement

NI97037-NNM M Studeny and RR. Bouckaert
On chain graph models for description of conditional independence structures

NI97038-RAG M Geck and G Malle
On special pieces in the unipotent variety

NI97039-NNM SP Luttrel
A unified theory of density models and auto-encoders
DERA report DERA/CIS/CISS/651/FUN/STIT/5-4 31 October 1997

NI97040-NNM CKI Williams and D Barber
Bayesian Classification with Gaussian Processes

NI97041-NNM TS Richardson
Chain graphs and symmetric associations
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Preprint ID</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Authors</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NI97042-NM</td>
<td>A Roverato and J Whittaker</td>
<td>An importance sampler for graphical Gaussian model inference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NI97043-DQC</td>
<td>MR Haggerty, JB Delos, N Spellmeyer et al</td>
<td>Extracting classical trajectories from atomic spectra</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NI97044-DQC</td>
<td>S Zelditch</td>
<td>Large level spacings for quantum maps in genus zero</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NI97045-DQC</td>
<td>U Smilansky</td>
<td>Semiclassical quantization of maps and spectral correlations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NI97046-DQC</td>
<td>IY Goldsheid and BA Khoruzhenko</td>
<td>Distribution of Eigenvalues in non-Hermitian Anderson models</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NI97047-DQC</td>
<td>G Casati, G Maspero and DL Shepelyansky</td>
<td>Quantum fractal Eigenstates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NI98001-STA</td>
<td>N Linden and S Popescu</td>
<td>Non-local properties of multi-particle density matrices</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NI98002-AMG</td>
<td>J-L Colliot-Thélène</td>
<td>Un principe local-global pour les zéro-cycles sur les surfaces fibrés en coniques au-dessus d’une courbe de genre quelconque</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NI98003-AMG</td>
<td>RGE Pinch and HPF Swinnerton-Dyer</td>
<td>Arithmetic of diagonal quartic surfaces, II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NI98004-AMG</td>
<td>DR Heath-Brown</td>
<td>The solvability of diagonal cubic diophantine equations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NI98005-AMG</td>
<td>B Poonen and M Stoll</td>
<td>The Cassels-Tate pairing on polarized Abelian varieties</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NI98006-AMG</td>
<td>P Parimala and V Suresh</td>
<td>Isotropy of quadratic forms over function fields of curves over p-adic fields</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NI98007-AMG</td>
<td>E Peyre</td>
<td>Application of motivic complexes to negligible classes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NI98008-AMG</td>
<td>E Peyre</td>
<td>Torseurs universels et méthode du cercle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NI98009-RAG</td>
<td>JA Green</td>
<td>Discrete series characters for GL(n, q)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NI98010-DQC</td>
<td>K Zyczkowski</td>
<td>On the volume of the set of mixed entangled states</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NI98011-DQC</td>
<td>K Zyczkowski</td>
<td>Monge distance between quantum states</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NI98012-DAD</td>
<td>JA Sellwood, RW Nelson and S Tremaine</td>
<td>Resonant thickening of disks by small satellite galaxies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NI98013-DAD</td>
<td>GI Ogilvie and SH Lubow</td>
<td>The effect of an isothermal atmosphere on the propagation of three-dimensional waves in a thermally stratified accretion disk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NI98014-DAD</td>
<td>JA Sellwood and SA Balbus</td>
<td>Differential rotation and turbulence in extended H I disks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NI98015-DAD</td>
<td>AM Fridman and OV Khoruzhii</td>
<td>On nonlinear dynamics of 3D astrophysical disks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NI98016-DQC</td>
<td>RE Prange, R Narevich and O Zaitsev</td>
<td>Quasiclassical surface of section perturbation theory</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Information about Newton Institute Preprints is also available at [http://www.newton.cam.ac.uk/preprints.html](http://www.newton.cam.ac.uk/preprints.html)